

Financial Statements For the Year Ended June 30, 2019

Page Left Intentionally Blank

INTRODUCTORY SECTION

Roosevelt County Annual Financial Report June 30, 2019 Table of Contents

INTRODUCTORY SECTION	Exhibit	Page
Table of Contents		4-5
Official Roster		6
FINANCIAL SECTION		
Independent Auditors' Report		8-10
BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS		
Government-wide Financial Statements:		
Statement of Net Position	A-1	12-13
Statement of Activities	A-2	15
Fund Financial Statements:		
Balance Sheet - Governmental Funds	B-1	16-17
Reconciliation of the Balance Sheet to the Statement of Net Position		19
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund		
Balances - Governmental Funds	B-2	20-21
Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in		
Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities		22
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance -		
Budget (Non-GAAP Budgetary Basis) and Actual:		
General Fund	C-1	23
Road Special Revenue Fund	C-2	24
GRT Roosevelt General Hospital Special Revenue Fund	C-3	25
Statement of Fiduciary Net Position - Custodial Funds	D-1	26
Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position - Custodial Funds	D-2	27
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS		28-66
	Statement/	
σεριμσερ suddi ementady information	Schedule	Page

	Schedule	Page
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION		
Schedule of Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability	A-1	68-71
Schedule of the County's Contributions - Public Employees Retirement Association Plan	A-2	72-75
Notes to Required Supplementary Information		76
Schedule of Proportionate Share of the Net OPEB Liability	B-1	78
Schedule of the County's Contributions - Retiree Healthcare OPEB Plan	B-2	79
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION		
Nonmajor Fund Descriptions		82-83
Combining and Individual Fund Statements and Schedules:		
Combining Balance Sheet - Nonmajor Governmental Funds	A-1	84-88
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in		
Fund Balances - Nonmajor Governmental Funds	A-2	90-94

Roosevelt County Annual Financial Report June 30, 2019 Table of Contents

	Statement/	,
SUPPORTING SCHEDULES	Schedule	Page
Schedule of Collateral Pledged by Depository for Public Funds	Ι	96
Schedule of Deposits and Investment Accounts	II	97
Schedule of Tax Roll Reconciliation- Property Tax Receivable	III	99
County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule	IV	100-177
Schedule of Changes in Fiduciary Assets and Liabilities - Custodial Funds	V	178
COMPLIANCE SECTION		
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance		
and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements		
Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards		180-181
Schedule of Findings and Responses	VI	182-183
OTHER DISCLOSURES		184

Roosevelt County Official Roster June 30, 2019

Board of County Commissioners

<u>Name</u>

<u>Title</u>

Lewis "Shane" Lee	Chairman
Matthew Hunton	Vice-Chairman
Dennis Lopez	Commissioner
Tina Dixon	Commissioner
Paul Grider	Commissioner

Elected Officials

Layle Sanchez	Treasurer
George Beggs	Assessor
Michelle Bargas	Probate Judge
Stephanie Hicks	Clerk
Malin Parker	Sherriff

Administrative Officials

Amber Hamilton	County Manager
Debra Olds	HR Director
Liliana Rivera	Finance Specialist
Ricky Lovato	Road Superintendent
Justin Porter	Detention Administrator

FINANCIAL SECTION

cordovacpas.com



CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS | BUSINESS ADVISORS

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Brian S. Colón Esq. New Mexico State Auditor Roosevelt County Commission Roosevelt County Portales, New Mexico

Report on Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, the aggregate remaining fund information, and the budgetary comparisons of the General Fund and major special revenue funds of Roosevelt County (the County), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2019, and the related notes to the financial statements which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatements, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditors' judgment, including the assessment of risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditors consider internal control relevant to the County's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, each major fund, the aggregate remaining fund information, and the budgetary comparisons of the General Fund and major special revenue funds of Roosevelt County, as of June 30, 2019, and the respective changes in financial position thereof, for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that Schedules A-1 through B-2 and notes to the Required Supplementary Information on pages 68 through 79 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquires of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Management has omitted the Management's Discussion and Analysis that accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require to be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such missing information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of the financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historic context. Our opinion on the basic financial statements is not affected by this missing information.

Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements. The introductory section, the combining and individual fund financial statements, and Supporting Schedules I through V required by 2.2.2 NMAC are presented for the purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The combining and individual fund financial statements and Supporting Schedules I through V required by 2.2.2 NMAC are the responsibility of management and were derived from and relate directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the combining and individual fund financial statements and Supporting Schedules I through V required by 2.2.2 NMAC are fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

The introductory section has not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements, and accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on it.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated November 20, 2019 on our consideration of the County's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the County's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

ordour CPAS LLC

Cordova CPAs LLC Albuquerque, New Mexico November 20, 2019

BASIC

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Roosevelt County Statement of Net Position June 30, 2019

	Governmental Activities	
Assets		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2,951,749	
Investments	4,193,590	
Receivables:		
Property taxes	409,937	
Other taxes	670,355	
Other	43,240	
Total current assets	8,268,871	
Noncurrent assets		
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	511,769	
Capital assets	50,955,652	
Less: accumulated depreciation	(37,427,154)	
Total noncurrent assets	14,040,267	
Total assets	22,309,138	
Deferred outflows of resources		
Deferred outflows- pension	2,413,813	
Deferred outflows- OPEB	351,884	
Total deferred outflows of resources	2,765,697	
Total assets and deferred outflows of resources	\$ 25,074,835	

	Governmental Activities
Liabilities	
Current liabilities	
Accounts payable	\$ 212,308
Accrued payroll	136,009
Accrued interest	40,851
Accrued compensated absences	171,162
Current portion of loans payable	530,320
Total current liabilities	1,090,650
Noncurrent liabilities	
Loans payable	7,763,541
Net pension liability	6,925,790
Net OPEB liability	3,350,842
Total noncurrent liabilities	18,040,173
Total liabilities	19,130,823
Deferred inflows of resources	
Deferred inflows- pension	524,993
Deferred inflows- OPEB	865,795
Total deferred inflows of resources	1,390,788
Net position	
Net investment in capital assets	5,234,637
Restricted for:	
Debt service	1,167,552
Special revenue projects	1,440,031
Unrestricted	(3,288,996)
Total net position	4,553,224
Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources, and	
net position	\$ 25,074,835

Page Left Intentionally Blank

Roosevelt County Statement of Activities

For the Year Ended June 30, 2019

Functions/Programs			Program Revenues							
		Expenses		arges for Services	Gr	perating ants and tributions	-	oital Grants and atributions	R	et (Expense) evenue and anges in Net Position
Governmental Activities:										
General government	\$	2,695,990	\$	387,889	\$	640,154	\$	-	\$	(1,667,947)
Public safety		5,174,354		451,217		311,533		-		(4,411,604)
Public works		1,488,045		14,868		-		657,009		(816,168)
Culture and recreation		399,296		-		1,616		-		(397,680)
Health and welfare		1,141,055		74		-		-		(1,140,981)
Economic development		19,140		-		-		-		(19,140)
Interest		262,216		-		-		-		(262,216)
Total governmental activities	\$	11,180,096	\$	854,048	\$	953,303	\$	657,009		(8,715,736)
	Tax P G G 0	neral Revenue tes: roperty ross receipts t asoline and m ther ment in lieu o	axes otor v							4,432,556 3,361,728 929,182 373,285 470,453
		estment incon								189,611
	Mis	cellaneous inc	come							466
	Gai	n on sale of ca	pital a	ssets						3,052
	Tot	al general rev	enues							9,760,333
	Cha	inge in net pos	sition							1,044,597
	Net	position, begi	nning							3,508,627

Net position, ending \$ 4,553,224

Roosevelt County Balance Sheet Governmental Funds June 30, 2019

	Ge	neral Fund	Ro	oad Fund		'Roosevelt ral Hospital
Assets Cash and cash equivalents Investments	\$	1,393,196 3,722,029	\$	269,912 -	\$	-
Receivables: Property taxes Other taxes Other receivables		409,937 361,506 38,746		- 77,891 1,367		- 175,073 -
Total assets	\$	5,925,414	\$	349,170	\$	175,073
Liabilities, deferred inflows of resources and fund balances						
Liabilities	¢	35,800	¢	233	¢	175 072
Accounts payable Accrued payroll	\$	110,400	\$	233	\$	175,073
Total liabilities		146,200		24,105		175,073
<i>Deferred inflows of resources</i> Property taxes		366,777		-		-
Total deferred inflows of resources		366,777		-		-
Fund balances Spendable:						
Restricted for: General county operations		-		-		-
Maintenance of roads		-		72,400		-
EMS and fire departments Public saftey		-		-		-
Health and welfare		-		-		-
Debt service expenditures Minimum fund balance Committed to:		- 1,655,298		- 252,665		-
Sherriff's evidence EMS and fire departments		-		-		-
Unassigned		3,757,139		-		-
Total fund balances		5,412,437		325,065		
Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources,						
and fund balances	\$	5,925,414	\$	349,170	\$	175,073

Exhibit B-1 Page 1 of 2

Gov	Other vernmental Funds		Total
\$	1,800,410 471,561	\$	3,463,518 4,193,590
	- 55,885 3,127		409,937 670,355 43,240
\$	2,330,983	\$	8,780,640
\$	1,202	\$	212,308
Ψ	1,737	φ	136,009
	2,939		348,317
	<u>-</u> -		366,777 366,777
	403,044 - 368,014 76,461 107,623 1,186,232		403,044 72,400 368,014 76,461 107,623 1,186,232 1,907,963
	2,876 183,794 - 2,328,044		2,876 183,794 3,757,139 8,065,546
\$	2,330,983	\$	8,780,640

Page Left Intentionally Blank

STATE OF NEW MEXICO Roosevelt County Governmental Funds Reconciliation of the Balance Sheet to the Statement of Net Position June 30, 2019

Exhibit B-1

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the Statement of Net Position are different because:	
Fund balances - total governmental funds	\$ 8,065,546
Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and, therefore, are not reported in the funds	13,528,498
Delinquent property taxes not collected within sixty days after year end are not considered "available" revenues and are considered to be unavailable revenue in the fund financial statements, but are considered revenue in the Statement of Activities	366,777
Deferred outflows and inflows of resources related to pensions and OPEB are applicable to future periods and therefore, are not reported in funds:	
Deferred outflows- pension Deferred outflows- OPEB Deferred inflows- pension Deferred inflows- OPEB	2,413,813 351,884 (524,993) (865,795)
Certain liabilities, including loans payable and related components, net pension and OPEB liabilities, accrued interest, and current and long-term portions of accrued compensated absences, are not due and payable in the current period and, therefore, are not reported in the funds:	
Accrued compensated absences Accrued interest Loans payable Net pension liability Net OPEB liability	 (171,162) (40,851) (8,293,861) (6,925,790) (3,350,842)
Net position of governmental activities	\$ 4,553,224

Roosevelt County Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances Governmental Funds For the Year Ended June 30, 2019

	General Fund		R	oad Fund	GRT Roosevelt General Hospital		
Revenues							
Taxes:							
Property	\$	4,363,458	\$	-	\$	-	
Gross receipts		2,029,522		-		581,544	
Gasoline and motor vehicle		289,727		639,455		-	
Other		373,285		-		-	
Intergovernmental:							
State operating grants		290,493		-		-	
State capital grants		-		657,009		-	
Payment in lieu of taxes		470,453		-		-	
Licenses and fees		86,486		10,750		-	
Charges for services		489,222		4,118		-	
Investment income		164,081		-		-	
Miscellaneous		-		-		-	
Total revenues		8,556,727		1,311,332		581,544	
Expenditures							
Current:							
General government		2,269,901		-		-	
Public safety		3,992,168		-		-	
Public works		-		1,434,188		-	
Culture and recreation		193,068		-		-	
Health and welfare		-		-		581,544	
Economic development		-		-		-	
Capital outlay		166,052		1,597,790		-	
Debt service:							
Principal		-		-		-	
Interest		-		-			
Total expenditures		6,621,189		3,031,978		581,544	
Fuence (deficiency) of revenues over							
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over		1 025 520		(1,720,646)			
expenditures		1,935,538		(1,720,646)			
Other financing sources (uses)							
Proceeds from sale of capital assets		1,935		1,117		-	
Transfers in		_,, =		1,176,500		-	
Transfers (out)		(1,478,832)		-		-	
Total other financing sources (uses)		(1,476,897)		1,177,617		-	
Net change in fund balance		458,641		(543,029)		-	
Fund balances, beginning of year		4,953,796		868,094			
Fund balance - end of year	\$	5,412,437	\$	325,065	\$		
i ana bulance - ena oj yeur	Ψ	5,714,737	φ	525,005	Ψ		

Exhibit B-2 Page 1 of 2

Other Governmental Funds	Total
\$-	\$ 4,363,458
750,662	3,361,728
-	929,182
-	373,285
662,810	953,303
-	657,009
-	470,453
113,004	210,240
150,468	643,808
25,530	189,611
466	466
1,702,940	12,152,543
74,272 263,171 - 559,511 19,140 34,681 519,987	2,344,173 4,255,339 1,434,188 193,068 1,141,055 19,140 1,798,523 519,987
261,471	261,471
1,732,233	11,966,944
(29,293)	185,599
-	3,052
302,332	1,478,832
	(1,478,832)
302,332	3,052
273,039	188,651
2,055,005	7,876,895
\$ 2,328,044	\$ 8,065,546

STATE OF NEW MEXICO Roosevelt County Governmental Funds Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities For the Year Ended June 30, 2019	Exhibit B-2 Page 2 of 2
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the Statement of Activities are different because:	
Net change in fund balances - total governmental funds	\$ 188,651
Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However, in the statement of activities, the cost of those assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives and reported as depreciation expense:	
Capital outlay additions reported in capital outlay expenditures Depreciation expense	1,863,024 (1,044,687)
Revenues in the statement of activities that do not provide current financial resources are not reported as revenue in the funds:	
Change in deferred inflows related to the property taxes receivable	69,098
Governmental funds report County pension contributions as expenditures. However in the Statement of Activities, the cost of pension benefits earned net of employee contributions is reported as pension expense:	
County pension contributions	355,292
Net pension expense	(912,807)
County OPEB contributions	66,355
Net OPEB expense	(57,193)
Expenses in the Statement of Activities that do not require current financial resources are not reported as expenditures in the funds:	
Increase in accrued compensated absences Decrease in accrued interest	(4,675) 1,552
The issuance of long-term debt (e.g. bonds, notes, leases) provides current financial resources to governmental funds, while the repayment of the principal of long-term debt consumes the current financial resources of governmental funds. Neither transaction, however, has any effect on net position.	
Principal payments on loans	 519,987
Change in net position of governmental activities	\$ 1,044,597

Roosevelt County General Fund Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance Budget (Non-GAAP Budgetary Basis) and Actual For the Year Ended June 30, 2019

	Budgeted	l Amounts		Variances	
	Original Final		Actual	Final to Actual	
Revenues					
Taxes:					
Property	\$ 4,189,246	\$ 4,189,246	\$ 4,362,371	\$ 173,125	
Gross receipts	1,965,000	1,965,000	2,062,942	97,942	
Gasoline, franchise and motor vehicle	221,800	221,800	289,514	67,714	
Other	300,000	300,000	373,285	73,285	
Intergovernmental:					
State operating grants	254,500	254,500	290,493	35,993	
Payment in lieu of taxes	466,000	466,000	470,453	4,453	
Charges for services	276,200	276,200	84,978	(191,222)	
Licenses and fees	70,600	70,600	482,177	411,577	
Investment income		-	164,081	164,081	
Total revenues	7,743,346	7,743,346	8,580,294	836,948	
Expenditures					
Current:					
General government	2,237,762	2,259,654	2,259,083	571	
Public safety	4,308,988	4,308,988	3,984,609	324,379	
Culture and recreation	197,074	197,074	193,760	3,314	
Capital outlay	122,000	255,241	166,052	89,189	
Total expenditures	6,865,824	7,020,957	6,603,504	417,453	
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over					
expenditures	877,522	722,389	1,976,790	1,254,401	
	077,011	, 22,005	1,57 0,7 50	1,201,101	
Other financing sources (uses)					
Designated cash (budgeted cash increase)	329,810	660,105	-	(660,105)	
Transfers (out)	(1,207,332)	(1,382,494)	(1,478,832)	(96,338)	
Total other financing sources (uses)	(877,522)	(722,389)	(1,478,832)	(756,443)	
Net change in fund balance	-	-	497,958	497,958	
Fund balances - beginng of year			4,617,285	4,617,285	
Fund balance - end of year	\$-	\$-	5,115,243	\$ 5,115,243	
Net change in fund balance (non-GAAP budge	tary basis)		\$ 497,958		
Adjustments to revenues for gross receipt tax	(18,773)				
Adjustments to expenditures for salaries and	(20,544)				
Net change in fund balance (GAAP)	, <u> </u>		\$ 458,641		
			. 100,011		

Roosevelt County Road Fund Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance Budget (Non-GAAP Budgetary Basis) and Actual For the Year Ended June 30, 2019

	Budgeted Amounts					Variances		
	Original Final		Actual		Final to Actual			
Revenues								
Taxes: Gasoline and motor vehicle Intergovernmental:	\$	570,000	\$	570,000	\$	667,489	\$	97,489
State operating grants State capital grant		- 1,509,009		78,000 1,509,009		- 657,009		(78,000) (852,000)
Charges for services Licenses and fees		-		-		4,118		4,118
Total revenues		7,000 2,086,009		7,000 2,164,009		10,500 1,339,116		3,500 (824,893)
Expenditures								
Current: Public works Capital outlay		2,038,738		2,201,613		2,075,121 957,319		126,492
Capital outlay		1,296,009		1,545,509		957,519		588,190
Total expenditures		3,334,747		3,747,122		3,032,440		714,682
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures		(1,248,738)		(1,583,113)		(1,693,324)		(110,211)
Other financing sources (uses)								
Designated cash (budgeted cash increase) Transfers in		343,738 905,000		506,613 1,076,500		- 1,176,500		(506,613) 100,000
Total other financing sources (uses)		1,248,738		1,583,113		1,176,500		(406,613)
Net change in fund balance		-		-		(516,824)		(516,824)
Fund balance - beginning of year		-		-		786,785		786,785
Fund balance - end of year	\$		\$	-		269,961	\$	269,961
Net change in fund balance (non-GAAP budgetary basis)					\$	(516,824)		
Adjustments to revenues for motor vehicle and gas taxes.						(26,667)		
Adjustments to expenditures for salaries and operating expenses.						462		
Net change in fund balance (GAAP)					\$	(543,029)		

Roosevelt County GRT Roosevelt Geeral Hospital Fund Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance Budget (Non-GAAP Budgetary Basis) and Actual For the Year Ended June 30, 2019

	Budgeted Amounts					Variances		
		Original		Final		Actual	Fina	al to Actual
Revenues Taxes:								
Gross receipts	\$	1,000,000	\$	1,550,000	\$	581,544	\$	(968,456)
Total revenues		1,000,000		1,550,000		581,544		(968,456)
<i>Expenditures</i> Current: Health and welfare		1,000,000		1,000,000		581,544		418,456
Total expenditures		1,000,000		1,000,000		581,544		418,456
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures				550,000				(550,000)
Other financing sources (uses) Designated cash (budgeted cash increase) Total other financing sources (uses)		-		(550,000) (550,000)		-		550,000 550,000
Net change in fund balance		-		-		-		-
Fund balance - beginning of year		-						
Fund balance - end of year	\$	-	\$			-	\$	
Net change in fund balance (non-GAAP budge	tary	basis)			\$	-		
No adjustments to revenues						-		
No adjustments to expenditures						-		
Net change in fund balance (GAAP)					\$			

Roosevelt County Statement of Fiduciary Net Position Custodial Funds June 30, 2019

Current assets Cash and cash equivalents \$ 73,278 Property taxes receivable 478,765 Total assets \$ 552,043 Current liabilities \$ Accounts payable 53,442 Due to inmates 20,592 Due to other entities 492,400 Total liabilities 566,434 Net Position Restricted net position -\$ Total net position -

Roosevelt County Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position Custodial Funds June 30, 2019

<i>Additions:</i> Contributions from other entities Charges for services	\$ 4,519,759 1,995
Total additions	4,521,754
Deductions:	
Distributions to other bodies	4,457,901
Operating expenditures	76,249
Total deductions	4,534,150
Change in liabilities held for others	(12,396)
Beginning liablities held for others	504,796
	\$ 492.400
Ending liablities held for others	\$ 492,400

Roosevelt County Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2019

NOTE 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Roosevelt County (the "County") is a political sub-division of the State of New Mexico established under the provisions of Section 22-22-1 of NMSA, 1978 compilation and regulated by the constitution of the State of New Mexico. The County operates under a Board of County Commissions-manager form of government and provides the following services as authorized by public law: public safety (sheriff, fire, emergency medical, etc.), roads, health and social services, recreation, sanitation, planning and zoning, property assessment, tax collection and general administrative services.

Roosevelt County is a body politic and corporate under the name and form of government selected by its qualified electors. The County may:

- 1. Sue or be sued;
- 2. Enter into contracts and leases;
- 3. Acquire and hold property, both real and personal;
- 4. Have common seal, which may be altered at pleasure;
- 5. Exercise such other privileges that are incident to corporations of like character or degree that are not inconsistent with the laws of New Mexico.
- 6. Protect generally the property of its county and its inhabitants;
- 7. Preserve peace and order within the county; and
- 8. Establish rates for revenue-producing projects, including amounts which the governing body determines to be reasonable in the operation of similar facilities.

This summary of significant accounting policies of Roosevelt County is presented to assist in the understanding of Roosevelt County's financial statements. The financial statements and notes are the representation of Roosevelt County's management who is responsible for their integrity and objectivity. The financial statements of the County have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) as applied to governmental entities. The Governmental Accounting Standard Board (GASB) is the accepted standard-setting body for establishing governmental accounting and financial reporting principles. The GASB periodically updates its codification of the existing Governmental Accounting and Financial Reporting Standards which, along with subsequent GASB pronouncements (Statements and Interpretations), constitutes GAAP for governmental units. The more significant of the County's accounting policies are described below.

During the year ended June 30, 2019, the County adopted GASB Statements No. 83, *Certain Asset Retirement Obligations*, No. 84, *Fiduciary Activities*, No. 88, *Certain Disclosures Relate to Debt, including Direct Borrowings and Direct Placements* as required by GAAP. None of these new pronouncements have a significant impact on the fiscal year 2019 financial statements.

A. Financial Reporting Entity

The financial reporting entity consists of (a) the primary government, (b) organizations for which the primary government is financially accountable and (c) other organizations for which the nature and significance of their relationship with the primary government are such that exclusion would cause the reporting entity's financial statements to be misleading or incomplete.

Roosevelt County Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2019

NOTE 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

A. Financial Reporting Entity (continued)

In evaluating how to define the County for financial reporting purposes, management has considered all potential component units. The decision to include any potential component units in the financial reporting entity was made by applying the criteria set forth in GASB Statement No. 14, as amended by GASB Statement No 39, and GASB Statement No. 61. Blended component units, though legally separate entities, are, in substance, part of the government's operations. Each discretely presented component unit is reported in a separate column in the government-wide financial statements to emphasize that it is legally separate from the government.

The basic, but not the only, criterion for including a potential component unit within the reporting entity is the governing body's ability to exercise oversight responsibility. The most significant manifestation of this ability is financial interdependency. Other manifestations of the ability to exercise oversight responsibility include, but are not limited to, the selection of governing authority, the designation of management, the ability to significantly influence operations, and accountability for fiscal matters.

A second criterion used in evaluating potential component units is the scope of public service. Application of this criterion involves considering whether the activity benefits the government and/or its citizens.

A third criterion used to evaluate potential component units for inclusion or exclusion from the reporting entity is the existence of special financing relationships, regardless of whether the government is able to exercise oversight responsibilities. Finally, the nature and significance of a potential component unit to the primary government could warrant its inclusion within the reporting entity.

Based upon the application of these criteria, the County has no component units, and is not a component unit of another governmental agency.

B. Government-wide and fund financial statements

The government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net position and the statement of activities) report information on all of the nonfiduciary activities of the primary government. For the most part, the effect of interfund activity has been removed from these statements. *Governmental activities*, which normally are supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues, are reported separately from *business-type activities*, which rely to a significant extent on fees and charges for support. The County does not have any *business-type activities*.

The Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities were prepared using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues, expenses, gains, losses, assets and liabilities resulting from exchange-like transactions are recognized when the exchange takes place.

Revenues, expenses, gains, losses, assets and liabilities resulting from non-exchange transactions are recognized in accordance with the requirements of GASB Statement No. 33, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Non-exchange Transactions.*

Roosevelt County Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2019

NOTE 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

B. Government-wide and fund financial statements (continued)

In the government-wide Statement of Net Position, the governmental activities column is presented on a consolidated basis by column, and is reported on a full accrual, economic resource basis, which recognizes all long-term assets and receivables as well as long-term debt obligations. The County's net position is reported in three parts - invested in capital assets net of related debt; restricted net position; and unrestricted netposition.

The Statement of Activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function or segment are offset by program revenues. *Direct expenses* are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function or segment. *Program revenues* include 1) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use, or directly benefit from goods, services, or privileges provided by a given function or segment and 2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or segment. Taxes and other items not properly included among program revenues are reported instead as *general revenues*.

Separate financial statements are provided for governmental funds and fiduciary funds, even though the latter are excluded from the government-wide financial statements. Major individual governmental funds are reported as separate columns in the fund financial statements.

C. Measurement focus, basis of accounting, and financial statement presentation

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the *economic resources measurement focus* and the *accrual basis of accounting*, as are the fiduciary fund financial statements. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements of time, reimbursement and contingencies imposed by the provider are met.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the *current financial resources measurement focus* and the *modified accrual basis of accounting*. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be *available* when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, the government considers revenues to be available if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the current fiscal period. Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting. However, debt service expenditures, as well as expenditures related to compensated absences and claims and judgments, are recorded only when payment is due.

Property taxes, franchise taxes, licenses and interest associated with the current fiscal period are all considered to be susceptible to accrual and so have been recognized as revenues of the current fiscal period. Sales and use taxes are classified as derived tax revenues and are recognized as revenue when the underlying exchange takes place and the revenues are measurable and available.

Roosevelt County Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2019

NOTE 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

C. Measurement focus, basis of accounting, and financial statement presentation (continued)

Expenditure-driven grants are recognized as revenue when the qualifying expenditures have been incurred and all other grant requirements have been met and the susceptible to accrual criteria have been met. All other revenue items are considered to be measurable and available only when cash is received by the County.

Program revenues included in the Statement of Activities are derived directly from the program itself or from parties outside the County's taxpayer or citizenry, as a whole; program revenues reduce the cost of the function to be financed from the County's general revenues. Program revenues are categorized as (a) charges for services, which include revenues collected for fees and use of County facilities, etc., (b) program-specific operating grants, which includes revenues received from state and federal sources to be used as specified within each program grant agreement, and (c) program-specific capital grants and contributions, which include revenues from state sources to be used for capital projects. Internally dedicated resources are reported as *general revenues* rather than as program revenues. Likewise, general revenues include all taxes.

The County reports all direct expenses by function in the Statement of Activities. Direct expenses are those that are clearly identifiable with a function. The County does not currently employ indirect cost allocation systems. Depreciation expense is specifically identified by function and is included in the direct expense of each function. Interest on general long-term debt is considered an indirect expense and is reported separately on the Statement of Activities.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the County's policy to use restricted resources first, then unrestricted resources as they are needed.

Under the requirements of GASB Statement No. 34, the County is required to present certain of its governmental funds as major based upon certain criteria. The major funds presented in the fund financial statements include the following:

The *General Fund* is the government's primary operating fund. It accounts for all financial resources of the general government, except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

The *Road Special Revenue Fund* is used to account for funds used to maintain roads for which the County has accounted for in this fund, including but not limited to administration, operation, maintenance, and capital outlay. Revenues are provided by motor vehicle fees, gas taxes, state appropriations, and state severance tax bonds. It was approved by the governing body by default upon approval of the budget.

The *GRT Roosevelt General Hospital Special Revenue Fund* is used to account for management of GRT collected and distributed for Roosevelt County Special Hospital District. The funds were passing through the General Fund previously. It was approved by the governing body by default upon approval of the budget.

Roosevelt County Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2019

NOTE 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

C. Measurement focus, basis of accounting, and financial statement presentation (continued)

Additionally, the government reports the following custodial fund:

Fiduciary funds are purely custodial (assets equal liabilities) and do not involve measurement of results of operations. The County's fiduciary funds are used to account for the collection and payment of property taxes and special fees to other governmental agencies. The custodial fund is used to account for collection and disbursement of other trust accounts for the Roosevelt County.

As a general rule the effect of interfund activity has been eliminated from the governmentwide financial statements. Exceptions to this general rule are payments-in-lieu of taxes and various other functions of the government. Elimination of these charges would distort the direct costs and program revenues reported for the various functions concerned.

D. Assets, Deferred Outflow of Resources, Liabilities, Deferred Inflow of Resources, and Net Position or Equity

Deposits and Investments: The County's cash and cash equivalents are considered to be cash on hand, demand deposits and short-term investments with original maturities of three months or less from the date of acquisition.

State statutes authorize the County to invest in Certificates of Deposit, obligations of the U.S. Government, and the State Treasurer's Investment Pool.

Investments for the County are reported at fair value. The State Treasurer's Pool operates in accordance with appropriate state laws and regulations. The reported value of the pool is the same as the fair value of the pool shares.

Receivables and Payables: Interfund activity is reported as loans, services provided, reimbursements, or transfers. Loans are reported as interfund receivables and payables as appropriate and are subject to elimination upon consolidation. Services provided, deemed to be at market or near market rates, are treated as revenues and expenditures/expenses. Reimbursements are when one fund incurs a cost, charges the appropriate benefiting funds and reduces its related cost as a reimbursement. All other interfund transactions are treated as transfers. Transfers between governmental funds are netted as part of the reconciliation to the government-wide financial statements. The period of availability is deemed to be sixty days subsequent to year end.

Advances between funds, as reported in the fund financial statements, are offset by a fund balance reserve account in applicable governmental funds to indicate that they are not available for appropriation and are not expendable available financial resources.

All receivables are reported at their gross value and, where appropriate, are reduced by the estimated portion that is expected to be uncollectible. In the government-wide and governmental fund financial statements, delinquent property taxes are recorded as revenue when levied net of estimated refunds and uncollectable amounts.

Property taxes are levied on November 1 based on the assessed value of property as listed on the previous January 1 and are due in two payments by November 10th and April 10th. Property taxes uncollected after May 10th are considered delinquent. The taxes attach as an enforceable lien on property as of January 1st.

Roosevelt County Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2019

NOTE 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

D. Assets, Deferred Outflow of Resources, Liabilities, Deferred Inflow of Resources, and Net Position or Equity (continued)

Restricted Assets: Restricted assets consist of those funds expendable for operating purposes but restricted by donors or other outside agencies as to the specific purpose for which they may be expended.

Capital Assets: Capital assets, which are property, plant, equipment, and infrastructure assets, (e.g., roads, bridges, sidewalks, and similar items) are reported in the applicable governmental activities columns in the government-wide financial statements. Capital assets are defined by the government as assets with an initial, individual cost of more than \$5,000 (amount not rounded) and an estimated useful life in excess of one year. Such assets are recorded at historical cost or estimated historical cost if purchased or constructed. Roosevelt County was a phase II government for purposes of implementing GASB 34. Therefore, the County was required to report its major general infrastructure assets retroactively to June 30, 1980. The County has made the required restatement for infrastructure assets retroactive to June 30, 1980, in order to properly implement GASB 34. Information Technology Equipment including software is being capitalized and included in furniture, fixtures and equipment in accordance with NMAC 2.20.1.9 C(5).

The costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the asset or materially extend assets lives are not capitalized. Major outlays for capital assets and improvements are capitalized as projects are constructed. No interest was included as part of the cost of capital assets under construction.

Property, plant, and equipment of the primary government are depreciated using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

<u>Assets</u>	<u>Years</u>
Buildings and improvements	20-40
Equipment and vehicles	5
Infrastructure:	
Caliche	5-7
Chip Seal-Overlay	7
Chip Seal-Reconstruction	10-15
Asphalt	20
Concrete	25

Deferred Outflow of Resources: In addition to assets, the balance sheet reports a separate section for deferred outflows of resources. This separate financial statement element represents a use of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will not be recognized as an outflow of resources (expenditure) until that time. The County has four types of items that qualify for reporting in this category related to pension and OPEB plans which are discussed in Notes 10 and 11.

Accrued Expenses: Accrued expenses are comprised of the payroll expenditures based on amounts earned by the employees through June 30, 2019, along with applicable PERA, FICA, and Medicare payables.

Roosevelt County Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2019

NOTE 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

D. Assets, Deferred Outflow of Resources, Liabilities, Deferred Inflow of Resources, and Net Position or Equity (continued)

Deferred Inflows of Resources: In addition to liabilities, the balance sheet reports a separate section for deferred inflows of resources. This separate financial statement element represents an acquisition of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will not be recognized as an inflow of resources (revenue) until that time. Revenue must be susceptible to accrual (measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current fiscal period) to be recognized. If assets are recognized in connection with a transaction, but those assets are not yet available to finance expenditures of the current fiscal period, then the assets must be offset by a corresponding liability for deferred inflows of resources.

The County has one type of deferred inflow which arises under the modified accrual basis of accounting that qualify for reporting in this category. Accordingly, the item, unavailable revenue - property taxes revenue not received in the period of availability are reported only in the governmental funds balance sheet. This amount is deferred and recognized as an inflow of resources in the period that the amount becomes available. The County has recorded \$366,777 related to property taxes revenue considered "unavailable." The County also has items related to the pension and OPEB plans which are discussed at Notes 10 and 11.

Compensated Absences: Qualified employees are entitled to accumulate annual leave and compensated time according to a graduated leave schedule of depending on length of service. No more than two hundred and eighty (280) hours of annual leave, may be carried forward from one fiscal year to the next. All excess annual leave shall be forfeited, if not used, unless extenuating circumstances warrant approval of an extension by the County Commissioners of Roosevelt County. In addition, upon approval, the employee is entitled to unused holiday time if not used 6 months from date of holiday.

Qualified employees that were hired prior to October 18, 2016 are entitled to accumulate sick leave at a rate of twelve days per year. Sick leave can be carried over from year to year up to 1,040 hours (130 days). Upon termination employees receive one-sixth (1/6) pay for sick time accumulated up to a limit of 174 hours, which is one-sixth of the maximum accumulation allowed.

Vested or accumulated vacation leave that is expected to be liquidated with expendable available financial resources is reported as an expenditure and a fund liability of the governmental fund that will pay it. In prior years, substantially all of the related expenditures have been liquidated by the general fund. Amounts of vested or accumulated vacation leave that are not expected to be liquidated with expendable available financial resources are reported in the government-wide statement of net position.

Long-term Obligations: In the government-wide financial statements, long-term debt and other long-term obligations are reported as liabilities in the governmental activities statement of net position. For bonds issued after GASB 34 was implemented, bond premiums and discounts are deferred and amortized over the life of the bonds using the effective interest method or on a straight-line basis if the difference is inconsequential. For fund financial reporting, bond premiums, discounts as well as issuance costs, are recognized in the period the bonds are issued. Bond proceeds are reported as another financing source, net of the applicable premium or discount. Issuance costs, even if withheld from the actual net proceeds received, are reported as debt service expenditures.

Roosevelt County Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2019

NOTE 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

D. Assets, Deferred Outflow of Resources, Liabilities, Deferred Inflow of Resources, and Net Position or Equity (continued)

Pensions: For purposes of measuring the net pension liability, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) and additions to/deductions from PERA's fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by PERA, on the economic resources measurement focus and accrual basis of accounting. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions (OPEB): For purposes of measuring the net OPEB liability, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB, and OPEB expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the New Mexico Retiree Health Care Authority (NMRHCA) and additions to and deductions from NMRHCA's fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by NMRHCA. For this purpose, NMRHCA recognizes benefit payments when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

Fund Balance Classification Policies and Procedures: For restricted fund balance, this classification includes amounts that are restricted to a specific purpose. Fund balances are restricted when constraints placed on the use of resources are either: (a) Externally imposed by creditors (such as debt covenants), grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments; (b) Enabling legislation, as the term is used authorizes the County to assess, levy, charge or otherwise mandate payment of resources and includes a *legally enforceable* requirement that those resources be used only for the specific purposes stipulated in the legislation.

For committed fund balance, the County's highest level of decision-making authority is the County Commission. The formal action that is required to be taken to establish a fund balance commitment is the County Commission.

For assigned fund balance, the County Commission or an official or body to which the County Commission delegates the authority is authorized to assign amounts to a specific purpose. The authorization policy is in governmental funds other than the general fund, assigned fund balance represents the amount that is not restricted or committed. This indicates that resources in other governmental funds are, at a minimum, intended to be used for the purpose of that fund.

Nonspendable Fund Balance: At June 30, 2019, the County does not have any amounts in the form of nonspendable fund balance.

Restricted and Committed Fund Balance: At June 30, 2019, the County has presented restricted fund balance on the governmental funds balance sheet in the amount of \$4,121,737 for various County operations as restricted by enabling legislation. The County has also presented committed fund balance on the governmental funds balance sheet in the amount of \$186,670 in order to provide services throughout the County. The details of these fund balance items are located on the governmental funds balance sheet as detailed on page 16.

Roosevelt County Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2019

NOTE 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

D. Assets, Deferred Outflow of Resources, Liabilities, Deferred Inflow of Resources, and Net Position or Equity (continued)

Minimum Fund Balance Policy: The County's policy for maintaining a minimum amount of fund balance for operations is to minimize any sudden and unplanned discontinuity to programs and operations and for unforeseen contingencies. At a minimum, the budget shall ensure that the County holds cash reserves of 3/12th the General Fund expenditures and a cash reserve of 1/12th the Road Special Revenue Fund. At June 30, 2019, the County maintains \$1,907,963 as minimum fund balances.

Net Position: The financial statements net position is reported in three categories: net investment in capital assets, restricted, and unrestricted:

- <u>Net investment in capital assets</u> This component consists of capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation and reduced by the outstanding balances of any related debt attributable to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of those assets.
- <u>**Restricted Net Position**</u> Net position is reported as restricted when constraints placed on net position use are either (1) externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributions or laws or regulations of other governments or (2) imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.
- <u>Unrestricted Net Position</u> Net position that do not meet the definition of "restricted" and "Net Investment in Capital Assets."

Estimates: The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

NOTE 2. Stewardship, Compliance, and Accountability

Budgetary Information

Annual budgets of the County are prepared prior to June 1 and must be approved by resolution of the County Commissioners, and submitted to the Department of Finance and Administration for State approval. Once the budget has been formally approved, any amendments must also be approved by the County Commissioners and the Department of Finance and Administration. A separate budget is prepared for each fund. Line items within each budget may be over-expended; however, it is not legally permissible to over-expend any budget in total at the fund level.

These budgets are prepared on the Non-GAAP cash budgetary basis. Budgetary basis expenditures exclude encumbrances. The budget secures appropriation of funds for only one year. Carryover funds must be re-appropriated in the budget of the subsequent fiscal year.

Roosevelt County Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2019

NOTE 2. Stewardship, Compliance, and Accountability (continued)

Budgetary Information (continued)

The budgetary information presented in these financial statements has been properly amended by County Commissioners in accordance with the above procedures. These amendments resulted in the following changes:

	Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures Operating income (loss)					
	Original Budget		Final Budget			
Budgeted Funds:						
General Fund	\$ 877,522	\$	722,389			
Road Fund	\$ (1,248,738)	\$	(1,583,113)			
GRT Roosevelt General Hospital	\$ -	\$	550,000			

The accompanying Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget (Non-GAAP Budgetary Basis) and Actual present comparisons of the legally adopted budget with actual data on a budgetary basis.

Since accounting principles applied for purposes of developing data on a budgetary basis differ significantly from those used to present financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP), a reconciliation of resultant basis, perspective, equity and timing differences in the excess (deficiency) of revenues and other sources of financial resources for the year ended June 30, 2019 is presented. Reconciliations between the Non-GAAP budgetary basis amounts and the financial statements on the GAAP basis by fund can be found on each individual budgetary statement.

NOTE 3. Deposits and Investments

State statutes authorize the investment of County funds in a wide variety of instruments including certificates of deposit and other similar obligations, state investment pool, money market accounts, and United States Government obligations. All invested funds of the County properly followed State investment requirements as of June 30, 2019.

Deposits of funds may be made in interest or non-interest bearing checking accounts in one or more banks or savings and loan associations within the geographical boundaries of the County. Deposits may be made to the extent that they are insured by an agency of the United States or collateralized as required by statute. The financial institution must provide pledged collateral for 50% of the deposit amount in excess of the deposit insurance.

The rate of interest in non-demand interest-bearing accounts shall be set by the State Board of Finance, but in no case shall the rate of interest be less than one hundred percent of the asked price on United States treasury bills of the same maturity on the day of deposit.

Excess funds may be temporarily invested in securities which are issued by the State or by the United States government, or by their departments or agencies, and which are either direct obligations of the State or the United States or are backed by the full faith and credit of those governments.

Roosevelt County Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2019

NOTE 3. Deposits and Investments (continued)

The County's accounts are located at an insured depository institution. All noninterest-bearing transaction accounts, will be insured by the FDIC up to the standard maximum deposit insurance amount of \$250,000 for all deposit accounts out of state and up to \$250,000 for all time and saving accounts plus up to \$250,000 for all demand deposit accounts held at a single institution in state.

Custodial Credit Risk - Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the County's deposits may not be returned to it. The County does not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk, other than following state statutes as set forth in the Public Money Act (Section 6-10-1 to 6-10-63, NMSA 1978). At June 30, 2019, \$3,424,237 of the County's bank balance of \$3,674,237 was exposed to custodial credit risk. Although the \$3,424,237 was uninsured, it was collateralized by collateral held by the pledging bank's trust department, not in the County's name. None of the County's deposits were uninsured and uncollateralized at June 30, 2019.

	James Polk Stone Community Bank				
Amount of deposits FDIC Coverage Total uninsured public funds	\$	3,674,237 (250,000) 3,424,237			
Collateralized by securities held by pledging institution or by its trust department or agent in other than the County's name Uninsured and uncollateralized	\$	3,424,237			
Collateral requirement (50% of uninsured funds) Pledged Collateral	\$	1,712,119 6,683,547			
Over (Under) collateralized	\$	4,971,428			

Custodial Credit Risk - Deposits

The collateral pledged is listed on Schedule I of this report. The types of collateral are limited to direct obligations of the United States Government and all bonds issued by any agency, district, or political subdivision of the State of New Mexico.

The New Mexico Finance Authority (NMFA) holds and invests cash related to debt service and reserve requirements on behalf of the County and in the County's name pursuant to loan agreements with the County. As of June 30, 2019, the County had \$511,769 held at New Mexico Finance Authority (NMFA).

Credit Risk

The New Mexico State Treasurer is authorized to invest the short-term investment funds, with the advice and consent of the State Board of Finance, in accordance with Sections 6-10-10(I) through 6-10-10 (P) and Sections 6-10-10.1(A) and (E), NMSA 1978 Per Section 6-10-10.1(F), NMSA 1978, at the end of each month all interest earned is distributed by the State Treasurer to the contributing entities in amounts directly proportionate to the respective amounts deposited in the fund and the length of time the fund amounts were invested.

Roosevelt County Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2019

NOTE 3. Deposits and Investments (continued)

As of June 30, 2019, the County had the following investments and maturities:

	Weighted Average	e		
Investment Type	Maturities	Fair Value		Rating
Money Market Accounts	<1 year	\$ 4,193,590	_	AAA**
U.S Treasury MM Mutual Fund	<1 year	511,769	*	AAA**
		\$ 4,705,359		
* Restricted cash and cash equivalents per	Exhibit A-1			

** Based off Moody's Rating

The investments are listed on Schedule II of this report. The types of investment, interest rate, maturity date and fair value per security are included in the schedule.

Interest Rate Risk – Investments. The County's formal investment policy limits interest rates to be less than one hundred percent of the asked price on the US Treasury bills or notes for the same maturity on the day of deposit.

Concentration of Credit Risk – Investments. For an investment, concentration of credit risk is when any one issuer is 5% or more of the investment portfolio of the County. The investments in U.S. Agency Notes, consisting of notes held in Federal Farm Credit Banks and Federal Home Loan Banks, U.S. Treasury Notes, and U.S. Treasury Money Market Mutual Funds represent 100% of the investment portfolio. Since the County only purchases investments with high grade credit ratings, the concentration is not viewed to be an additional risk by the County. The County's policy related to concentration of credit risk is to comply with the state statute as put forth in the Public Money Act (Section 6-10-1 to 6- 10-63, NMSA 1978).

The County utilizes pooled accounts for their funds. The General, Special Revenue, Capital Projects, and Fiduciary Funds are all in multiple accounts. Separate accounts also exist for Sheriff's Office confiscation related funds.

Fair Value Measurement

The fair value framework uses a hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to the valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (level 1 measurement) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are described below:

Level 1 Inputs to the valuation methodology are unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the County has the ability to access.

Level 2 Inputs to the valuation methodology include:

- Quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets.
- Quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in inactive markets.
- Inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability.
- Inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means.

If the asset or liability has a specified (contractual) term, the Level 2 input must be observable for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

Roosevelt County Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2019

NOTE 3. Deposits and Investments (continued)

Level 3 Inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement.

The asset's or liability's fair value measurement level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of any input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Valuation techniques used need to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. See above for discussion of valuation methodologies used to measure fair value of investments.

The valuation methodologies described above may produce a fair value calculation that may not be indicative of net realizable value or reflective of future fair values. Furthermore, while the County believes its valuation methods are appropriate and consistent with other market participants, the use of different methodologies or assumptions to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in a different fair value measurement at the reporting date.

The following table sets forth by level within the fair value hierarchy, the County's assets at fair value as of June 30, 2019:

Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3		
\$ 4,705,359	\$ 4,705,359	\$-	\$-		

Reconciliation to the Statement of Net Position

Cash and cash equivalents per Exhibit A-1 Restricted cash and cash equivalents per Exhibit A-1 Cash - Statement of Fiduciary Net Position per Exhibit E-1 Investments per Exhibit A-1	\$ 2,951,749 511,769 73,278 4,193,590
Total cash, investments, and cash equivalents	 7,730,386
Add: reconciling items Less: petty cash Less: NMFA restricted accounts Less: Treasury & Agency Notes	 649,611 (400) (511,769) (4,193,590)
Bank balance of deposits	\$ 3,674,237

STATE OF NEW MEXICO Roosevelt County Notes to the Financial Statements

June 30, 2019

NOTE 4. Receivables

Receivables as of June 30, 2019, are as follows:

	General	Road Fund	GF	RT Roosevelt General Hospital	Gov	Other vernmental Funds	Total
Current receivables:		 		F			
Property taxes	\$ 409,937	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 409,937
Other taxes							
Gross receipts taxes	304,076	-		175,073		55,885	535,034
Gasoline and oil taxes	44,316	40,023		-		-	84,339
Motor vehicle taxes	13,114	37,868		-		-	50,982
Other receivables							
Charges for services	28,825	-		-		-	28,825
Miscellaneous	 9,921	 1,367		-		3,127	 14,415
Totals	\$ 810,189	\$ 79,258	\$	175,073	\$	59,012	\$ 1,123,532

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 33, property tax revenues in the amount of \$366,777 that were not collected within the period of availability have been reclassified as deferred inflow in the governmental fund financial statements.

All of the above receivables are deemed to be fully collectible.

NOTE 5. Interfund Receivables, Payables, and Transfers

Net operating transfers, made to close out funds and to supplement other funding sources in the normal course of operations, were as follows:

Transfers Out	Transfers In	Amount
General Fund	Road Special Revenue Fund	\$ 1,176,500
General Fund	Courthouse Renovation Debt Service Fund	179,905
General Fund	Indoor Arena Debt Service Fund	115,427
General Fund	Milnesand Fire/EMS Special Revenue Fund	3,500
General Fund	Arch Fire/EMS Special Revenue Fund	 3,500
	Total	\$ 1,478,832

There were no interfund balances at June 30, 2019.

Roosevelt County Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2019

NOTE 6. Capital Assets

The following is a summary of capital assets and changes occurring during the year ended June 30, 2019. Land and construction in progress are not subject to depreciation.

	Balance June 30, 2018		Additions		Deletions		Balance June 30, 2019		
depreciated:									
Land	\$	850,311	\$	132,000	\$	-	\$	982,311	
Total not being depreciated		850,311		132,000		-		982,311	
Capital assets being depreciated:									
Buildings and improvements		21,953,964		-		-		21,953,964	
Equipment and vehicles		6,534,128		393,542		69,235		6,858,435	
Infrastructure		19,823,460		1,337,482		-		21,160,942	
Total being depreciated		48,311,552		1,731,024		69,235		49,973,341	
Total capital assets		49,161,863		1,863,024		69,235		50,955,652	
Accumulated depreciation:									
Buildings and improvements		12,020,908		439,554		-		12,460,462	
Equipment and vehicles		5,515,654		365,263		69,235		5,811,682	
Infrastructure		18,915,140		239,870		-		19,155,010	
Total accumulated depreciation		36,451,702		1,044,687		69,235		37,427,154	
Capital assets, net	\$	12,710,161	\$	818,337	\$	_	\$	13,528,498	

Depreciation expense for the year ended June 30, 2019 was charged to the functions of the governmental activities as follows:

General government	\$ 217,443
Public safety	461,842
Public works	229,673
Culture and recreation	 135,729
Total	\$ 1,044,687

STATE OF NEW MEXICO Roosevelt County Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2019

NOTE 7. Long-term Debt

During the year ended June 30, 2019, the following changes occurred in the liabilities reported in the government-wide statement of net position:

Governmental Activities:

	Balance June 30, 2018	Additions Retirements		Balance June 30, 2019	Due Within One Year	
NMFA Loans Compensated Absences	\$ 8,813,848 166,487	\$- 232,956	\$ 519,987 228,281	\$ 8,293,861 171,162	\$ 530,320 171,162	
Total	\$ 8,980,335	\$ 232,956	\$ 748,268	\$ 8,465,023	\$ 701,482	

<u>Loans</u>

The County has entered into several loan agreements with the New Mexico Finance Authority, wherein the County pledged revenue derived from New Mexico Gross Receipts taxes to cover debt service. This revenue is subject to intercept agreements. The NMFA loans are as follows:

Description	Date of Issue	Maturity Date	Interest Rate	Original Amount of Issue	Balance June 30, 2019
NMFA-3503-PP	08/19/16	06/01/26	1.19%	2,114,395	\$ 1,492,181
NMFA- New Magistrate Court- 3115-PP NMFA- HVAC System-	06/13/14	05/01/34	3.28%	3,668,741	3,045,880
3147-PP	08/22/14	05/01/34	3.38%	2,875,000	2,436,000
NMFA- Arena- Loan- 2561-PP	03/18/11	05/31/36	4.22%	1,638,201	1,319,800
Total Loans					\$ 8,293,861

Original

The annual requirements to amortize the Loan Payable as of June 30, 2019, including interest payments are as follows:

Fiscal Year Ending June 30,	Principal		Interest		Total Debt Service		
2020	\$	530,320	\$	253,912	\$	784,232	
2021		537,426		245,326		782,752	
2022		548,879		235,430		784,309	
2023		560,194		224,131		784,325	
2024		572,167		211,708		783,875	
2025-2029		2,605,145		832,176		3,437,321	
2030-2034		2,739,730		408,409		3,148,139	
2035-2039		200,000		9,030		209,030	
Total	\$	8,293,861	\$	2,420,122	\$	10,713,983	

Roosevelt County Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2019

NOTE 7. Long-term Debt (continued)

Loans have been liquidated by the Indoor Arena, Courthouse Renovation, Magistrate Court, and Detention Center Bond Debt Service Funds in prior years.

<u>Compensated Absences</u> – Employees of the County are able to accrue a limited amount of vacation and other compensatory time during the year. During fiscal year June 30, 2019, compensated absences increased \$4,675 from the prior year accrual. In prior years, the general fund was typically used to liquidate such long-term liabilities.

NOTE 8. Risk Management

The County is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts, theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries and natural disasters.

Roosevelt County is a member and is insured through the New Mexico County Insurance Authority. The Authority was created to provide comprehensive core insurance programs by expanding the pool of subscribers to maximize cost containment opportunities for required insurance coverage. The Authority acts as the common carrier for the State of New Mexico counties. The County pays an annual premium to the Authority based on claim experience and the status of the pool. The Risk Management Program includes Workers Compensation, General and Automobile Liability, Automobile Physical Damage, and Property and Crime coverage. The County is not liable for more than the premiumspaid.

NOTE 9. Other Required Individual Fund Disclosures

Generally accepted accounting principles require disclosures of certain information concerning individual funds including:

- A. Deficit fund balance of individual funds. There were no funds with a deficit fund balance for the year ended June 30, 2019.
- B. Excess of expenditures over appropriations. There were no funds expenditures were in excess of the budgeted appropriations for the year ended June 30, 2019.
- C. Designated cash appropriations in excess of available balances. There were no funds with designated cash appropriation in excess of available balances as of June 30, 2019:

NOTE 10. Pension Plan – Public Employee Retirement Association of NM

Public Employees Retirement Fund is a cost-sharing, multiple employer defined benefit pension plan. This fund has six divisions of members, including State General, State Police/Adult Correction Officers, Municipal General, Municipal Police/Detention Officers, Municipal Fire, and State Legislative Divisions, and offers 24 different types of coverage within the PERA plan. All assets accumulated may be used to pay benefits, including refunds of member contributions, to any of the plan members or beneficiaries, as defined by the terms of this plan. Certain coverage plans are only applicable to a specific division. Eligibility for membership in the Public Employees Retirement Fund is set forth in the Public Employees Retirement Act (Chapter 10, Article 11, NMSA 1978). Except as provided for in the Volunteer Firefighters Retirement Act (10-11A-1 to 10-11A-7, NMSA 1978), the Judicial Retirement Act (10-12B-1 to 10-12B-19, NMSA 1978), the Magistrate Retirement Act (10-12C-1 to 10-12C-18, NMSA 1978), and the Educational Retirement Act (Chapter 22, Article 11, NMSA 1978), each employee and elected official of every affiliated public employer is required to be a member in the Public Employees Retirement Fund, unless specifically excluded.

Roosevelt County Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2019

NOTE 10. Pension Plan – Public Employee Retirement Association of NM (continued)

Benefits Provided – Benefits are generally available at age 65 with five or Benefits are generally available at age 65 with five or more years of service or after 25 years of service regardless of age for TIER I members. Provisions also exist for retirement between ages 60 and 65, with varying amounts of service required. Certain police and fire members may retire at any age with 20 or more years of service for Tier I members.

Generally, the amount of retirement pension is based on final average salary, which is defined under Tier I as the average of salary for the 36 consecutive months of credited service producing the largest average; credited service; and the pension factor of the applicable coverage plan. Monthly benefits vary depending upon the plan under which the member qualifies, ranging from 2% to 3.5% of the member's final average salary per year of service. The maximum benefit that can be paid to a retiree may not exceed a range of 60% to 90% of the final average salary, depending on the division. Benefits for duty and non-duty death and disability and for post-retirement survivors' annuities are also available.

TIER II

The retirement age and service credit requirements for normal retirement for PERA state and municipal general members hired increased effective July 1, 2013 with the passage of Senate Bill 27 in the 2013 Legislative Session. Under the new requirements (Tier II), general members are eligible to retire at any age if the member has at least eight years of service credit and the sum of the member's age and service credit equals at least 85 or at age 67 with 8 or more years of service credit. General members hired on or before June 30, 2013 (Tier I) remain eligible to retire at any age with 25 or more years of service credit. Under Tier II, police and firefighters in Plans 3, 4 and 5 are eligible to retire at any age with 25 or more years of service credit. State police and adult correctional officers, peace officers and municipal juvenile detention officers will remain in 25-year retirement plans, however, service credit will no longer be enhanced by 20%. All public safety members in Tier II may retire at age 60 with 6 or more years of service credit. Generally, under Tier II pension factors were reduced by .5%, employee Contribution increased 1.5 percent and effective July 1, 2014 employer contributions were raised .05 percent. The computation of final average salary increased as the average of salary for 60 consecutive months.

Contributions – See PERA's compressive annual financial report for Contribution provided description.

Roosevelt County Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2019

NOTE 10. Pension Plan – Public Employee Retirement Association of NM (continued)

PERA Contribu	tion Rates	and Pensior	n Factors in	effect duri	ng FY18		
Coverage Plan	Coverage Plan Employee Contribution Percentage		Employer Contribution Percentage	Pension Factor per year of Service		Pension Maximum as a	
	Annual Salary less than \$20,000	Annual Salary greater than \$20,000		TIER 1	TIER 2	Percentage of the Final Average Salary	
		STATE PLA	AN				
State Plan 3	7.42%	8.92%	16.99%	3.0%	2.5%	90%	
	MUN	ICIPAL PLA	ANS 1 - 4				
Municipal Plan 1 (plan open to new employers)	7.0%	8.5%	7.4%	2.0%	2.0%	90%	
Municipal Plan 2 (plan open to new employers)	9.15%	10.65%	9.55%	2.5%	2.0%	90%	
Municipal Plan 3 (plan closed to new employers 6/95)	13.15%	14.65%	9.55%	3.0%	2.5%	90%	
Municipal Plan 4 (plan closed to new employers 6/00)	15.65%	17.15%	12.05%	3.0%	2.5%	90%	
	MUNICIP	AL POLICE	PLANS 1 - 5	5			
Municipal Police Plan 1	7.0%	8.5%	10.40%	2.0%	2.0%	90%	
Municipal Police Plan 2	7.0%	8.5%	15.40%	2.5%	2.0%	90%	
Municipal Police Plan 3	7.0%	8.5%	18.90%	2.5%	2.0%	90%	
Municipal Police Plan 4	12.35%	13.85%	18.90%	3.0%	2.5%	90%	
Municipal Police Plan 5	16.3%	17.8%	18.90%	3.5%	3.0%	90%	
	MUNICI	PAL FIRE P	PLANS 1 - 5				
Municipal Fire Plan 1	8.0%	9.5%	11.40%	2.0%	2.0%	90%	
Municipal Fire Plan 2	8.0%	9.5%	17.9%	2.5%	2.0%	90%	
Municipal Fire Plan 3	8.0%	9.5%	21.65%	2.5%	2.0%	90%	
Municipal Fire Plan 4	12.8%	14.3%	21.65%	3.0%	2.5%	90%	
Municipal Fire Plan 5	16.2%	17.7%	21.65%	3.5%	3.0%	90%	
MUNICIPAL DETENTION OFFICER PLAN 1							
Municipal Detention Officer Plan 1	16.65%	18.15%	17.05%	3.0%	3.0%	90%	
STATE POLICE AN	ND ADULT	CORRECT	IONAL OFF	ICER PLA	NS, ETC.		
State Police and Adult Correctional Officer Plan 1	7.6%	9.1%	25.50%	3.0%	3.0%	90%	
State Plan 3 - Peace Officer	7.42%	8.92%	16.99%	3.0%	3.0%	90%	
Juvenile Correctional Officer Plan 2	4.78%	6.28%	26.12%	3.0%	3.0%	90%	

Roosevelt County Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2019

NOTE 10. Pension Plan – Public Employee Retirement Association of NM (continued)

Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense, and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions –

PERA Fund Division Municipal General: At June 30, 2019, the County reported a liability of \$4,794,271 for its proportionate share of the net pension liability. The net pension liability was measured as of June 30, 2018, and the total pension liability used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2017. The total pension liability was rolled-forward from the valuation date to the plan year ending June 30, 2018 using generally accepted actuarial principles. Therefore, the employer's portion was established as of the measurement date of June 30, 2018.

There were no significant events or changes in benefit provision that required an adjustment to the roll-forward liabilities as of June 30, 2018.

The County's proportion of the net pension liability was based on a projection of the County's long-term share of contributions to the pension plan relative to the projected contributions of all participating entities, actuarially determined. At June 30, 2018, the County's proportion was 0.3007 percent, which was an increase of 0.0454 percent from its proportion measured as of June 30, 2017.

For the year ended June 30, 2019, the County recognized pension expense of \$621,057. At June 30, 2019, the County reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

Municipal General	Deferred Outflow of Resources		Deferred Inflow of Resources	
Changes of assumptions	\$	434,668	\$	27,565
Changes in proportion		534,967		122,701
Difference between expected and actual experience		355,567		125,872
Net difference between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments		138,565		-
County's contributions subsequent to the measurement date		230,986		
Total	\$	1,694,753	\$	276,138

\$230,986 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to pensions resulting from the County's contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ended June 30, 2019. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pensions expense as follows:

Municipal2019\$ 597,6412020384,8882021185,871202219,229Total\$ 1,187,629

Roosevelt County Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2019

NOTE 10. Pension Plan – Public Employee Retirement Association of NM (continued)

PERA Fund Division Municipal Police: At June 30, 2019, the County reported a liability of \$2,131,519 for its proportionate share of the net pension liability. The net pension liability was measured as of June 30, 2018, and the total pension liability used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2017. The total pension liability was rolled-forward from the valuation date to the plan year ending June 30, 2018 using generally accepted actuarial principles. Therefore, the employer's portion was established as of the measurement date of June 30, 2018.

There were no significant events or changes in benefit provision that required an adjustment to the roll-forward liabilities as of June 30, 2018.

The County's proportion of the net pension liability was based on a projection of the County's long-term share of contributions to the pension plan relative to the projected contributions of all participating entities, actuarially determined. At June 30, 2018, the County's proportion was 0.3124 percent, which was an increase of 0.0215 percent from its proportion measured as of June 30, 2017.

For the year ended June 30, 2019, the County recognized pension expense of \$291,750. At June 30, 2019, the County reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

	Deferred Outflow of Resources		Deferred Inflow of Resources	
Changes of assumptions	\$	243,209	\$	13,031
Changes in proportion		100,517		24,535
Difference between expected and actual experience		104,349		211,289
Net difference between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments		146,679		-
County's contributions subsequent to the measurement date		124,306		
Total	\$	719,060	\$	248,855

\$124,306 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to pensions resulting from the County's contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ended June 30, 2019. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pensions expense as follows:

Police	
2019	\$ 197,391
2020	60,758
2021	79,781
2022	 7,969
Total	\$ 345,899

Actuarial assumptions. The total pension liability in the June 30, 2018 actuarial valuation was determined using the following significant actuarial assumptions, applied to all periods included in the measurement:

Roosevelt County Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2019

NOTE 10. Pension Plan – Public Employee Retirement Association of NM (continued)

PERA FUND

PERA	
Actuarial valuation date	June 30, 2017
Actuarial cost method	Entry Age Normal
Amortization method	Level Percentage of Pay
Amortization period	Solved for based on statutory rates
Asset valuation method	
Actuarial Assumptions:	
Investment rate of return	7.25% annual rate, net of investment expense
Projected benefit payment	100 years
Payroll Growth	3.00%
Projected salary increases	3.25% to 13.50% annual rate
Includes inflation at	2.50%
	2.75% all other years
Mortality Assumption	The morality assumptions are based on the RPH-2014 Blue Collar mortality table with female ages set forward oneyear. Future improvement in mortality rates is assumed using 60% of the MP-2017 projection scale generationally. For non-public safety groups, 25% of in- service deaths are assumed to be duty related and 35% are assumed to be duty-related for public safety groups.
Experience Study Dates	July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2017 (demographic) and July 1, 2010 through June 30, 2018 (economic)

The total pension liability, net pension liability, and certain sensitivity information are based on an actuarial valuation performed as of June 30, 2017. The total pension liability was rolled-forward from the valuation date to the plan year ended June 30, 2018. These assumptions were adopted by the Board use in the June 30, 2017 actuarial valuation.

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a buildingblock method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation. The target allocation and best estimates of arithmetic real rates of return for each major asset class are summarized in the following table:

		Long-term
Asset Class	Target	Expected Real
	Allocation	Rate of Return
Global Equity	43.50%	7.48%
Risk Reduction & Mitigation	21.50%	2.37%
Credit Oriented Fixed Income	15.00%	5.47%
Real Assets to include Real Estate Equity	20.00%	6.48%
Total	100.00%	

Roosevelt County Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2019

NOTE 10. Pension Plan – Public Employee Retirement Association of NM (continued)

Discount rate. A single discount rate of 7.25% was used to measure the total pension liability as of June 30, 2018. This single discount rate was based on a long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments of 7.25%, compounded annually, net of expense. Based on the stated assumptions and the projection of cash flows, the plan's fiduciary net position and future contributions were projected to be available to finance all projected future benefit payments of current plan members.

Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all period of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

The projections of cash flows used to determine this single discount rate assumed that plan member and employer contributions will be made at the current statutory levels.

Sensitivity of the County's proportionate share of the net pension liability to changes in the discount rate. The following presents the County's proportionate share of the net pension liability calculated using the discount rate of 7.25 percent, as well as what the County's proportionate share of the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower (6.25 percent) or 1-percentage-point higher (8.25 percent) than the current rate:

Current

PERA Fund Municipal General Division

·	1% Decrease (6.25%)	Discount Rate (7.25%)	1% Increase (8.25%)
County's proportionate share of the net pension liability	\$ 7,387,651	\$ 4,794,271	\$ 2,650,428
PERA Fund Municipal Police Division			1% Increase (8.25%)
County's proportionate share of the net pension liability	\$ 3,277,401	\$ 2,131,519	\$ 1,197,353

Pension plan fiduciary net position. Detailed information about the pension plan's fiduciary net position is available in separately issued PERA'S financial reports.

Payables to the pension plan. At June 30, 2019, there were no contributions due and payable to PERA for the County.

NOTE 11. Post-Employment Benefits – State Retiree Health Care Plan

General Information about the OPEB

Plan description. Employees of the County are provided with OPEB through the Retiree Health Care Fund (the Fund)—a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit OPEB plan administered by the New Mexico Retiree Health Care Authority (NMRHCA). NMRHCA was formed February 13, 1990, under the New Mexico Retiree Health Care Act (the Act) of New Mexico Statutes Annotated, as amended (NMSA 1978), to administer the Fund under Section 10-7C-1-19 NMSA 1978. The Fund was created to provide comprehensive group health insurance coverage for individuals (and their spouses, dependents and surviving spouses) who have retired or will retire from public service in New Mexico.

NMRHCA is an independent agency of the State of New Mexico. The funds administered by NMRHCA are considered part of the State of New Mexico financial reporting entity and are OPEB trust funds of the State of New Mexico. NMRHCA's financial information is included with the financial presentation of the State of New Mexico.

Roosevelt County Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2019

NOTE 11. Post-Employment Benefits – State Retiree Health Care Plan (continued)

Benefits provided. The Fund is a multiple employer cost sharing defined benefit healthcare plan that provides eligible retirees (including terminated employees who have accumulated benefits but are not yet receiving them), their spouses, dependents and surviving spouses and dependents with health insurance and prescription drug benefits consisting of a plan, or optional plans of benefits, that can be contributions to the Fund and by co-payments or out-of-pocket payments of eligible retirees.

Employees covered by benefit terms – At June 30, 2018, the Fund's measurement date, the following employees were covered by the benefit terms:

Plan membership	
Current retirees and surviving spouses	51,205
Inactive and eligible for deferred benefit	11,471
Current active members	93,349
	156,025
Active membership	
State general	19,593
State police and corrections	1,886
Municipal general	17,004
Municipal police	3,820
Municipal FTRE	2,290
Educational Retirement Board	48,756
	93,349

Contributions – Employer and employee contributions to the Fund total 3% for non-enhanced retirement plans and 3.75% of enhanced retirement plans of each participating employee's salary as required by Section 10-7C-15 NMSA 1978. The contributions are established by statute and are not based on an actuarial calculation. All employer and employee contributions are non-refundable under any circumstance, including termination of the employer's participation in the Fund. Contributions to the Fund from the County were \$98,238 for the year ended June 30, 2019.

OPEB Liabilities, OPEB Expense, and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to OPEB

At June 30, 2019, the County reported a liability of \$3,350,842 for its proportionate share of the net OPEB liability. The net OPEB liability was measured as of June 30, 2018, and the total OPEB liability used to calculate the net OPEB liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date. The County's proportion of the net OPEB liability was based on actual contributions provided to the Fund for the year ending June 30, 2018. At June 30, 2018, the County's proportion was 0.07706 percent.

For the year ended June 30, 2019, the County recognized OPEB expense of \$57,193. At June 30, 2019 the County reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB from the following sources:

Roosevelt County Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2019

NOTE 11. Post-Employment Benefits – State Retiree Health Care Plan (continued)

	Deferred Outflow of Resources		Deferred Inflow of Resources	
Differences between expected and actual experience	\$	-	\$	198,391
Net difference between expected and actual investments on OPEB plan investments		-		41,817
Change of assumptions		-		625,587
Changes in proportion		285,529		-
County's contributions subsequent to the measurement date		66,355		
Total	\$	351,884	\$	865,795

Deferred outflows of resources totaling \$66,355 represent County contributions to the Fund made subsequent to the measurement date and will be recognized as a reduction of net OPEB liability in the year ending June 30, 2019. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources will be recognized in OPEB expense as follows:

2019	\$ (159,487)
2020	(159,487)
2021	(159,487)
2022	(109,387)
2023	7,582

Actuarial assumptions. The total OPEB liability was determined by an actuarial valuation using the following actuarial assumptions:

June 30, 2017 Entry age normal, level percentof pay, calculated
on individual employee basis Market value ofassets
2.50% for ERB; 2.25% for PERA
3.50% to 12.50% based on years of service, including inflation 7.25%, net of OPEB plan investment expense
and margin for adverse deviation including inflation
8% graded down to 4.5% over 14 years forNon-Medicare medical plan costs and 7.5% graded down to 4.5% over 12 for Medicare medical plan costs
ERB members: RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table with White Collar Adjustment (males) and GRS Southwest Region Teacher Mortality Table (females) PERA members: RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality

Roosevelt County Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2019

NOTE 11. Post-Employment Benefits – State Retiree Health Care Plan (continued)

Rate of Return. The long-term expected rate of return on OPEB plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which the expected future real rates of return (net of investment fees and inflation) are developed for each major asset class.

These returns are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage, adding expected inflation and subtracting expected investment expenses and a risk margin. each major asset class, after deducting inflation, but before investment expenses, used in the derivation of the long-term expected investment rate of return assumptions.

Asset Class	Target Allocation	Long-Term Rate of Return
U.S. core fixed income	20%	2.1%
U.S. equity - large cap	20%	7.1%
Non U.S emerging markets	15%	10.2%
Non U.S developed equities	12%	7.8%
Private equity	10%	11.8%
Credit and structured finance	10%	5.3%
Real estate	5%	4.9%
Absolute return	5%	4.1%
U.S. equity - small/mid cap	3%	7.1%

The best estimates for the long-term expected rate of return is summarized as follows:

Discount Rate. The discount rate used to measure the Fund's total OPEB liability is 4.08% as of June 30, 2018. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that employer contributions will be made at rates proportional to the actuary determined contribution rates. For this purpose, employer contributions that are intended to fund benefits of current plan members and their beneficiaries are included. Projected employer contributions that are intended to fund the service costs for future plan members and their beneficiaries are not included. Based on those assumptions, the Fund's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments for current plan members through the fiscal year ending June 30, 2029. Thus, the 7.25% discount rate was used to calculate the net OPEB liability through 2029. Beyond 2029, the index rate for 20-year, tax exempt general obligation municipal bonds with an average rating of AA/Aa or higher (3.87%) was applied. Thus, 4.08% is the blended discount rate.

Sensitivity of the net OPEB liability to changes in the discount rate and healthcare cost trend rates. The following presents the net OPEB liability of the County, as well as what the County's net OPEB liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower (3.08 percent) or 1-percentage-point higher (5.08 percent) than the current discount rate:

		Current Discount				
	19	% Decrease (3.08%)		Rate (4.08%)	10	% Increase (5.08%)
County's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability	\$	4,055,308	\$	3,350,842	\$	2,795,566

Roosevelt County Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2019

NOTE 11. Post-Employment Benefits – State Retiree Health Care Plan (continued)

The following presents the net OPEB liability of the County, as well as what the County's net OPEB liability would be if it were calculated using healthcare cost trend rates that are 1-percentage-point lower or 1-percentage-point higher than the current healthcare cost trend rates:

	Trend Rate Sensitivity Analysis					
	1%	6 Decrease		Rate	19	% Increase
County's proportionate share of the						
net OPEB liability	\$	2,832,636	\$	3,350,842	\$	3,757,127

OPEB plan fiduciary net position. Detailed information about the OPEB plan's fiduciary net position is available in NMRHCA's audited financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2018.

Payable Changes in the Net OPEB Liability. At June 30, 2019, the County reported a payable of \$3,885 for outstanding contributions due to NMRHCA for the year ended June 30, 2019.

NOTE 12. Joint Powers Agreements

<u>Jail Services</u>	
Participants	Roosevelt County and City of Portales
Responsible party	Roosevelt County and City of Portales
Description	Jail services provided for municipal prisoners and offenders by the County. Jail services shall include but shall not be limited to booking, care, housing, feeding, administration of prisoners, and all other mandated, necessary and common functions of a constitutional and statutory jail.
Term of agreement	December 3, 2002 until cancelled
Audit responsibility	Roosevelt County

NOTE 13. Contingent Liabilities

The County is party to various claims and lawsuits arising in the normal course of business. The County is insured through the New Mexico County Insurance Authority. In the opinion of management, the outcome of these matters will not have a material effect on the financial position of the County.

NOTE 14. Restricted Net Position

The government-wide statement of net position reports \$2,607,583 of restricted net position, all of which is restricted by enabling legislation. For descriptions of the related enabling legislation for special revenue, debt service and capital project funds, see pages 31 and 82-83.

Roosevelt County Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2019

NOTE 15. Subsequent Events

The date to which events occurring after June 30, 2019, the date of the most recent balance sheet, have been evaluated for possible adjustment to the financial statement or disclosures is November 20, 2019, which is the date on which the financial statements were issued. There were no events noted as of this date.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO Roosevelt County Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2019

NOTE 16. Tax Abatements

The County has the following tax abatement agreements:

Agency Number	5022	5022	5022
Agency Name	Roosevelt County, New Mexico	Roosevelt County, New Mexico	Roosevelt County, New Mexico
Agency Type	County Government - Political Subdivision of the State of New Mexico	County Government - Political Subdivision of the State of New Mexico	County Government - Political Subdivision of the State of New Mexico
Tax Abatement Agreement Name	\$112,000,000 Roosevelt County, New Mexico Taxable Industrial Revenue Bond (San Juan Mesa Wind Project, LLC Project) Series 2005	\$358,000,000 Roosevelt County, New Mexico Amended and Restated Taxable Industrial Revenue Bonds (Roosevelt Wind Project) Series 2014	\$72,000,000 Roosevelt County, New Mexico Taxable Industrial Revenue Bonds (Milo Wind Project, LLC Project) Series 2015)
Recipient(s) of tax abatement	San Juan Mesa Wind Project, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company	Roosevelt Wind Project, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company	Milo Wind Project, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company
Parent company(ies) of recipient(s) of tax abatement	Padoma Project Holdings, LLC (Sole Member)	Roosevelt Holdco, LLC (Manager); EDF-RE US Development, LLC (Manager); EDF Renewable Development, LLC (Managing Member)	Roosevelt Holdco, LLC (Manager); EDF-RE US Development, LLC (Manager); EDF Renewable Development, LLC (Managing Member)

Tax abatement program (name and brief description)	New Mexico County Industrial Revenue Bonds ("IRB") - At the closing of a New Mexico County IRB transaction, the IRB project property, which may be any combination of real and personal property, is conveyed from the Company (a private entity) to a New Mexico county, which immediately leases the project property back to the Company. The county retains ownership, and the lease continues, for the term of the bonds, which may be any period specified by the county, up to 30 years. Under the New Mexico Constitution and applicable state statues, this arrangement results in two separate tax subsidies. First, the project property is exempt from ad valorem taxation for the term of the bonds, and, second, purchases of project property that is tangible, depreciable, personal property are deductible or exempt, as the case may be, from gross receipts and compensating taxes.	New Mexico County Industrial Revenue Bonds ("IRB") - At the closing of a New Mexico County IRB transaction, the IRB project property, which may be any combination of real and personal property, is conveyed from the Company (a private entity) to a New Mexico county, which immediately leases the project property back to the Company. The county retains ownership, and the lease continues, for the term of the bonds, which may be any period specified by the county, up to 30 years. Under the New Mexico Constitution and applicable state statues, this arrangement results in two separate tax subsidies. First, the project property is exempt from ad valorem taxation for the term of the bonds, and, second, purchases of project property that is tangible, depreciable, personal property are deductible or exempt, as the case may be, from gross receipts and compensating taxes.	New Mexico County Industrial Revenue Bonds ("IRB") - At the closing of a New Mexico County IRB transaction, the IRB project property, which may be any combination of real and personal property, is conveyed from the Company (a private entity) to a New Mexico county, which immediately leases the project property back to the Company. The county retains ownership, and the lease continues, for the term of the bonds, which may be any period specified by the county, up to 30 years. Under the New Mexico Constitution and applicable state statues, this arrangement results in two separate tax subsidies. First, the project property is exempt from ad valorem taxation for the term of the bonds, and, second, purchases of project property that is tangible, depreciable, personal property are deductible or exempt, as the case may be, from gross receipts and compensating taxes.
Specific Tax(es) Being Abated	 (i) Ad valorem property tax (all project property); (ii) gross receipts tax (tangible, depreciable, personal project property purchased from New Mexico vendors); and, (iii) compensating tax (tangible, depreciable, personal project property purchased from out-of-state vendors. Pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 4-59-12 (1975), interest on the bond is also exempt from New Mexico income tax. Since, however, most modern IRBs are sold to affiliates of the Company, on a consolidated basis there is no income arising from the interest, and the income tax exemption is meaningless. (This IRB is held by an affiliate of the Company) 	 (i) Ad valorem property tax (all project property); (ii) gross receipts tax (tangible, depreciable, personal project property purchased from New Mexico vendors); and, (iii) compensating tax (tangible, depreciable, personal project property purchased from out-of-state vendors. Pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 4-59-12 (1975), interest on the bond is also exempt from New Mexico income tax. Since, however, most modern IRBs are sold to affiliates of the Company, on a consolidated basis there is no income arising from the interest, and the income tax exemption is meaningless. (This IRB is held by an affiliate of the Company) 	 (i) Ad valorem property tax (all project property); (ii) gross receipts tax (tangible, depreciable, personal project property purchased from New Mexico vendors); and, (iii) compensating tax (tangible, depreciable, personal project property purchased from out-of state vendors. Pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 4-59-12 (1975), interest on the bond is also exempt from New Mexico income tax. Since, however, most modern IRBs are sold to affiliates of the Company, on a consolidated basis there is no income arising from the interest, and the income tax exemption is meaningless. (This IRB is held by an affiliate of the Company)

Legal authority under which tax abatement agreement was entered into	County Industrial Revenue Bond Act, NMSA 1978, Sections 4-59-1 to -16 (1975, as amended through 2015)	County Industrial Revenue Bond Act, NMSA 1978, Sections 4-59-1 to -16 (1975, as amended through 2015)	County Industrial Revenue Bond Act, NMSA 1978, Sections 4-59-1 to -16 (1975, as amended through 2015)
Criteria that make a recipient eligible to receive a tax abatement	 (3) a commercial enterprise that has received any necessary state permit for a refinery, treatment plant or processing plant of energy products that was not in operation prior to the issuance of bonds for which the enterprise will be involved; (4) a commercial enterprise in storing, warehousing, distributing or selling products of agriculture, mining or industry, but does not include a facility designed for the sale or distribution to the public of electricity, gas, telephone or other services commonly classified as public utilities, except for: (a) water utilities and (b) any electric generation facility other than one for which both location approval and a certificate of convenience and necessity are required prior to commencing construction or operation of the facility, pursuant to the Public Utility Act; (5) a business in which all or part of the activities of the business involve the supplying of services to the general public or to governmental agencies or to a specific industry or customer; (6) a nonprofit corporation engaged in health care services; (7) a mass transit or other transportation activity involving the movement of passengers, an industrial park, an office headquarters and a research facility; (8) a water distribution or irrigation system, 	Businesses that are eligible to receive the tax abatements described above include those that are: (1) an industry for the manufacturing, processing or assembling of agricultural or manufactured products; (2) a commercial enterprise that has received a permit from the energy, minerals and natural resources department for a mine that has not been in operation prior to the issuance of bonds for the project for which the enterprise will be involved; (3) a commercial enterprise that has received any necessary state permit for a refinery, treatment plant or processing plant of energy products that was not in operation prior to the issuance of bonds for which the enterprise will be involved; (4) a commercial enterprise in storing, warehousing, distributing or selling products of agriculture, mining or industry, but does not include a facility designed for the sale or distribution to the public of electricity, gas, telephone or other services commonly classified as public utilities, except for: (a) water utilities and (b) any electric generation facility other than one for which both location approval and a certificate of convenience and necessity are required prior to commencing construction or operation of the facility, pursuant to the Public Utility Act; (5) a business in which all or part of the activities of the business involve the supplying of services to the general public or to governmental agencies or to a specific industry or customer; (6) a nonprofit corporation engaged in health care services; (7) a mass transit or other transportation activity involving the movement of passengers, an industrial park, an office headquarters and a research facility; (8) a water distribution or irrigation system, including without limitation, pumps, distribution lines, transmission lines, towers, dams and similar facilities and equipment; and (9) a 501(c)(3) corporation.	 (3) a commercial enterprise that has received any necessary state permit for a refinery, treatment plant or processing plant of energy products that was not in operation prior to the issuance of bonds for which the enterprise will be involved; (4) a commercial enterprise in storing, warehousing, distributing or selling products of agriculture, mining or industry, but does not include a facility designed for the sale or distribution to the public of electricity, gas, telephone or other services commonly classified as public utilities, except for: (a) water utilities and (b) any electric generation facility other than one for which both location approval and a certificate of convenience and necessity are required prior to commencing construction or operation of the facility, pursuant to the Public Utility Act; (5) a business in which all or part of the activities of the business involve the supplying of services to the general public or to governmental agencies or to a specific industry or customer; (6) a nonprofit corporation engaged in health care services; (7) a mass transit or other transportation activity involving the movement of passengers, an industrial park, an office headquarters and a research facility; (8) a water distribution or irrigation system,

How are the tax abatement recipient's taxes reduced? (For example: through a reduction of assessed value)	Exemption from Property Tax; Reduction or Exemption from Gross Receipts Tax and Compensating Tax; Exemption from Income Tax		Exemption from Property Tax; Reduction or Exemption from Gross Receipts Tax and Compensating Tax; Exemption from Income Tax
How is the amount of the tax abatement determined? For example, this could be a specific dollar amount, a percentage of the tax liability, etc.	The amount of the tax subsidy is primarily determined by the nature and value of the project property, which is generally correlated with the principal amount of the IRB issue. The amount of the tax subsidy typically varies a great deal between the construction phase of the IRB project and the period following the construction phase. During the construction phase, the company is ordinarily purchasing most of the equipment and other personal property that is eligible for gross receipts and comensating tax abatements. Such abatements are effective in full, immediately, and correspond currently to 5.125% of the price (for the compensating tax) and between 6.6250% and 8.1875% depending on the location in the county (for the gross receipts tax). Exactly how much of the IRB subsidy takes the form of these excise tax abatements depends on how much of the project property corresponds to eligible tangible depreciable personal property. The IRB property tax exemption is effective starting in the first calendar year following the issuance of the bonds, and continues until the last calendar year in which the bonds are outstanding (30 years max.). The assessed value of most real property (which generally corresponds to its fair market value). The value of the IRB exemption on project real property is therefore also a function of the real property is therefore also a function of the real property is therefore also a function, may either decrease or increase, depending on market conditions, wear and tear on real property in provements, and other factors. The assessed value of most personal property in New Mexico is based on the depreciated value of the IRB exemption from property in New Mexico is based on the depreciated value of the IRB exemption from property tax of persional property will be highest early in the IRB term, but will decline relatively quickly, over the depreciation period, to the 12.5% residual value.	The amount of the tax subsidy is primarily determined by the nature and value of the project property, which is generally correlated with the principal amount of the IRB issue. The amount of the tax subsidy typically varies a great deal between the construction phase of the IRB project and the period following the construction phase. During the construction phase, the company is ordinarily purchasing most of the equipment and other personal property that is eligible for gross receipts and comensating tax abatements. Such abatements are effective in full, immediately, and correspond currently to 5.125% of the price (for the compensating tax) and between 6.6250% and 8.1875% depending on the location in the county (for the gross receipts tax). Exactly how much of the IRB subsidy takes the form of these excise tax abatements depends on how much of the project property corresponds to eligible tangible depreciable personal property. The IRB property tax exemption is effective starting in the first calendar year following the issuance of the bonds, and continues until the last calendar year in which the bonds are outstanding (30 years max.). The assessed value of most real property (which generally corresponds to its fair market value). The value of the IRB exemption on project real property is therefore also a function of the real property is fair market value. Over the term of the bonds, such fair market value, and the corresponding value of the tax exemption, may either decrease or increase, depending on market conditions, wear and tear on real property in New Mexico is based on the depreciated value of the property, except that so long as the personal property is useful and used in the taxpayer's business, the assessed value may not be less than 12.5% of the original price. Therefore, the value of the IRB exemption from property tax of persional property will be highest early in the IRB term, but will decline relatively quickly, over the depreciation period, to the 12.5% residual value.	The amount of the tax subsidy is primarily determined by the nature and value of the project property, which is generally correlated with the principal amount of the IRB issue. The amount of the tax subsidy typically varies a great deal between the construction phase of the IRB project and the period following the construction phase. During the construction phase, the company is ordinarily purchasing most of the equipment and other personal property that is eligible for gross receipts and comensating tax abatements. Such abatements are effective in full, immediately, and correspond currently to 5.125% of the price (for the compensating tax) and between 6.6250% and 8.1875% depending on the location in the county (for the gross receipts tax). Exactly how much of the IRB subsidy takes the form of these excise tax abatements depends on how much of the project property corresponds to eligible tangible depreciable personal property. The IRB property tax exemption is effective starting in the first calendar year following the issuance of the bonds, and continues until the last calendar year in which the bonds are outstanding (30 years max.). The assessed value of most real property (which generally corresponds to its fair market value). The value of the IRB exemption on project real property is therefore also a function of the real property is fair market value. Over the term of the bonds, such fair market value, and the corresponding value of the tax exemption, may either decrease or increase, depending on market conditions, wear and tear on real property in provements, and other factors. The assessed value of most personal property in New Mexico is based on the depreciated value of the property, except that so long as the personal property will be highest early in the IRB term, but will decline relatively quickly, over the depreciation period, to the 12.5% residual value.

	No	Yes	Yes
Are there provisions for recapturing abated taxes? (Yes or No)			
If there are provisions for recapturing abated taxes, describe them, including the conditions under which abated taxes become eligible for recapture.	Not Applicable	been available to the company. If the Company fails to complete the project or ceases operations within 5 years of the date of issuance of the bonds, the company must pay to the county, within 90 days of such failure or cessation, 100% (if prior to completion or in years 0-3), 80% (if in year 4), 60% (if in year 5) or 0% (if in year 6 and thereafter) of the ad valorem taxes on real and personal property that the company would have been required to pay if the bonds had not been issued by the county and the project property had been subject to ad valorem taxation, calculated as described above, plus the applicable percentage of the amount of gross receipts tax that would have been payable by vendors of the project property if the bonds had not been issued and receipts from sales of project property had not been deductible form gross receipts of the vendors, plus the applicable percentage of the amount of compensating tax that would have been payable by the company	Company is required to annually report number of full-time equivalent employees or contract service workers (collectively, "employees") employed by company at the facility. If the number of employees is less than 2 on the first December 31 following the Construction Completion Date (Dec. 1, 2017), then the company may be required to pay the County 40% (if less than 2 employees) or 100% (if less than 1 employee) of the ad valorem taxes on the Project Property that the Company would have been required to pay with respect to the property tax year corresponding to the applicable date of the Company's failure to employ the projected FTE employees if the bonds had not been issued and the Project Property had been subject to ad valorem taxation, calculated using mill levies and actual property tax valuations and rates for each such applicable tax year, and without giving effect to any other tax credits or incentives that may have been available to the company. If the Company fails to complete the project or ceases operations within 5 years of the date of issuance of the bonds, the company must pay to the county, within 90 days of such failure or cessation, 100% (if prior to completion or in years 0-3), 80% (if in year 4), 60% (if in year 5) or 0% (if in year 6 and thereafter) of the ad valorem taxes on real and personal property that the company would have been required to pay if the bonds had not been issued by the county and the project property had been subject to ad valorem taxation, calculated as described above, plus the applicable percentage of the amount of gross receipts tax that would have been payable by vendors of the project property if the bonds had not been issued and receipts from sales of project property had not been deductible form gross receipts of the vendors, plus the applicable percentage of the amount of compensating tax that would have been payable by the company with respect to project property if the bonds had not been issued.

List each specific commitment made by the recipient of the abatement.	 Commitment to pay PILOT to Elida Municipal School District, as described in item 19, below; Commitment to rebuild/restore approximately 13.6 miles of county roads that have deteriorated as a result of heavy truck traffic related to the heavy construction of the project upon completion of construction (expected cost not to exceed \$200,000); 3) Commitment to pay PILOT to county, as described in item 18, below. 	 Commitment to pay PILOT to Elida Municipal School District and Dora Consolidated School District, as described in item 19, below; 2) Commitment to pay PILOT to county, as described in item 18, below; 3) Contingent commitment to repay abated taxes, as described in item 14, above; 4) Certain obligations for maintenance and repair of county roads, as descibed in the Road Maintenance Agreement dated as of December 16, 2014 by and between the company and the county. 	School District and Dora Consolidated School District, as described in item 19, below; 2) Commitment to pay PILOT to county, as described in item 18, below; 3) Contingent
Gross dollar amount, on an accrual basis, by which the government's tax revenues were reduced during the reporting period as a result of the tax abatement agreement.	If the IRB project property were not tax exempt, the amount of the property tax owed to the county on such property would be the county's mill levy for the reporting period, multiplied by 1/3 of the property's assessed value. Although real property is generally assessed equal to its market value, there are important exceptions. Because this IRB is an electrical generation project, most of the project improvements related directly to generation and transmission, such as the solar panels, the wind towers, transmission lines, transformer, inverters and other electrical equipment (collectively, the "Electric Plant"), is assessed at its cost less accumulated straight-line depreciation, but in no event less than 20% of its cost. NMSA 1978, Section 7-36-29 (2016). This rule applies regardless of whether or not a given item of Electric Plant is real property or personal property. The assessed value of the remaining project personal property (the "Other Personalty") is determined similarly, except that the residual depreciated value is set at 12.5% of its original cost, rather than 20%. NMSA 1978, Section 7-36-33(C)(3) (1982). In order to determine the foregone property tax for the reporting period on project property, therefore, the county needs to know what the assessed value would be, if it were taxable, of the project real property (the "Project Realty Value"), the Electric Plant (the "Electric Plant Value") and the Other Personalty (the "Project Realty Value"), and together with the Project Realty Value and the Electric Plant Value, the "Values"). Unfortunately, the county has no satisfactory way of determining any of the Values. If the project real property and the Other Personalty, and the State Property Tax Bureau would have the duty of assessing the Electric Plant. Since the project real property is not taxable, however, neither of these governmental entities have any duty or reason to perform such assessments.	If the IRB project property were not tax exempt, the amount of the property tax owed to the county on such property would be the county's mill levy for the reporting period, multiplied by 1/3 of the property's assessed value. Although real property is generally assessed equal to its market value, there are important exceptions. Because this IRB is an electrical generation project, most of the project improvements related directly to generation and transmission, such as the solar panels, the wind towers, transmission lines, transformer, inverters and other electrical equipment (collectively, the "Electric Plant"), is assessed at its cost less accumulated straight-line depreciation, but in no event less than 20% of its cost. NMSA 1978, Section 7-36-29 (2016). This rule applies regardless of whether or not a given item of Electric Plant is real property or personal property. The assessed value of the remaining project personal property (the "Other Personalty") is determined similarly, except that the residual depreciated value is set at 12.5% of its original cost, rather than 20%. NMSA 1978, Section 7-36-33(C)(3) (1982). In order to determine the foregone property tax for the reporting period on project property, therefore, the county needs to know what the assessed value would be, if it were taxable, of the project real property (the "Project Realty Value"), the Electric Plant (the "Electric Plant Value") and the Other Personalty (the "Project Realty Value"), and together with the Project Realty Value and the Electric Plant Value, the "Values"). Unfortunately, the county has no satisfactory way of determining any of the Values. If the project property were taxable, then the county assessor would have the duty of assessing the project real property and the Other Personalty, and the State Property Tax Bureau would have the duty of assessing the Electric Plant. Since the project real property is not taxable, however, neither of these governmental entities have any duty or reason to perform such assessments.	the residual depreciated value is set at 12.5% of its original cost, rather than 20%. NMSA 1978, Section 7-36-33(C)(3) (1982). In order to determine the foregone property tax for the reporting period on project property, therefore, the county needs to know what the assessed value would be, if it were taxable, of the project real property (the "Project Realty Value"), the Electric Plant (the "Electric Plant Value") and the Other Personalty (the "Project Realty Value"), and together with the Project Realty Value"), and together with the Project Realty Value and the Electric Plant Value, the "Values"). Unfortunately, the county has no satisfactory way of determining any of the Values. If the project property were taxable, then the county assessor would have the duty of assessing the project real property and the Other Personalty, and the State Property Tax Bureau would have

STATE OF NEW MEXICO Roosevelt Countv Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2019

Gross dollar amount, on an accrual basis, by which the government's tax revenues were reduced during the reporting period as a result of the tax abatement agreement.

Nor does the company have any legal duty to Nor does the company have any legal duty to calculate and report independent estimates of calculate and report independent estimates of any of the Values. Since neither GASB 77 nor any any of the Values. Since neither GASB 77 nor any similar requirement was anticipated at the time similar requirement was anticipated at the time the IRB was issued, none of the IRB documents the IRB was issued, none of the IRB documents includes any provision requiring the company to includes any provision requiring the company to estimate the Project Realty Value, either on a estimate the Project Realty Value, either on a year by year basis or otherwise, or to report the year by year basis or otherwise, or to report the

cost, acquisition dates and depreciation

tax bureau that is similar to that currently

required to be reported for taxable property.

For the current reporting period, however, the

county is restricted to relatively crude estimates

as described below. The principal amount of an

IRB represents the maximum amount that a

company may expend on the IRB project. The

company is not obligated to spend the maximum

sum, and it frequently spends significantly less.

The county assumes that the actual expenditure

for the IRB was, or will be, approximately 80% of

the maximum principal amount of the bond

issue. Of such expenditures, the county assumes

that 10% corresponds to the value of the project

real property that is not included in the Electric

Plant, 85% was spent on the Electric Plant, and

5% was spent on Other Personalty. The County

further assumes that the Electric Plant and the

Other Personalty for this IRB has been fully

depreciated, and that, if it had been taxable, 10%

of the Electric Plant and Other Personalty would

have been subject to gross receipts tax, and 80%

would have been subject to compensating tax

(note that certain construction expenditures will

have been subject to gross receipts tax

notwithstanding the effect of the IRBs). The tota

County mill levy for the reporting period is

11.765 for non-residential property, and the

on the foregoing facts and assumptions, the

reduction in property and excise taxes received

by the county for the IRB may be estimated as

follows: Total Project Expenditures = (.8)

(112,000,000) = \$89,600,000 Project Realty

/alue = (.1) (89,600,000) = \$8,960,000 Foregone

ad valorm tax (real property) - (\$8,960,000/3)

(11.765/1000) = \$35,138.13. Electric Plant

Value = (.85)(\$89,600,000) =\$76,160,000.

Foregone ad valorem tax (Electric Plant) =

(\$76,160,000)(.2)(1/3)(11.765/1000) =

\$59,734.83. Other Personalty Value =

(.05)(89.600.000) =\$4.480.000 Foregone ad

valorem tax (Other Personalty Value) =

(\$4,480,000)(.125)(1/3)(11.765/1000) =

\$2,196.13. Foregone gross receipts tax = \$0

(county does not receive any portion of the compensating tax, all of which accrues to the

state. There is not gross receipts tax subsidy for

the reporting period, because that subsidy does

not survive the completion of the project [and

this project has been completed]. PILOT

payment to County = \$144,500. Total reduction

(appreciation) in county taxes (revenues) =

\$35,138.13 + \$59,734.83 + \$2,196.13 - \$144,500

=\$(47,430.91).

ounty gross receipts tax rate is 1.5000%. Based

cost, acquisition dates and depreciation schedules of the Electric Plant and the Other schedules of the Electric Plant and the Other Personalty. For future IRBs, the county can Personalty. For future IRBs, the county can incorporate provisions requiring companies incorporate provisions requiring companies penefitting from its IRBs to report information to enefitting from its IRBs to report information to the county, the county assessor and the property the county, the county assessor and the property

tax bureau that is similar to that currently required to be reported for taxable property. For the current reporting period, however, the county is restricted to relatively crude estimates as described below. The principal amount of an

IRB represents the maximum amount that a company may expend on the IRB project. The company is not obligated to spend the maximum sum, and it frequently spends significantly less. The county assumes that the actual expenditure for the IRB was, or will be, approximately 80% of

the maximum principal amount of the bond issue. Of such expenditures, the county assumes that 10% corresponds to the value of the project real property that is not included in the Electric Plant, 85% was spent on the Electric Plant, and 5% was spent on Other Personalty. Because this is a fairly recent IRB transaction, the County further assumes that the Electric Plant and the

Other Personalty for this IRB has not depreciated (estimates based on full value), and that, if it had been taxable, 10% of the Electric Plant and Other Personalty would have been subject to gross receipts tax, and 80% would have been subject to compensating tax (note that the county does not receive any portion of the compensating tax, all of which accrues to the tate and that certain construction expenditure will have been subject to gross receipts tax

otwithstanding the effect of the IRBs). The tota County mill levy for the reporting period is 11.765 for non-residential property, and the ounty gross receipts tax rate is 1.5000%. Based on the foregoing facts and assumptions, the reduction in property and excise taxes received by the county for the IRB may be estimated as follows: Total Project Expenditures = (.8)

(358,000,000) = \$286,400,000 Project Realty Value = (.1) (286,400,000) = \$28,640,000 Foregone ad valorm tax (real property) -

(\$28.640.000/3)(11.765/1000) = \$112.316.53Electric Plant Value = (.85)(\$286,400,000) = \$243,440,000. Foregone ad valorem tax (Electric Plant) = (\$243,400,000)(1/3)(11.765/1000) = \$954,690.53. Other Personalty Value = (.05)(286,400,000) = \$14,320,000 Foregone ad valorem tax (Other Personalty Value) = (\$14,320,000)(1/3) (11.765/1000) \$56,158.27. Foregone gross receipts tax (\$243,440,000 + \$14,320,000)(.1)(.015000) = \$386,580. PILOT payment to County = \$366.000. Total reduction (appreciation) in county taxes (revenues) = \$112.316.53 -

\$954,690.53 + \$56,158.27 + \$386,580 -\$366,000 = \$1,143,745.33.

Nor does the company have any legal duty to calculate and report independent estimates of any of the Values. Since neither GASB 77 nor any similar requirement was anticipated at the time the IRB was issued, none of the IRB documents includes any provision requiring the company to estimate the Project Realty Value, either on a year by year basis or otherwise, or to report the

cost, acquisition dates and depreciation schedules of the Electric Plant and the Other Personalty. For future IRBs, the county can incorporate provisions requiring companies penefitting from its IRBs to report information to the county, the county assessor and the property tax bureau that is similar to that currently

required to be reported for taxable property. For the current reporting period, however, the county is restricted to relatively crude estimates as described below. The principal amount of an

IRB represents the maximum amount that a company may expend on the IRB project. The company is not obligated to spend the maximum sum, and it frequently spends significantly less The county assumes that the actual expenditure for the IRB was, or will be, approximately 80% o

the maximum principal amount of the bond issue. Of such expenditures, the county assume that 10% corresponds to the value of the project real property that is not included in the Electric Plant, 85% was spent on the Electric Plant, and 5% was spent on Other Personalty. Because this is a fairly recent IRB transaction, the County further assumes that the Electric Plant and the

Other Personalty for this IRB has not depreciated (estimates based on full value), and that, if it had been taxable, 10% of the Electric Plant and Other Personalty would have been subject to gross receipts tax, and 80% would

ave been subject to compensating tax (note that the county does not receive any portion of the compensating tax, all of which accrues to the state and that certain construction expenditure will have been subject to gross receipts tax

notwithstanding the effect of the IRBs). The tota County mill levy for the reporting period is

11.765 for non-residential property, and the county gross receipts tax rate is 1.5000%. Based on the foregoing facts and assumptions, the reduction in property and excise taxes received by the county for the IRB may be estimated as follows: Total Project Expenditures = (.8)

(72,000,000) = \$57,600,000 Project Realty Value = (.1) (57,600,000) = \$5,760,000 Foregon ad valorm tax (real property) - (\$5,760,000/3) (11.765/1000) = \$22.588.80. Electric Plant Value = (.85)(\$57,600,000) = \$48,960,000. Foregone ad valorem tax (Electric Plant) = (\$48,960,000)(1/3) (11.765/1000) = \$192,004.80. Other Personalty Value = (.05)(57,600,000) = \$2,880,000 Foregone ad valorem tax (Other Personalty Value) = (\$2,880,000)(1/3) (11.765/1000) = \$11,294.40 Foregone gross receipts tax = (\$48,960,000 + \$2,880,000)(.1)(.015000) = \$77,760. PILOT payment to County = \$75,000. Total reduction

(appreciation) in county taxes (revenues) = \$22,588.80 + \$192,004.80 + \$11,294.40 + \$77,760 - \$75,000 = \$228,648.

For any Payments in Lieu of Taxes (PILOTs) or similar payments receivable by your agency or another agency in association with the foregone tax	of the school district in which a project is located and the board of county commissioners, the local school board and the person proposing the project negotiate and determine the amount of annual in-lieu tax payment to be made to the school district by the person proposing the project, for the period that the county owns and leases the project, and provided such approval	generation facility facility project unless the acquisition is approved by the local school board of the school district in which a project is located and the board of county commissioners, the local school board and the person proposing the project negotiate and determine the amount of annual in-lieu tax payment to be made to the school district by the person proposing the project, for the period that the county owns and leases the project, and provided such approval	of the school district in which a project is located and the board of county commissioners, the local school board and the person proposing the project negotiate and determine the amount of annual in-lieu tax payment to be made to the school district by the person proposing the project, for the period that the county owns and leases the project, and provided such approval
revenue, list the authority for and describe the payment, including the agency that is supposed to receive the payment	shall not be unreasonably withheld."); NMSA 1978, Section 4-59-4(B) (2003) (Authorizing county "to sell or lease or otherwise dispose of any or all of its projects upon such terms and conditions as the [county] commission may deem advisable and as shall no conflict with the provisions of the County Industrial Revenue Bond Act[.]").	shall not be unreasonably withheld."); NMSA 1978, Section 4-59-4(B) (2003) (Authorizing county "to sell or lease or otherwise dispose of any or all of its projects upon such terms and conditions as the [county] commission may deem advisable and as shall no conflict with the provisions of the County Industrial Revenue Bond Act[.]").	shall not be unreasonably withheld."); NMSA 1978, Section 4-59-4(B) (2003) (Authorizing county "to sell or lease or otherwise dispose of any or all of its projects upon such terms and conditions as the [county] commission may deem advisable and as shall no conflict with the provisions of the County Industrial Revenue Bond Act[.]").
For any Payments in Lieu of Taxes (PILOTs) or similar payments <u>receivable by your</u> <u>agency</u> in association with the foregone tax revenue, list the amount of payments received in the current fiscal year	\$144,500	\$366,000	\$75,000

For any Payments in Lieu of Taxes (PILOTs) or similar payments <u>receivable by a</u> <u>different agency</u> in association with the foregone tax revenue, list the name of the agency and the amount of payments received in the current fiscal year	Elida Municipal School District - \$148,000	Elida Municipal School District & Dora Consolidated School District - \$215,000 (combined amount) - The amount of the PILOT to be paid to each school district shall be prorated based on number of MegaWatts of generation capacity associated with the portion of the facility located within each school district.	Elida Municipal School District & Dora Consolidated School District - \$44,000 (combined amount) - The amount of the PILOT to be paid to each school district shall be prorated based on number of MegaWatts of generation capacity associated with the portion of the facility located within each school district.
List each specific commitment made by your agency or any other government, other than the tax abatement.	None, other than covenants related to maintenance of the bonds and the related bond agreements during the term of the bonds.	None, other than covenants related to maintenance of the bonds and the related bond agreements during the term of the bonds.	None, other than covenants related to maintenance of the bonds and the related bond agreements during the term of the bonds.
Are any other governments affected by this tax abatement agreement? (Yes or No) If yes, list each affected agency and complete an intergovernmental disclosure for each such agency.	Yes: 1) Elida Municipal School District; 2) State of New Mexico	Yes: 1) Elida Municipal School District; 2) Dora Consolidated School District; 3) State of New Mexico	Yes: 1) Elida Municipal School District; 2) Dora Consolidated School District; 3) State of New Mexico

Roosevelt County Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2019

If your agency is omitting any information required in this spreadsheet or by GASB 77, cite the legal basis for such omission.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
If your agency is omitting any information required in this spreadsheet or by GASB 77, cite the legal basis for such omission.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
If your agency is omitting any information required in this spreadsheet or by GASB 77, cite the legal basis for such omission.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

NOTE 17. Concentrations

The County depends on financial resources flowing from, or associated with, property taxes, gross receipts taxes, the Federal Government and the State of New Mexico. Because of this dependency, the County is subject to changes in specific flows of intergovernmental revenues based on modifications to Federal and State laws and Federal and State Appropriations; changes in gross receipts tax rates, collections, tourism, and property values.

Roosevelt County Notes to the Financial Statements June 30, 2019

NOTE 18. Subsequent Pronouncements

In June 2017, GASB Statement No. 87 *Leases*, was issued. Effective Date: The provisions of this Statement are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019. The County is still evaluating the significance of the impact from this pronouncement on its financial statements.

In June 2018, GASB Statement No. 89, *Accounting for Interest Cost Incurred before the End of a Construction Period*, was issued. Effective Date: The requirements of this Statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2019. The standard will be implemented during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2021. The County is still evaluating how this pronouncement will affect the financial statements.

In August 2019, GASB Statement No. 90, *Majority Equity Interest-an amendment of GASB Statements No. 14 and No. 61*, was issued. Effective Date: The provisions of this Statement are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2020. The County does not expect this pronouncement to have a material effect on the financial statements.

In May 2019, GASB Statement No. 91, *Conduit Debt Obligations*, was issued. Effective Date: The requirements of this Statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2020. The County does not expect this pronouncement to have a material effect on the financial statements.

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Roosevelt County Schedule of the County's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability of PERA Fund Municipal General Division Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) Plan Last 10 Fiscal Years*

	2019 Measurement Date (As of and for the year ended June 30, 2018)		2018 Measurement Date (As of and for the year ended June 30, 2017)		2017 Measurement Date (As of and for the year ended June 30, 2016)	
Roosevelt County's proportion of the net pension liability		0.3007%		0.2553%		0.2343%
Roosevelt County's proportionate share of the net pension liability	\$	4,794,271	\$	3,508,038	\$	3,743,326
Roosevelt County's covered payroll	\$	2,452,214	\$	2,244,598	\$	1,937,232
Roosevelt County's proportionate share of the net pension liability as a percentage		195.51%		156.29%		193.23%
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability		71.13%		73.74%		69.18%

* The amounts presented were determined as of June 30. This schedule is presented to illustrate the requirement to show information for 10 years. However, until a full 10-year trend is compiled, Roosevelt County will present information for those years for which information is available.

Schedule A-1 Page 1 of 2

(As o y	2016 urement Date of and for the ear ended ae 30, 2015)	2015 Measurement Date (As of and for the year ended June 30, 2014)			
	0.2761%		0.2866%		
\$	2,815,079	\$	2,235,788		
\$	2,312,147	\$	2,521,773		
	121.75%		88.66%		
	76.99%		81.29%		

Roosevelt County Schedule of the County's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability of PERA Fund Municipal Police Division Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) Plan Last 10 Fiscal Years*

	2019 Measurement Date (As of and for the year ended June 30, 2018)		2018 Measurement Date (As of and for the year ended June 30, 2017)		2017 Measurement Date (As of and for the year ended June 30, 2016)	
Roosevelt County's proportion of the net pension liability		0.3124%		0.2909%		0.2971%
Roosevelt County's proportionate share of the net pension liability	\$	2,131,519	\$	1,616,140	\$	2,192,092
Roosevelt County's covered payroll	\$	637,980	\$	597,831	\$	564,085
Roosevelt County's proportionate share of the net pension liability as a percentage		334.10%		270.33%		388.61%
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability		71.13%		73.74%		69.18%

* The amounts presented were determined as of June 30. This schedule is presented to illustrate the requirement to show information for 10 years. However, until a full 10-year trend is compiled, Roosevelt County will present information for those years for which information is available.

Schedule A-1 Page 2 of 2

(As of y	2016 urement Date of and for the ear ended he 30, 2015)	2015 Measurement Date (As of and for the year ended June 30, 2014)			
	0.3040%		0.2651%		
\$	1,461,802	\$	864,197		
\$	596,549	\$	499,825		
	245.04%		172.90%		
	76.99%		81.29%		

Roosevelt County Schedule of the County's Contributions Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) Plan PERA Fund Municipal General Division Last 10 Fiscal Years*

	As of and for the year ended June 30, 2019		As of and for the year ended June 30, 2018		As of and for the year ended June 30, 2017	
Contractually required contribution	\$	230,986	\$	234,187	\$	214,359
Contributions in relation to the contractually required contribution		(230,986)		(234,187)		(214,359)
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$		\$		\$	-
Roosevelt County's covered payroll	\$	2,428,897	\$	2,452,214	\$	2,244,598
Contributions as a percentage of covered payroll		9.51%		9.55%		9.55%

* The amounts presented were determined as of June 30. This schedule is presented to illustrate the requirement to show information for 10 years. However, until a full 10-year trend is compiled, Roosevelt County will present information for those years for which information is available.

Schedule A-2 Page 1 of 2

y	of and for the ear ended ne 30, 2016	As of and for the year ended June 30, 2015			
\$	185,006	\$	220,810		
	(185,006)		(220,810)		
\$	-	\$	-		
\$	1,937,232	\$	2,312,147		
	9.55%		9.55%		

Roosevelt County Schedule of the County's Contributions Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) Plan PERA Fund Municipal Police Division Last 10 Fiscal Years*

	As of and for the year ended June 30, 2019		As of and for the year ended June 30, 2018		y	f and for the ear ended ne 30, 2017
Contractually required contribution	\$	124,306	\$	120,578	\$	112,990
Contributions in relation to the contractually required contribution		(124,306)		(120,578)		(112,990)
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Roosevelt County's covered payroll	\$	657,706	\$	637,980	\$	597,831
Contributions as a percentage of covered payroll		18.90%		18.90%		18.90%

* The amounts presented were determined as of June 30. This schedule is presented to illustrate the requirement to show information for 10 years. However, until a full 10-year trend is compiled, Roosevelt County will present information for those years for which information is available.

Schedule A-2 Page 2 of 2

ye	f and for the ear ended ee 30, 2016	110	of and for the year ended une 30, 2015
\$	106,612	\$	112,748
	(106,612)		(112,748)
\$	-	\$	_
\$	564,085	\$	596,549
	18.90%		18.90%

STATE OF NEW MEXICO Roosevelt County Notes to Required Supplementary Information June 30, 2019

PERA

Changes of benefit terms: The PERA and COLA and retirement eligibility benefits changes in recent years are described in Note 1 of PERA's CFAR. https://www.saonm.org

Assumptions: The Public Employ Retirement Association of New Mexico Annual Actuarial Valuations as of June 2018 report is available at http://www.nmpera.org/

Page Left Intentionally Blank

Roosevelt County Schedule of Proportionate Share of the Net OPEB Liabilit Retiree Health Care OPEB Plan Last 10 Fiscal Years*

	Dat fo	2019 easurement te (As of and or the Year led June 30, 2018)	2018 Measurement Date (As of and for the Year Ended June 30, 2017)		
Roosevelt County's proportion of the net OPEB liability (asset)		0.07706%		0.07084%	
Roosevelt County's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability (asset)	\$	3,350,842	\$	3,210,237	
Roosevelt County's covered payroll		3,131,087		2,950,945	
Roosevelt County's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability as a percentage of its covered payroll		107.02%		108.79%	
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total OPEB liability		13.14%		11.34%	

* Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 75 requires ten years of historical information be presented; however, until a full 10-year trend is compiled, the statement only requires presentation of information for those years that information is available. Complete information for Roosevelt County's is not available prior to fiscal year 2018, the year the statement's requirements became effective.

Roosevelt County Schedule of Contributions Retiree Health Care OPEB Plan Last 10 Fiscal Years*

	Year	and for the Ended June 0, 2019	As of and for the Year Ended June 30, 2018		
Contractually required contribution	\$	66,355	\$	66,964	
Contributions in relation to the contractually required contribution		66,355		66,964	
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$	-	\$		
Roosevelt County's covered payroll		3,116,134		3,131,087	
Contribution as a percentage of covered payroll		2.13%		2.14%	

* Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 75 requires ten years of historical information be presented; however, until a full 10-year trend is compiled, the statement only requires presentation of information for those years that information is available. Complete information for Roosevelt County's is not available prior to fiscal year 2018, the year the statement's requirements became effective.

Page Left Intentionally Blank

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Roosevelt County Nonmajor Fund Descriptions June 30, 2019

Special Revenue Funds

<u>Predatory Animal Control Special Revenue Fund</u> – To account for funds tied directly to the management of the County's population of predatory animals. Financing is provided by Taylor Grazing Act (6-11-5; NMSA 1978 Compilation) and from transfers from General Fund requested and approved by the governing body.

<u>County Healthcare Special Revenue Fund</u> – To account for revenues received from state shared gross receipts taxes for hospital service for indigent citizens of the County. The fund was created by authority of state statute (see Section 7-20E-9, NMSA 1978 Compilation).

Sheriff's Evidence Special Revenue Fund – To account for evidence seized by the Sheriff's Office. Fund was created by authority of the governing body by default upon approval of the budget.

Law Enforcement Protection Special Revenue Fund – To account for revenues and expenditures for maintaining and improving the County's law enforcement department in order to enhance its efficiency and effectiveness. Funding is from the State appropriation authorized by Section 29-13-3, NMSA.

<u>Corrections Special Revenue Fund</u> – To account for funds tied directly to inmate welfare. Fund was created by authority of state statute 33-3-25.

<u>County Clerk's User Fees Special Revenue Fund</u> – To account for portion of the filing fee charged by the County Clerk. For each fee of twenty-five dollars (\$25.00) collected by the county clerk pursuant to this section, eighteen dollars (\$18.00) shall be deposited in the county general fund and seven dollars (\$7.00) shall be deposited in the county clerk recording and filing fund. Fund was created by authority of state statute Section 14-8-13 NMSA 1978 and 14-8-15.

<u>Misdemeanor Probation Special Revenue Fund</u> – To account for the Misdemeanor Probation Monitoring Officer for Roosevelt County Magistrate Court, a program authorized pursuant to NMSA § 31-20-5.1 NMSA; Roosevelt County's program complies with guidelines established by the Administrative Office of the Courts.

Traffic Grants Special Revenue Fund – To account for grants awarded to Sheriff's Office for traffic enforcement and alcohol monitoring. Fund was created by authority of the governing body by default upon approval of the budget.

<u>Milnesand Fire/EMS Special Revenue Fund</u> – To account for revenues and expenditures of County fire and EMS funds for the community of Milnesand and the surrounding area. Funding is provided by transfers from General Fund and Ambulance Service Fees. Fund was created by authority of the governing body by default upon approval of the budget.

<u>Milnesand Fire Protection Special Revenue Fund</u> – To account for revenues and expenditures of fire protection funds for the community of Milnesand and the surrounding area. Funding is provided by allotments from the New Mexico State Fire Marshall's Office. The funds were created under the authority of state statute (see Section 59A-53-5, NMSA 1978 Compilation).

<u>Milnesand EMS Special Revenue Fund</u> – To account for revenues and expenditures of EMS funds for the community of Milnesand and the surrounding area. Funding is provided by grants from the State of New Mexico Health Department to be utilized for emergency services provided within the County. Funding is authorized by Section 24-10A-6, NMSA 1978.

<u>Arch Fire/EMS Special Revenue Fund</u> – To account for revenues and expenditures of County fire and EMS funds for the community of Arch and the surrounding area. Funding is provided by transfers from General Fund and Ambulance Service Fees. Fund was created by authority of the governing body by default upon approval of the budget.

Roosevelt County Nonmajor Fund Descriptions June 30, 2019

Special Revenue Funds (continued)

<u>Arch Fire Protection Special Revenue Fund</u> – To account for revenues and expenditures of fire protection funds for the communities of Arch and the surrounding area. Funding is provided by allotments from the New Mexico State Fire Marshall's Office. The funds were created under the authority of state statute (see Section 59A-53-5, NMSA 1978 Compilation).

<u>Arch EMS Special Revenue Fund</u> – To account for revenues and expenditures of EMS funds for the community of Arch and the surrounding area. Funding is provided by grants from the State of New Mexico Health Department to be utilized for emergency services provided within the County. Funding is authorized by Section 24-10A-6, NMSA, 1978.

<u>Reappraisal Special Revenue Fund</u> – To account for funds used to provide valuation services to the County and other local entities. This fund was created by authority of state statute (see Section 7-38-38.1, NMSA 1978 Compilation).

Debt Service Funds

Detention Center Bond Debt Service Fund-To account for funds provided from the County's bond issue for the purpose of remodeling, making additions to, or improving the grounds of the Detention Center. Authority for the creation of the fund is by County Commission Ordinance and the County Commission budget adoption and approval. In August 2016, by County Commission Ordinance, the Gross Receipts Tax Revenue Bond Series 2006 was refunded and refinanced under a Loan Agreement and Intercept Agreement with New Mexico Finance Authority.

Indoor Arena Debt Service Fund – To account for funds used to accumulate resources to retire bonds issued for the purpose of purchasing and equipping an indoor arena at the fairgrounds. Authority for creation of the fund is by County Commission Ordinance and the County Commission budget adoption and approval.

<u>Courthouse Renovation Debt Service Fund</u> – To account for NMFA loan acquired for the Courthouse mold remediation and HVAC renovation. This fund was approved by the governing body by default upon approval of the budget.

<u>Magistrate Court Debt Service Fund</u> – To account for NMFA loan acquired for the design and construction of the Magistrate Court building. It was approved by the governing body by default upon approval of the budget.

Roosevelt County Combining Balance Sheet Nonmajor Governmental Funds June 30, 2019

Special Revenue

	Predatory Animal Control		County Healthcare		Sheriff's Evidence		Law Enforcement Protection	
Assets								
Cash and cash equivalents Investments	\$	2,454 -	\$ 49,833 -	\$	2,876 -	\$	950 -	
Current receivables:			== 00=					
Other taxes Other		-	55,885		-		-	
Inventory		623	 					
Prepaid insurance		-	-		-		-	
Due from other funds			 -		-		-	
Total assets	\$	3,077	\$ 105,718	\$	2,876	\$	950	
Liabilities								
Accounts payable	\$	-	\$ 640	\$	-	\$	-	
Accrued payroll		-	 532		-		-	
Total liabilities		-	 1,172		-		-	
<i>Fund balances</i> Spendable								
Restricted for:								
General county operations		-	-		-		-	
EMS and fire departments		-	-		-		-	
Public safety Health and welfare		- 3,077	- 104,546		-		950	
Debt service expenditures		- 3,077	-		-		-	
Committed to:								
Sheriff's evidence		-	-		2,876		-	
EMS and fire departments		-	 		-		-	
Total fund balances		3,077	 104,546		2,876		950	
Total liabilities and fund balances	\$	3,077	\$ 105,718	\$	2,876	\$	950	

Special Revenue	al Revenue	1
------------------------	------------	---

Сот	rrections	County Clerk'sMisdemeanorUser FeesProbration		Traffic Grants		Milnesand Fire/EMS		
\$	58,511 -	\$ 9,280 111,566	\$	12,709 -	\$	2,992 -	\$	152,853 -
	- 1,365	 -		-		- 1,139		-
	- - -	- - -		-		-		- - -
\$	59,876	\$ 120,846	\$	12,709	\$	4,131	\$	152,853
\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	- 1,205	\$	7
		 -		-		1,205		7
	-	120,846		-		-		-
	- 59,876	-		- 12,709		۔ 2,926		-
	-	-		-		-		-
	-	 -		-		-		- 152,846
	59,876	 120,846		12,709		2,926		152,846
\$	59,876	\$ 120,846	\$	12,709	\$	4,131	\$	152,853

Roosevelt County Combining Balance Sheet Nonmajor Governmental Funds June 30, 2019

Special Revenue

	Milnesand Fire Protection		Milnesand EMS		Arch	Fire/EMS	Arch Fire Protection		
Assets									
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	108,083	\$	-	\$	30,948	\$	150,469	
Investments		109,548		-		-		-	
Current receivables:									
Other taxes		-		-		-		-	
Other Inventory		-		-		-		-	
Prepaid insurance		-		-		-		-	
Due from other funds		-				-		<u> </u>	
Total assets	\$	217,631	\$		\$	30,948	\$	150,469	
Liabilities									
Accounts payable	\$	67	\$	-	\$	-	\$	19	
Accrued payroll		-		-		-		-	
Total liabilities		67		-		-		19	
Fund balances									
Spendable									
Restricted for:									
General county operations		- 217,564		-		-		- 150,450	
EMS and fire departments Public safety		217,304		-		-		150,450	
Health and welfare		-		-		_		-	
Debt service expenditures		-		-		-		-	
Committed to:									
Sheriff's evidence		-		-		-		-	
EMS and fire departments				-		30,948			
Total fund balances		217,564		-		30,948		150,450	
Total liabilities and fund balances	\$	217,631	\$	-	\$	30,948	\$	150,469	

```
Debt Service
```

Arch EMS		Reappraisal		Detention Center		oor Arena	Courthouse Renovation		
\$	-	\$	31,773 250,447	\$ 22,773	\$	262,338 -	\$	203,189 -	
	-		-	-		-		-	
	-			 		- -			
\$	-	\$	282,220	\$ 22,773	\$	262,338	\$	203,189	
\$	-	\$	22	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	
			22	 -		-		-	
			202 100						
	-		282,198 - -	-		-		-	
	-		-	- 22,773		- 262,338		- 203,189	
	-		-	 -		-		-	
	-		282,198	 22,773		262,338		203,189	
\$		\$	282,220	\$ 22,773	\$	262,338	\$	203,189	

Roosevelt County Combining Balance Sheet Nonmajor Governmental Funds June 30, 2019

Debt Service

	Magi	strate Court	Total Nonmajor Governmental Funds		
Assets					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	698,379	\$	1,800,410	
Investments Current receivables:		-		471,561	
Other taxes		-		55,885	
Other		-		3,127	
Inventory		-		-	
Prepaid insurance		-		-	
Due from other funds				-	
Total assets	\$	698,379	\$	2,330,983	
Liabilities					
Accounts payable	\$	447	\$	1,202	
Accrued payroll		-		1,737	
Total liabilities		447		2,939	
Fund balances					
Spendable					
Restricted for: General county operations				403,044	
EMS and fire departments		-		368,014	
Public safety		-		76,461	
Health and welfare		-		107,623	
Debt service expenditures		697,932		1,186,232	
Committed to:					
Sheriff's evidence		-		2,876	
EMS and fire departments				183,794	
Total fund balances		697,932		2,328,044	
Total liabilities and fund balances	\$	698,379	\$	2,330,983	

Page Left Intentionally Blank

Roosevelt County Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances Nonmajor Governmental Funds For the Year Ended June 30, 2019

		Special I	Revenue			
	latory Control	County ealthcare		eriff's dence	Law Enforcement Protection	
Revenues						
Taxes:	\$	\$	¢		\$	
Gross receipts Intergovernmental:	\$ -	\$ 528,458	\$	-	\$	-
State operating grants	623	_		-		27,800
Charges for services	-	-		-		-
Licenses and fees	-	-		-		-
Investment income	-	-		-		-
Miscellaneous	-	-		386		-
Total revenues	 623	528,458		386		27,800
Expenditures						
Current:						
General government	-	-		-		-
Public safety	-	-		-		31,064
Health and welfare	-	559,511		-		-
Economic development	-	-		-		-
Capital outlay Debt service:	-	-		-		-
Principal	_	_		_		_
Interest	-	-		-		_
Total expenditures	 -	 559,511		-		31,064
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over						
expenditures	 623	 (31,053)		386		(3,264)
Other financing sources (uses)						
Transfers in	 -	 -		-		-
Total other financing sources (uses)	 -	 -				-
Net change in fund balances	623	(31,053)		386		(3,264)
Fund balances - beginning of year	 2,454	 135,599		2,490		4,214
Fund balances - end of year	\$ 3,077	\$ 104,546	\$	2,876	\$	950

				Speci	al Revenue				
Corrections		County Clerk's User Fees			Misdemeanor Probration		fic Grants	Milnesand Fire/EMS	
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	-		-		-		9,169		10,027
	71,283		-		79,185		-		-
	-		25,235		-		-		-
	-		2,939		-		-		-
	80 71,363		- 28,174		- 79,185		9,169		- 10,027
	,		-,		.,		.,		
	-		6,598		-		-		-
	55,713		-		75,868		8,330		3,387
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		10,261		-		-		24,420
	-		- 12		-		-		-
	55,713		16,871		75,868		8,330		27,807
	55,715		10,071		73,000		0,330		27,007
	15,650		11,303		3,317		839		(17,780)
	-		-		-		-		3,500
	-		-		-		-		3,500
	15,650		11,303		3,317		839		(14,280)
	44,226		109,543		9,392		2,087		167,126
\$	59,876	\$	120,846	\$	12,709	\$	2,926	\$	152,846

Roosevelt County Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances Nonmajor Special Revenue Funds For the Year Ended June 30, 2019

Special Revenue

				Special	Nevenue		
	Milnesand Protect		Milnes	and EMS	Arch F	ire/EMS	rch Fire otection
Revenues							
Taxes:							
Gross receipts	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
Intergovernmental:	1 7	0.044		7.071		(200	00.01.4
State operating grants	17	0,344		7,071		6,208	80,914
Charges for services		-		-		-	-
Licenses and fees		- 1 507		-		-	-
Investment income Miscellaneous		1,537		-		-	-
	17	-		-		-	 -
Total revenues	1/	1,881		7,071		6,208	 80,914
Expenditures							
Current:							
General government		-		_		-	-
Public safety	5	0,837		7,073		4,946	23,398
Health and welfare	5	- 0,007		-		-	
Economic development		-		-		-	-
Capital outlay		-		-		-	-
Debt service:							
Principal		-		-		-	-
Interest		-		-		-	-
Total expenditures	5	0,837		7,073		4,946	23,398
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over							
expenditures	12	1,044		(2)		1,262	57,516
Other financing sources (uses)							
Transfers in		-		-		3,500	-
Total other financing sources (uses)		-		-		3,500	-
Net change in fund balances	12	1,044		(2)		4,762	57,516
Fund balances - beginning of year	9	6,520		2		26,186	 92,934
Fund balances - end of year	\$ 21	7,564	\$	-	\$	30,948	\$ 150,450

	Special I	Revenu	ie	Debt Service							
A	rch EMS	Reappraisal		Detenti Reappraisal Cente		Ind	oor Arena	Courthouse Renovation			
\$	-	\$	-	\$	222,204	\$	-	\$	-		
	-		-		-		-		-		
	-		-		-		-		-		
	-		87,769		-		-		-		
	-		7,820		2,345		2,972		1,322		
	-		-		-		-		-		
			95,589		224,549		2,972		1,322		
			F2 140								
	- 2 5 5 5 5		52,149		-		-		-		
	2,555		-		-		-		-		
	-		-		-		-		- 19,140		
	-		-		-		-		19,140		
	-		-		202,802		56,000		97,000		
	-		260		19,206		59,247		82,389		
	2,555		52,409		222,008		115,247		198,529		
	(2,555)		43,180		2,541		(112,275)		(197,207)		
	_		-		-		115,427		179,905		
	-		-		-		115,427		179,905		
	(2,555)		43,180		2,541		3,152		(17,302)		
	2,555 239,018		239,018		20,232		259,186	220,491			
\$		\$	282,198	\$	22,773	\$	262,338	\$	203,189		

Statement A-2 Page 3 of 3

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

Roosevelt County Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances Nonmajor Governmental Funds For the Year Ended June 30, 2019

	De	bt Service	
	Magis	strate Court	al Nonmajor vernmental Funds
Revenues			
Taxes:			
Gross receipts	\$	-	\$ 750,662
Intergovernmental:			
State operating grants		350,654	662,810
Charges for services		-	150,468
Licenses and fees		-	113,004
Investment income		6,595	25,530
Miscellaneous		-	 466
Total revenues		357,249	 1,702,940
Expenditures			
Current:			
General government		15,525	74,272
Public safety		-	263,171
Health and welfare		-	559,511
Economic development		-	19,140
Capital outlay		-	34,681
Debt service:			
Principal		164,185	519,987
Interest		100,357	 261,471
Total expenditures		280,067	 1,732,233
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures		77,182	 (29,293)
Other financing sources (uses)			
Transfers in		-	 302,332
Total other financing sources (uses)		-	 302,332
Net change in fund balances		77,182	273,039
Fund balances - beginning of year		620,750	 2,055,005
Fund balances - end of year	\$	697,932	\$ 2,328,044

SUPPORTING SCHEDULES

Roosevelt County Schedule of Collateral Pledged by Depository For Public Funds June 30, 2019

Name of	Description of		CUSIP		air Market Value at
Depository	Pledged Collateral	Maturity	Number	Jur	ne 30, 2019
James Polk Sto	one Community Bank				
	FHLB QTRLY CALL	9/23/2031	3130A9B83	\$	976,364
	FHLB QTRLY CALL	10/28/2031	3130A9QR5		989,026
	FHLB QTRLY CALL	10/28/2031	3130A9RN3		494,971
	FHLB QTRLY CALL	10/28/2031	3130A9RN3		989,941
	FHLB QTRLY CALL	10/28/2031	3130A9RN3		989,941
	FHLB QTRLY CALL	11/10/2031	3130A9SA0		442,146
	FHLMC QTRLY CALL	4/20/2032	3134GBHG0		1,000,085
	FHLMC QTRLY CALL	10/26/2032	3134GBS60		649,996
	ROSWELL NM SCH	8/1/2020	778550JT1		151,077
Total James P	olk Stone Community Bank			\$	6,683,547
	ocation of safekeeper for above p ent Bankers bank, Dallas, Texas				
Total Plea	lged Collateral			\$	6,683,547

STATE OF NEW MEXICO Roosevelt County Schedule of Deposit and Investment Accounts June 30, 2019

Bank Account Type/Name	James Polk Stone Bank	Moreton Capital Markets	New Mexico Finance Authority	Totals
Checking-Treasurer	\$ 938	\$-	\$ -	\$ 938
Checking-Treasurer Hold St	3,639,238	-	-	3,639,238
Checking-Detention Account Checking-Treasurers Sheriff's	20,592	-	-	20,592
Evidence Account	2,876	-	-	2,876
Checking-Treasurer Assessor	10,593	-	-	10,593
PPRF-2561 RSEVLTCTY 6	-	-	27,944	27,944
PPRF-2561 RSEVLTCTY 6	-	-	118,333	118,333
PPRF-3115 RSEVLTCTY 7	-	-	53,973	53,973
PPRF-3115 RSEVLTCTY 7	-	-	258,502	258,502
PPRF-3147 RSEVLTCTY 8	-	-	-	-
PPRF-3147 RSEVLTCTY 8	-	-	30,244	30,244
PPRF-3503 RSEVLTCTY 9	-	-	22,773	22,773
U.S Government Money Market	-	4,193,590	-	4,193,590
Total	3,674,237	4,193,590	511,769	8,379,596
Reconciling items	(649,610)	<u>-</u>		(649,610)
Reconciled balance	3,024,627	4,193,590	511,769	7,729,986
Less: investments per Exhibit A	-1			(4,193,590)
Plus: petty cash				400
Less: fiduciary funds cash per E	xhibit D-1			(73,278)
Less: restricted cash and cash e		ibit A-1		(511,769)
Total unrestricted cash and cash	equivalents per Ex	hibit A-1		\$ 2,951,749

Page Left Intentionally Blank

STATE OF NEW MEXICO Roosevelt County Schedule of Tax Roll Reconciliation- Property Tax Receivable June 30, 2019

Property taxes receivable June 30, 2018	\$	740,429
Changes to Tax Roll: Net taxes charged to Treasurer for fiscal year	;	8,928,755
Adjustments: Charge off of taxes receivable Adjustments (net)		15 4,105
Total receivables prior to collections		8,924,635
Collections for fiscal year ended June 30, 2019	(8,776,362)
Property taxes receivable June 30, 2019	\$	888,702
Per Treasurer's report:		
Property taxes receivable by year:		
2009	\$	-
2010		-
2011		87
2012		101
2013		96
2014		302
2015		148,683
2016		137,964
2017		119,484
2018		481,985
Total property taxes receivable	\$	888,702

Agency	Pro	perty Taxes Levied		llected In rent Year	Col	llected To- Date	Distributed In Current Year	
Assessor Special Assessment								
951 DFA/ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES								
2009	\$	41,611	\$	-	\$	41,048	\$	-
2010		38,007		-		37,480		-
2011		38,191		-		37,633		-
2012		48,845		-		48,065		-
2013		45,867		-		45,061		-
2014		41,178		-		41,023		-
2015		46,053		-		45,988		-
2016		46,095		-		45,925		-
2017		52,019		2,710		51,520		2,710
2018		46,400		44,071		44,071		44,071
Total	\$	444,265	\$	46,781	\$	437,812	\$	46,781
952 DFA/ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES								
2009	\$	15	\$	_	\$	15	\$	_
2010	Ψ	15	Ψ	_	Ψ	15	Ψ	_
2010		26		-		26		-
2012		50		-		50		-
2013		19		-		19		-
2014		15		-		15		-
2015		19		-		19		-
2016		35		-		35		-
2017		32		-		32		-
2018		36		35		35		35
Total	\$	261	\$	35	\$	261	\$	35
953 DFA/ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES 2009	\$	21	\$	_	\$	21	\$	_
2009	φ	21	φ	-	φ	21	φ	-
2010		22		-		22		-
2011		29 49		-		29 39		-
2012		48		-		35		-
2013		55		-		55		-
2014		63		16		63		16
2013		85		28		85		28
2010		263		6		239		6
2017		149		91		91		91
Total	\$	784	\$	140	\$	679	\$	140
	-*		-	2.10	-	0.7	-	

Dist	ributed To- Date		urrent mount		To-Date Amount	Undistributed At Year End			ounty ivable At
\$	41,048	\$	-	\$	564	\$	-	\$	-
	37,480		-		527		-		-
	37,633		-		558		-		-
	48,065		-		780		-		-
	45,061		-		805		-		-
	41,023		-		155		-		- 65
	45,988 45,925		-		-		-		65 170
	45,925 51,520		-		-		-		170 499
	44,062		-		-		- 9		2,329
\$	437,804	\$		\$	3,389	\$	9	\$	3,064
Ψ	107,001	<u>_</u>		Ψ	5,007	Ψ		Ψ	5,001
\$	15	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	15		-		-		-		-
	26		-		-		-		-
	50		-		-		-		-
	19		-		-		-		-
	15		-		-		-		-
	19 25		-		-		-		-
	35		-		-		-		-
	32		-		-		-		-
\$	<u>35</u> 261	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	0
φ	201	φ		φ		φ		φ	0
\$	21	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	22		-		-		-		-
	29		-		-		-		-
	39		-		10		-		-
	35		-		13		-		-
	55		-		-		-		-
	63		-		-		-		-
	85		-		-		-		-
	239		-		-		-		24
<u>۴</u>	84		-	¢	-	¢	7		58
\$	672	\$	-	\$	23	\$	7	\$	82

Agency	Pro	operty Taxes Levied	Collected In Current Year		Collected To- Date		Distributed In Current Year	
954 DFA/ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES	\$	770	ተ		¢	771	\$	
2009 2010	Ф	773 767	\$	-	\$	771 764	Ф	-
2010		652		-		649		-
2011		628		_		625		
2012		785		_		782		
2013		783		-		782		-
2014		874		_		860		_
2013		935		_		911		_
2010		969		28		910		28
2017		1,052		941		941		941
Total	\$	8,153	\$	969	\$	7,930	\$	969
Total	Ψ	0,155	Ψ	,0,	Ψ	7,750	Ψ	,0,
956 DFA/ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES								
2009	\$	145,074	\$	-	\$	145,074	\$	-
2010	7	121,080	Ŧ	-		121,021		-
2011		107,359		-		107,293		-
2012		123,274		-		123,031		-
2013		139,672		-		139,647		-
2014		129,654		-		129,477		-
2015		167,780		-		167,780		-
2016		158,151		-		158,151		-
2017		155,439		10,000		155,439		10,000
2018		144,709		132,115		132,115		132,115
Total	\$	1,392,193	\$	142,114	\$	1,379,028	\$	142,114
957 DFA/ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES		10				10		
2015	\$	12	\$	-	\$	12	\$	-
2016		13		-	-	13		-
Total	\$	25	\$	-	\$	25	\$	-
958 DFA/ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES								
2012	\$	1	\$	-	\$	1	\$	-
2013		1		-		1		-
2014		-		-		-		-
2015		2		-		2		-
2016		1		-		1		-
2017		2		-		2		-
2018		3		2		2		2
Total	\$	9	\$	2	\$	8	\$	2

Dis	Distributed To- Date		Current Amount		To-Date Amount		tributed ear End	County Receivable At		
\$	771	\$	-	\$	2	\$	-	\$	-	
	764		-		3		-		-	
	649		-		3		-		-	
	625		-		3		-		-	
	782		-		4		-		-	
	718		-		-		-		-	
	860		-		-		-		14	
	911		-		-		-		24	
	904		-		-		6		59	
	931		-		-		10		111	
\$	7,914	\$	-	\$	15	\$	16	\$	208	
\$	145,074	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
	121,021		-		59		-		-	
	107,293		-		66		-		-	
	123,031		-		244		-		-	
	139,647		-		25		-		-	
	129,477		-		177		-		-	
	167,780		-		-		-		-	
	158,151		-		-		-		-	
	155,439		-		-		-		-	
	132,114		-		-		1		12,595	
\$	1,379,027	\$	-	\$	571	\$	1	\$	12,595	
\$	12	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
	13		-		-		-		-	
\$	25	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
\$	1	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_	\$	_	
7	1	*	-	Ŧ	-	Ŧ	-	Ŧ	-	
	-		-		-		-		-	
	2		-		-		-		-	
	- 1		-		-		-		-	
	2		-		-		-		-	
	-		-		-		1		1	
\$	6	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1	\$	1	

Agency		Property Taxes Levied		Collected In Current Year		llected To- Date	Distributed In Current Year	
Total Assessor Special Assessment								
2009	\$	187,495	\$	-	\$	186,929	\$	-
2010	Ŧ	159,890	Ŧ	-	+	159,301	Ŧ	-
2011		146,256		-		145,629		-
2012		172,847		-		171,810		-
2013		186,392		-		185,546		-
2014		171,620		-		171,287		-
2015		214,802		16		214,723		16
2016		205,315		28		205,121		28
2017		208,725		12,743		208,142		12,743
2018		192,349		177,254		177,254		177,254
Total	\$	1,845,691	\$	190,041	\$	1,825,743	\$	190,041
C1NR_M CITY OF PORTALES								
2009	\$	104,808	\$	-	\$	104,808	\$	-
2010		105,415		-		105,415		-
2011		109,915		-		109,915		-
2012		115,015		-		115,015		-
2013		122,990		-		122,990		-
2014		122,022		45		122,013		45
2015		137,137		52		120,856		52
2016		134,522		419		119,769		419
2017		127,951		1,675		123,277		1,675
2018		139,052		127,978		127,978	_	127,978
Total	\$	1,218,828	\$	130,169	\$	1,172,037	\$	130,169
C1NR_S_CAP PORTALES SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	64,868	\$	-	\$	64,868	\$	-
2010		81,102	*	-		81,102		-
2011		89,581		-		89,581		-
2012		93,783		-		93,783		-
2013		98,724		-		98,724		-
2014		94,007		35		94,001		35
2015		98,466		38		86,776		38
2016		90,959		283		80,984		283
2017		85,557		1,120		82,432		1,120
2018		88,343		81,307		81,307		81,307
Total	\$	885,390	\$	82,783	\$	853,557	\$	82,783

Dis	tributed To- Date		Current Amount		Го-Date Amount	Undistributed At Year End		County Receivable At		
\$	186,929	\$	-	\$	566	\$	-	\$	-	
	159,301		-		589		-		-	
	145,629		-		627		-		-	
	171,810		-		1,037		-		-	
	185,546		-		847		-		-	
	171,287		-		332		-		-	
	214,723		-		-		-		79	
	205,121		-		-		-		195	
	208,135		-		-		6		583	
	177,226		-		-		28		15,095	
\$	1,825,708	\$	-	\$	3,997	\$	34	\$	15,951	
\$	104,808	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
·	105,415	•	-	·	-	•	-		-	
	109,915		-		-		-		-	
	115,015		-		-		-		-	
	122,990		-		-		-		-	
	122,013		-		9		-		-	
	120,856		-		68		-		16,213	
	119,769		-		3		-		14,751	
	123,183		-		-		93		4,674	
	126,724		-		-		1,254		11,074	
\$	1,170,689	\$	-	\$	79	\$	1,347	\$	46,712	
\$	64,868	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
	81,102		-		-		-		-	
	89,581		-		-		-		-	
	93,783		-		-		-		-	
	98,724		-		-		-		-	
	94,001		-		7		-		-	
	86,776		-		49		-		11,641	
	80,984		-		2		-		9,974	
	82,369		-		-		62		3,126	
	80,511		-		-	<u> </u>	797		7,036	
\$	852,697	\$	-	\$	57	\$	859	\$	31,777	

Agency		operty Taxes		llected In	Co	llected To-	Distributed In	
		Levied	Cui	rrent Year		Date	Cur	rent Year
C1NR_S_DEBT PORTALES SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	282,284	\$	-	\$	282,284	\$	-
2010	+	389,013	Ŧ	-	+	389,013	Ŧ	-
2011		421,567		-		421,567		-
2012		401,076		-		401,076		-
2013		356,863		-		356,863		-
2014		332,645		123		332,621		123
2015		361,640		138		318,706		138
2016		307,767		959		274,014		959
2017		241,315		3,159		232,499		3,159
2018		248,907		229,084		229,084		229,084
Total	\$	3,343,077	\$	233,462	\$	3,237,726	\$	233,462
C1NR_S_OPP PORTALES SCHOOLS 2009	\$	16,217	\$	-	\$	16,217	\$	<u>_</u>
2010	Ψ	20,275	Ψ	-	Ψ	20,275	Ψ	-
2010		22,395		_		22,395		_
2011		23,470		-		23,470		-
2012		24,668		_		24,668		-
2013		23,502		9		23,500		9
2011		24,604		9		21,683		9
2016		22,716		71		20,225		71
2017		21,389		280		20,608		280
2018		22,086		20,327		20,327		20,327
Total	\$	221,322	\$	20,696	\$	213,368	\$	20,696
C1NR_S_TECH_DEBT PORTALES SCHOO 2009	SUS \$	1,267	\$		\$	1,267	\$	
2009	φ	1,207	φ	-	φ	1,207	φ	-
2010				_				_
2011		-		-		-		-
2012		22,558		-		- 22,558		-
2013		45,077		17		45,073		17
2014		51,407		20		45,304		20
2013		54,825		171		48,812		171
2010		68,061		891		65,575		891
2017		70,542		64,924		64,924		64,924
Total	\$	313,737	\$	66,022	\$	293,514	\$	66,022
				, - = =	Ŧ	,		/ • = =

Dis	tributed To- Date	 Current Amount	 To-Date Amount	Undistributed At Year End		County Receivable At	
\$	282,284	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	
	389,013	-	-		-	-	
	421,567	-	-		-	-	
	401,076	-	-		-	-	
	356,863	-	-		-	-	
	332,621	-	24		-	-	
	318,706	-	179		-	42,756	
	274,014	1	6		-	33,747	
	232,323	-	-		176	8,816	
	226,839	 -	-		2,245	 19,823	
\$	3,235,306	\$ 1	\$ 209	\$	2,421	\$ 105,142	
\$	16,217	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	
	20,275	-	-		-	-	
	22,395	-	-		-	-	
	23,470	-	-		-	-	
	24,668	-	-		-	-	
	23,500	-	2		-	-	
	21,683	-	12		-	2,909	
	20,225	-	-		-	2,491	
	20,592	-	-		16	781	
	20,128	 -	-		199	 1,759	
\$	213,153	\$ -	\$ 14	\$	215	\$ 7,940	
\$	1,267	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	
	-	-	-		-	-	
	-	-	-		-	-	
	-	-	-		-	-	
	22,558	-	-		-	-	
	45,073	-	3		-	-	
	45,304	-	25		-	6,078	
	48,812	-	1		-	6,012	
	65,525	-	-		50	2,486	
	64,288	 -	-		636	 5,618	
\$	292,828	\$ -	\$ 30	\$	686	\$ 20,194	

Agency		Property Taxes Levied		llected In rent Year	Co	ollected To- Date	Distributed In Current Year	
C1R_M CITY OF PORTALES								
2009	\$	232,086	\$	-	\$	232,086	\$	-
2010		248,315		-		248,314		-
2011		260,744		-		260,743		-
2012		274,205		-		274,198		-
2013		284,622		-		284,616		-
2014		299,365		44		299,358		44
2015		306,414		186		306,314		186
2016		308,751		4,251		308,423		4,251
2017		314,510		9,769		308,800		9,769
2018		325,495		307,176		307,176		307,176
Total	\$	2,854,505	\$	321,425	\$	2,830,027	\$	321,425
C1R_S_CAP PORTALES SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	143,929	\$	-	\$	143,929	\$	-
2010		153,994		-		153,993		-
2011		163,527		-		163,527		-
2012		172,370		-		172,365		-
2013		178,093		-		178,089		-
2014		188,412		28		188,408		28
2015		193,713		117		193,650		117
2016		195,775		2,696		195,567		2,696
2017		223,611		6,945		219,552		6,945
2018		230,698		217,715		217,715		217,715
Total	\$	1,844,123	\$	227,501	\$	1,826,795	\$	227,501
C1R_S_DEBT PORTALES SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	625,084	\$	-	\$	625,084	\$	-
2010		697,283		-		697,281		-
2011		769,560		-		769,556		-
2012		726,470		-		726,452		-
2013		643,434		-		643,421		-
2014		699,211		103		699,193		103
2015		725,015		439		724,779		439
2016		689,669		9,496		688,937		9,496
2017		630,696		19,589		619,246		19,589
2018		650,643		614,025		614,025		614,025
Total	\$	6,857,065	\$	643,652	\$	6,807,974	\$	643,652

			CurrentTo-DateAmountAmount			Undistributed At Year End				County Receivable At	
\$	232,086	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-		
	248,314		-		-		-		-		
	260,743		-		1		-		-		
	274,198		-		6		-		1		
	284,616		-		5		-		1		
	299,358		-		5		-		3		
	306,245		-		2		69		98		
	308,303		-		2		120		326		
	308,226		-		-		574		5,710		
	302,685		-		-		4,491		18,319		
\$ 2	2,824,773	\$	-	\$	20	\$	5,254	\$	24,457		
\$	143,929	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-		
	153,993		-		-		-		-		
	163,527		-		1		-		-		
	172,365		-		4		-		1		
	178,089		-		3		-		1		
	188,408		-		3		-		2		
	193,607		-		1		44		62		
	195,491		-		1		76		207		
	219,144		-		-		408		4,060		
	214,532		-		-		3,183		12,983		
\$ 1	1,823,084	\$	-	\$	13	\$	3,711	\$	17,315		
\$	(25.004	\$		\$		\$		¢			
Ф	625,084 697,281	Φ	-	Ф	- 1	Ф	-	\$	-		
	769,556		-		1 4		-		-		
	769,556 726,452		-		4 15		-		3		
	720,452 643,421		-		15		-		3 2		
	699,193		-		11		-		6		
	724,616		-		4		163		233		
	688,668		-		4		269		728		
	618,096		-		-		1,151		11,450		
	605,049		-		_		8,977		36,618		
\$ 6	6,797,414	\$		\$	51	\$	10,560	\$	49,039		

Agency		operty Taxes Levied	llected In rent Year	Col	lected To- Date	Distributed In Current Year	
C1R_S_OPP PORTALES SCHOOLS							
2009	\$	34,615	\$ -	\$	34,615	\$	-
2010		37,728	-		37,728		-
2011		39,901	-		39,900		-
2012		42,079	-		42,078		-
2013		43,481	-		43,480		-
2014		45,942	7		45,941		7
2015		47,275	29		47,259		29
2016		47,789	658		47,739		658
2017		48,747	1,514		47,862		1,514
2018		50,227	 47,400		47,400		47,400
Total	\$	437,785	\$ 49,608	\$	434,004	\$	49,608
C1R_S_TECH_DEBT PORTALES SCHOOI	c						
2009	د. \$	2,807	\$ -	\$	2,807	\$	-
2010		-	-		-		-
2011		-	-		-		-
2012		-	-		-		-
2013		40,673	-		40,672		-
2014		94,750	14		94,747		14
2015		103,061	62		103,027		62
2016		122,856	1,692		122,726		1,692
2017		177,883	5,525		174,654		5,525
2018		184,397	174,019		174,019		174,019
Total	\$	726,426	\$ 181,312	\$	712,652	\$	181,312
C2NR_M TOWN OF ELIDA							
2009	\$	1,436	\$ -	\$	1,451	\$	-
2010		1,540	-		1,540		-
2011		1,576	-		1,576		-
2012		1,627	1		1,627		1
2013		1,703	2		1,703		2
2014		1,747	5		1,747		5
2015		1,775	4		1,775		4
2016		1,911	24		1,911		24
2017		1,937	27		1,915		27
2018		1,986	1,913		1,913		1,913
Total	\$	17,239	\$ 1,975	\$	17,158	\$	1,975

Dist	ributed To- Date		Current Amount		To-Date Amount	Undistributed At Year End		County Receivable A	
\$	34,615	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Ŧ	37,728		-		-		-	7	-
	39,900		-		-		-		-
	42,078		-		1		-		-
	43,480		-		1		-		-
	45,941		-		1		-		-
	47,249		-		-		11		15
	47,720		-		-		19		50
	47,773		-		-		89		885
	46,707		-		-		693		2,827
\$	433,193	\$	-	\$	2	\$	811	\$	3,777
\$	2,807	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	40,672		-		1		-		-
	94,747		-		2		-		1
	103,004		-		1		23		33
	122,678		-		1		48		130
	174,329		-		-		325		3,229
<u> </u>	171,475		-		-	<u> </u>	2,544		10,378
\$	709,712	\$	-	\$	3	\$	2,940	\$	13,771
\$	1,451	\$	_	\$	(15)	\$	_	\$	_
Ψ	1,540	Ψ	_	Ψ	(15)	Ψ	_	Ψ	_
	1,576		-		-		_		_
	1,627		-		_		-		-
	1,703		-		-		-		-
	1,747		-		-		-		-
	1,775		-		-		-		-
	1,911		-		-		-		-
	1,911		-		-		4		22
	1,892		-		-		20		74
\$	17,133	\$	-	\$	(15)	\$	24	\$	96

Agency	Pro	operty Taxes Levied		llected In rent Year		ected To- Date	Distributed In Current Year	
C2NR_S_CAP ELIDA SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	1,291	\$	-	\$	1,304	\$	-
2010	Ψ	1,460	Ŷ	-	Ŷ	1,460	Ŷ	-
2011		1,483		-		1,483		-
2012		1,524		1		1,524		1
2013		1,696		2		1,696		2
2014		1,799		5		1,799		5
2015		1,956		5		1,956		5
2016		2,063		26		2,063		26
2017		2,207		30		2,182		30
2018		2,379		2,291		2,291		2,291
Total	\$	17,858	\$	2,359	\$	17,757	\$	2,359
C2NR_S_DEBT ELIDA SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	2,250	\$	-	\$	2,273	\$	_
2010	Ψ	3,691	Ψ	-	Ψ	3,691	Ψ	-
2010		3,300		-		3,300		-
2012		2,970		2		2,970		2
2013		-		-		-		-
2014		-		-		-		-
2015		-		-		-		-
2016		-		-		-		-
2017		-		-		-		-
2018		-		-		-		-
Total	\$	12,212	\$	2	\$	12,234	\$	2
C2NR_S_OPP ELIDA SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	323	\$	-	\$	326	\$	-
2010		365		-		365		-
2011		371		-		371		-
2012		381		-		381		-
2013		424		-		424		-
2014		450		1		450		1
2015		428		1		428		1
2016		452		6		452		6
2017		483		7		477		7
2018		521		502		502		502
Total	\$	4,198	\$	516	\$	4,176	\$	516

Distributed To- Date		Current Amount		o-Date nount	tributed ar End	County Receivable At		
\$	1,304	\$	-	\$ (13)	\$ -	\$	-	
	1,460		-	-	-		-	
	1,483		-	-	-		-	
	1,524		-	-	-		-	
	1,696		-	-	-		-	
	1,799		-	-	-		-	
	1,956		-	-	-		-	
	2,063		-	-	-		-	
	2,177		-	-	5		25	
	2,267		-	 -	 24		88	
\$	17,728	\$	-	\$ (13)	\$ 29	\$	114	
\$	2,273	\$	-	\$ (23)	\$ -	\$	-	
	3,691		-	-	-		-	
	3,300		-	-	-		-	
	2,970		-	-	-		-	
	-		-	-	-		-	
	-		-	-	-		-	
	-		-	-	-		-	
	-		-	-	-		-	
	-		-	-	-		-	
	-		-	 -	 -		-	
\$	12,234	\$	-	\$ (23)	\$ -	\$	-	
\$	326	\$	-	\$ (3)	\$ -	\$	-	
	365		-	-	-		-	
	371		-	-	-		-	
	381		-	-	-		-	
	424		-	-	-		-	
	450		-	-	-		-	
	428		-	-	-		-	
	452		-	-	-		-	
	476		-	-	1		6	
	497		-	 -	 5		19	
\$	4,170	\$	-	\$ (3)	\$ 6	\$	25	

Agency	Pro	perty Taxes Levied		lected In rent Year	Coll	ected To- Date	Distributed In Current Year	
C2NR_S_TECH_DEBT ELIDA SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
2009	Ψ		Ψ	_	Ψ		Ψ	
2010		-		-		_		_
2011		-		-		_		_
2012		-		-		-		-
2013		-		-		-		-
2015		-		-		-		-
2016		-		-		-		-
2017		-		-		-		-
2018		-		-		-		-
Total	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
C2R_M TOWN OF ELIDA								
2009	\$	1,231	\$	-	\$	1,222	\$	-
2010	*	1,558		-		1,558	•	-
2011		1,578		-		1,578		-
2012		1,646		-		1,646		-
2013		1,782		-		1,782		-
2014		1,799		-		1,799		-
2015		1,832		-		1,832		-
2016		1,831		-		1,831		-
2017		1,860		121		1,840		121
2018		1,919		1,875		1,875		1,875
Total	\$	17,036	\$	1,996	\$	16,963	\$	1,996
C2R_S_CAP ELIDA SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	1,476	\$	-	\$	1,465	\$	-
2010		1,877		-		1,877		-
2011		1,865		-		1,865		-
2012		1,887		-		1,887		-
2013		2,027		-		2,027		-
2014		2,035		-		2,035		-
2015		2,183		-		2,183		-
2016		2,184		-		2,184		-
2017		2,249		147		2,226		147
2018		2,228		2,177		2,177		2,177
Total	\$	20,012	\$	2,324	\$	19,926	\$	2,324

Distributed To- Date		Current Amount			To-Date Amount		tributed ar End	County Receivable At	
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
		<u> </u>	-	<u> </u>	-		-		-
\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
\$	1,222	\$	-	\$	9	\$	-	\$	-
	1,558		-		-		-		-
	1,578		-		-		-		-
	1,646		-		-		-		-
	1,782		-		-		-		-
	1,799		-		-		-		-
	1,832		-		-		-		-
	1,831		-		-		-		-
	1,840		13		13		-		7
	1,852		-		-		23		44
\$	16,940	\$	13	\$	22	\$	23	\$	50
\$	1 465	\$		\$	11	\$		\$	
Э	1,465 1,877	Ф	-	Ф	11	Ф	-	Э	-
	1,865		-		-		-		-
	1,803		-		-		-		-
	2,027		-		-		-		-
	2,027		_		-		-		-
	2,033		-		-		-		-
	2,185		-		-		-		-
	2,226		16		16		-		8
	2,151						27		51
\$	19,900	\$	16	\$	27	\$	27	\$	59

Agency	Property Taxes Levied	Collected In Current Year	Collected To- Date	Distributed In Current Year
C2R_S_DEBT ELIDA SCHOOLS				
2009	6,412	-	6,363	-
2010	4,599	-	4,599	-
2010	4,149	-	4,149	-
2012	3,620	-	3,620	-
2013		-	-	-
2013	-	-	-	-
2015	-	-	-	-
2016	-	-	-	-
2017	-	-	-	-
2018	-	-	-	-
Total	\$ 18,780	\$-	\$ 18,731	\$-
C2R_S_OPP ELIDA SCHOOLS				
2009	\$ 306	\$-	\$ 304	\$-
2009	\$ 300 392	Ψ	\$ 301 392	Ψ
2010	400	-	400	_
2012	410	-	410	-
2013	440	-	440	-
2014	442	-	442	-
2015	460	-	460	-
2016	460	-	460	-
2017	473	31	468	31
2018	469	459	459	459
Total	\$ 4,251	\$ 489	\$ 4,233	\$ 489
C2R_S_TECH_DEBT ELIDA SCHOOLS				
2009	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
2010	-	-	-	-
2011	-	-	-	-
2012	-	-	-	-
2013	-	-	-	-
2014	-	-	-	-
2015	-	-	-	-
2016	-	-	-	-
2017	-	-	-	-
2018				
Total	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-

Dist	Distributed To- Date		rent ount		-Date ount	Undistrib At Year I			inty able At
	6,363		-		49		-		-
	4,599		-		-		-		-
	4,149		-		-		-		-
	3,620		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
\$	18,731	\$	-	\$	49	\$	-	\$	-
.	204	<i>.</i>		<i>.</i>	2	¢		¢	
\$	304	\$	-	\$	2	\$	-	\$	-
	392		-		-		-		-
	400		-		-		-		-
	410		-		-		-		-
	440		-		-		-		-
	442 460		-		-		-		-
	460 460		-		-		-		-
	460		- 3		- 3		-		2
	408		5		5		6		11
\$	4,227	\$	3	\$	6	\$	6	\$	11
Ψ	7,227	Ψ		Ψ	0	Ψ	0	Ψ	12
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
<u>۴</u>	-	<u></u>	-	<u>_</u>	-	<u></u>	-	<u>۴</u>	-
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-

Agency	Pro	Property Taxes Levied		Collected In Current Year		ected To- Date	Distributed In Current Year	
C39NR_M VILLAGE OF DORA								
2009	\$	563	\$	-	\$	563	\$	-
2010	·	2,492	•	-		2,492	·	-
2011		702		-		702		-
2012		655		-		655		-
2013		661		-		661		-
2014		725		-		725		-
2015		783		-		783		-
2016		681		7		681		7
2017		692		8		656		8
2018		977		889		889		889
Total	\$	8,931	\$	903	\$	8,808	\$	903
C39NR_S_CAP DORA SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	506	\$	-	\$	506	\$	-
2010	·	475	•	-		475	·	-
2011		675		-		675		-
2012		588		-		588		-
2013		594		-		594		-
2014		666		-		666		-
2015		708		-		708		-
2016		612		6		612		6
2017		623		7		591		7
2018		878		799		799		799
Total	\$	6,327	\$	812	\$	6,216	\$	812
C39NR_S_DEBT DORA SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	628	\$	-	\$	628	\$	-
2010		1,031		-		1,031		-
2011		1,220		-		1,220		-
2012		958		-		958		-
2013		586		-		586		-
2014		1,332		-		1,332		-
2015		1,729		-		1,729		-
2016		2,068		20		2,068		20
2017		1,979		23		1,878		23
2018	<u> </u>	2,415		2,197		2,197		2,197
Total	\$	13,946	\$	2,240	\$	13,627	\$	2,240

Dist	Distributed To- Date		Current Amount		Date ount	Undist At Yea	ributed ar End	County Receivable At		
\$	563	\$		\$		\$		\$		
φ	2,492	φ	-	φ	-	φ	-	φ	-	
	702		_		_		_		_	
	655		_		_		_		-	
	661		-		-		-		-	
	725		-		-		-		-	
	783		-		-		-		-	
	681		-		-		-		-	
	656		-		-		-		35	
	889		-		-		-		88	
\$	8,808	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	124	
\$	506	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
	475		-		-		-		-	
	675		-		-		-		-	
	588		-		-		-		-	
	594		-		-		-		-	
	666		-		-		-		-	
	708		-		-		-		-	
	612		-		-		-		-	
	591		-		-		-		32	
<u>۴</u>	799	¢	-	¢	-	¢	-	¢	79	
\$	6,216	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	111	
\$	628	\$	-	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	
Ψ	1,031	Ψ	_	Ψ	_	Ψ	-	Ψ	_	
	1,220		_		-		_		_	
	958		_		_		_		-	
	586		_		-		-		-	
	1,332		-		-		-		-	
	1,729		-		-		-		-	
	2,068		-		-		-		-	
	1,878		-		-		-		101	
	2,197		-		-		-		218	
\$	13,627	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	319	

Agency	Pro	operty Taxes Levied		ollected In rrent Year	Col	lected To- Date	Distributed In Current Year	
C39NR_S_OPP DORA SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	127	\$	-	\$	127	\$	-
2010		119		-		119		-
2011		169		-		169		-
2012		147		-		147		-
2013		149		-		149		-
2014		166		-		166		-
2015		177		-		177		-
2016		153		2		153		2
2017		156		2		148		2
2018		220		200		200		200
Total	\$	1,582	\$	203	\$	1,554	\$	203
C39NR_S_TEC_DEBT DORA SCHOOLS 2009	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
2009	φ	_	φ	-	φ	-	φ	-
2010						_		_
2011		_		_		_		_
2012		-		-		_		_
2013		-		-		_		_
2011		-		-		-		-
2016		633		6		633		6
2017		736		8		699		8
2018		470		428		428		428
Total	\$	1,839	\$	443	\$	1,759	\$	443
						i		
C39R_M VILLAGE OF DORA	<i>.</i>	0.62	¢		¢.	0.62	¢	
2009	\$	862	\$	-	\$	862	\$	-
2010		4,136		-		4,136		-
2011		888		-		888		-
2012		918		-		918 042		-
2013		943		-		943 952		-
2014		952		-				-
2015 2016		1,000 1,011		- 2		1,000 1,011		- 2
2016 2017		1,011 1,027		2 86		1,011 992		86
2017 2018		1,027 1,060		921		992 921		921
Total	\$	12,797	\$	1,009	\$	12,622	\$	1,009
iotai	φ	14,/7/	ب	1,009	φ	12,022	φ	1,009

Dist	ributed To- Date		urrent mount		-Date ount		ributed ar End		ounty vable At
\$	127	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Ŧ	119	Ŧ	-	Ŧ	-	Ŧ	-	Ŧ	-
	169		-		-		-		-
	147		-		-		-		-
	149		-		-		-		-
	166		-		-		-		-
	177		-		-		-		-
	153		-		-		-		-
	148		-		-		-		8
	200		-		-		-		20
\$	1,554	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	28
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	633		-		-		-		-
	699		-		-		-		38
	428		-		-		-		42
\$	1,759	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	80
\$	862	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	4,136		-		-		-		-
	888		-		-		-		-
	918		-		-		-		-
	943		-		-		-		-
	952		-		-		-		-
	1,000		-		-		-		-
	1,011		-		-		-		-
	992		-		-		-		36
	907		-		-		14		139
\$	12,608	\$	-	\$	-	\$	14	\$	175

Agency	Pr	operty Taxes Levied		llected In rent Year	Col	ected To- Date		ibuted In ent Year
C39R_S_CAP DORA SCHOOLS 2009	\$	1.025	\$		\$	1.025	¢	
2009 2010	Э	1,035 762	Э	-	Ф	1,035 762	\$	-
2010		1,133		-		1,133		-
2011 2012		1,155 1,159		-		1,155		-
				-				-
2013 2014		1,205 1,178		-		1,205 1,178		-
2014 2015		1,178		-		1,178		-
2015 2016		1,267		- 3		1,267		- 3
2016 2017		1,353 1,422		5 119		1,353		5 119
2017 2018		1,422 1,439		1,250		1,373		1,250
Total	\$	11,953	\$	1,230	\$	11,715	\$	1,230
Total	Ψ	11,755	Ψ	1,572	Ψ	11,715	Ψ	1,572
C39R_S_DEBT DORA SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	1,284	\$	-	\$	1,284	\$	-
2010		1,653		-		1,653		-
2011		2,047		-		2,047		-
2012		1,888		-		1,888		-
2013		1,211		-		1,211		-
2014		2,433		-		2,433		-
2015		3,145		-		3,145		-
2016		4,747		9		4,747		9
2017		4,517		380		4,361		380
2018		3,964		3,443		3,443		3,443
Total	\$	26,889	\$	3,832	\$	26,213	\$	3,832
C39R_S_OPP DORA SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	245	\$	-	\$	245	\$	-
2010		178		-		178		-
2011		269		-		269		-
2012		282		-		282		-
2013		294		-		294		-
2014		287		-		287		-
2015		309		-		309		-
2016		330		1		330		1
2017		331		28		320		28
2018		336		292		292		292
Total	\$	2,861	\$	320	\$	2,806	\$	320

Dist	ributed To- Date		Current mount		Date ount		tributed ar End		ounty vable At
\$	1,035	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Ŧ	762	Ŧ	-	Ŧ	-	Ŧ	-	Ŧ	-
	1,133		-		-		-		-
	1,159		-		-		-		-
	1,205		-		-		-		-
	1,178		-		-		-		-
	1,267		-		-		-		-
	1,353		-		-		-		-
	1,372		-		-		-		49
	1,231				-		19		189
\$	11,696	\$	-	\$	-	\$	19	\$	238
\$	1,284	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	1,653		-		-		-		-
	2,047		-		-		-		-
	1,888		-		-		-		-
	1,211		-		-		-		-
	2,433		-		-		-		-
	3,145		-		-		-		-
	4,747		-		-		-		-
	4,359		-		-		1		156
	3,392		-		-		52		520
\$	26,160	\$	-	\$	-	\$	53	\$	676
\$	245	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	178		-		-		-		-
	269		-		-		-		-
	282		-		-		-		-
	294		-		-		-		-
	287		-		-		-		-
	309		-		-		-		-
	330		-		-		-		-
	320		-		-		-		11
	287		-		-		4		44
\$	2,801	\$	-	\$	-	\$	4	\$	56

Agency	Pro	operty Taxes Levied		llected In rent Year	Coll	ected To- Date		ibuted In ent Year
C39R_S_TEC_DEBT DORA SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	_	\$	-	\$	_	\$	_
2009	Ψ	_	Ψ	-	Ψ	_	Ψ	-
2010		-		-		-		-
2012		-		-		-		-
2013		-		-		-		-
2014		-		-		-		-
2015		-		-		-		-
2016		1,452		3		1,452		3
2017		1,680		141		1,622		141
2018		772		671		671		671
Total	\$	3,904	\$	815	\$	3,744	\$	815
CADAND M VILLAGE OF CALLERY								
C401NR_M VILLAGE OF CAUSEY 2009	\$	978	\$	_	\$	978	\$	_
2009	Ψ	649	Ψ	_	Ψ	649	Ψ	
2010		1,526		-		1,526		-
2012		1,846		-		1,846		-
2013		1,965		-		1,965		-
2014		1,764		-		1,764		-
2015		1,792		-		1,792		-
2016		1,803		-		1,802		-
2017		1,826		1		1,824		1
2018		1,649		1,646		1,646		1,646
Total	\$	15,796	\$	1,647	\$	15,790	\$	1,647
C401NR_S_CAP DORA SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	1,001	\$	-	\$	1,001	\$	-
2010		583		-		583		-
2011		1,371		-		1,371		-
2012		1,659		-		1,659		-
2013		1,766		-		1,766		-
2014		1,585		-		1,585		-
2015		1,591		-		1,591		-
2016		1,620		-		1,619		-
2017		1,641		1		1,639		1
2018		1,482		1,479		1,479		1,479
Total	\$	14,301	\$	1,480	\$	14,295	\$	1,480

Dist	ributed To- Date		rrent ount				ounty vable At		
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	1,452		-		-		- 1		- 58
	1,621 661		-		-		10		58 101
\$	3,734	\$		\$		\$	10	\$	101
Ψ	5,751	Ψ		Ψ		Ψ		Ψ	157
\$	978	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	649		-		-		-		-
	1,526		-		-		-		-
	1,846		-		-		-		-
	1,965		-		-		-		-
	1,764		-		-		-		-
	1,792		-		-		-		-
	1,802		-		-		-		1
	1,824		-		-		-		2
\$	1,646 15,790	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	3
Ф	15,790	<u></u> Ф	-	Ф		Ф	-	\$	0
\$	1,001	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Ŧ	583	Ŧ	-	Ŧ	-	Ŧ	-	Ŧ	-
	1,371		-		-		-		-
	1,659		-		-		-		-
	1,766		-		-		-		-
	1,585		-		-		-		-
	1,591		-		-		-		-
	1,619		-		-		-		1
	1,639		-		-		-		2
<i>•</i>	1,479		-	<u></u>	-		-	<u>_</u>	2
\$	14,295	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	6

Agency	Pr	operty Taxes Levied	llected In rent Year	ected To- Date	Distributed In Current Year	
C401NR_S_DEPT DORA SCHOOLS						
2009	\$	1,241	\$ -	\$ 1,241	\$ -	
2010		1,265	-	1,265	-	
2011		2,478	-	2,478	-	
2012		2,703	-	2,703	-	
2013		1,740	-	1,740	-	
2014		3,173	-	3,173	-	
2015		3,882	-	3,882	-	
2016		5,475	-	5,472	-	
2017		5,214	4	5,207	4	
2018		4,074	 4,068	 4,068	 4,068	
Total	\$	31,246	\$ 4,071	\$ 31,229	\$ 4,071	
C401NR_S_OPP DORA SCHOOLS						
2009	\$	250	\$ -	\$ 250	\$ -	
2010		146	-	146	-	
2011		343	-	343	-	
2012		415	-	415	-	
2013		442	-	442	-	
2014		396	-	396	-	
2015		398	-	398	-	
2016		405	-	405	-	
2017		410	-	410	-	
2018		370	370	370	370	
Total	\$	3,575	\$ 370	\$ 3,574	\$ 370	
C401NR_S_TEC_DBT DORA SCHOOLS						
2009	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
2010		-	-	-	-	
2011		-	-	-	-	
2012		-	-	-	-	
2013		-	-	-	-	
2014		-	-	-	-	
2015		-	-	-	-	
2016		1,675	-	1,674	-	
2017		1,939	1	1,937	1	
2018		794	 792	 792	 792	
Total	\$	4,407	\$ 794	\$ 4,403	\$ 794	

Dist	ributed To- Date		rrent ount		Date ount	Undist At Yea	ributed Ir End		unty 7able At
\$	1,241	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	1,265		-		-		-		-
	2,478		-		-		-		-
	2,703		-		-		-		-
	1,740		-		-		-		-
	3,173		-		-		-		-
	3,882		-		-		-		-
	5,472		-		-		-		3
	5,207		-		-		-		7
	4,068		-		-		-		7
\$	31,229	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	17
\$	250	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Ŧ	146	Ŧ	-	Ŧ	-	Ŧ	-	Ŧ	-
	343		-		-		-		-
	415		-		-		-		-
	442		-		-		-		-
	396		-		-		-		-
	398		-		-		-		-
	405		-		-		-		-
	410		-		-		-		1
	370		-		-		-		1
\$	3,574	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	1,674		-		-		-		1
	1,937		-		-		-		3
	792		-		-		-		1
\$	4,403	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	5

Agency	Property Taxes Levied		Collected In Current Year		Collected To- Date		Distributed In Current Year	
C401R_M VILLAGE OF CAUSEY								
2009	\$	420	\$	-	\$	420	\$	-
2010		413		-		413		-
2011		421		-		421		-
2012		443		-		443		-
2013		454		-		454		-
2014		457		-		457		-
2015		463		-		463		-
2016		463		-		463		-
2017		470		-		470		-
2018		485		474		474		474
Total	\$	4,488	\$	474	\$	4,478	\$	474
C401R_S_CAP DORA SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	389	\$	-	\$	389	\$	-
2010		416		-		416		-
2011		442		-		442		-
2012		450		-		450		-
2013		530		-		530		-
2014		610		-		610		-
2015		627		-		627		-
2016		590		-		590		-
2017		641		-		641		-
2018		618		604		604		604
Total	\$	5,313	\$	604	\$	5,299	\$	604
C401R_S_DEBT DORA SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	482	\$	-	\$	482	\$	-
2010		913		-		913		-
2011		799		-		799		-
2012		734		-		734		-
2013		533		-		533		-
2014		1,260		-		1,260		-
2015		1,556		-		1,556		-
2016		2,068		-		2,068		-
2017		2,035		-		2,035		-
2018		1,701		1,665		1,665		1,665
Total	\$	12,082	\$	1,665	\$	12,046	\$	1,665

Dist	ributed To- Date		rrent ount	To-Date Undistribute Amount At Year End			unty /able At	
\$	420	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
	413		-		-		-	-
	421		-		-		-	-
	443		-		-		-	-
	454		-		-		-	-
	457		-		-		-	-
	463		-		-		-	-
	463		-		-		-	-
	470		-		-		-	-
	474		-		-		-	10
\$	4,478	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 10
\$	389	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
·	416	·	-		-		-	-
	442		-		-		-	-
	450		-		-		-	-
	530		-		-		-	-
	610		-		-		-	-
	627		-		-		-	-
	590		-		-		-	-
	641		-		-		-	-
	604		-		-		-	13
\$	5,299	\$	_	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 13
\$	482	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
	913	·	-		-		-	-
	799		-		-		-	-
	734		-		-		-	-
	533		-		-		-	-
	1,260		-		-		-	-
	1,556		-		-		-	-
	2,068		-		-		-	-
	2,035		-		-		-	-
	1,665		-		-		-	37
\$	12,046	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 37

Agency	Pro	perty Taxes Levied		llected In rrent Year	Collected To- Date		Distributed In Current Year	
C401R_S_OPP DORA SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	92	\$	-	\$	92	\$	-
2010	Ŧ	99	Ŧ	-	•	99		-
2011		105		-		105		-
2012		110		-		110		-
2013		129		-		129		-
2014		149		-		149		-
2015		153		-		153		-
2016		144		-		144		-
2017		149		-		149		-
2018		144		141		141		141
Total	\$	1,273	\$	141	\$	1,270	\$	141
C401R_S_TEC_DBT DORA SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	_	\$	-	\$	_	\$	_
2009	Ψ	_	Ψ	_	Ψ	_	Ψ	_
2010		_		-		_		_
2011		-		-		-		_
2013		-		-		-		-
2014		-		-		-		-
2015		-		-		-		-
2016		633		-		633		-
2017		757		-		757		-
2018		331		324		324		324
Total	\$	1,721	\$	324	\$	1,714	\$	324
	. <u> </u>	· · · · ·				·		
C5NR_M VILLAGE OF FLOYD	<i>.</i>	205	<i>.</i>		.	205	<i>.</i>	
2009	\$	395	\$	-	\$	395	\$	-
2010		395		-		395		-
2011		403		-		403		-
2012		507		-		507		-
2013		529		-		529		-
2014		651 668		-		651 668		-
2015 2016		668 676		-		668 676		-
2018		688		13		685		- 13
2017 2018		776		756		756		756
Total	\$	5,687	\$	756	\$	5,663	\$	756
iotai	φ	3,007	φ	/07	φ	5,005	φ	709

Dist	ributed To- Date		rrent ount		Date ount		ributed ar End		unty rable At
\$	92	\$	_	\$	_	\$	-	\$	-
Ŷ	99	4	-	4	-	Ŧ	-	Ŷ	-
	105		-		-		-		-
	110		-		-		-		-
	129		-		-		-		-
	149		-		-		-		-
	153		-		-		-		-
	144		-		-		-		-
	149		-		-		-		-
	141		-		-		-		3
\$	1,270	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	3
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	633		-		-		-		-
	757		-		-		-		-
¢	324	¢	-	¢	-	\$	-	<u>۴</u>	7
\$	1,714	\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$	/
\$	395	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
Ψ	395	Ψ	_	Ψ	-	Ψ	_	Ψ	_
	403		-		-		-		-
	507		-		-		-		-
	529		-		-		-		-
	651		-		-		-		-
	668		-		-		-		-
	676		-		-		-		-
	685		-		-		-		3
	744		-		-		12		20
\$	5,652	\$	-	\$	-	\$	12	\$	23

Agency	Pr	operty Taxes Levied	Collected In Current Year		Collected To- Date		Distributed In Current Year	
C5NR_S_CAP FLOYD SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	355	\$	-	\$	355	\$	-
2010		409	*	-	7	409		-
2011		420		-		420		-
2012		508		-		508		-
2013		476		-		476		-
2014		774		-		774		-
2015		800		-		800		-
2016		648		-		648		-
2017		674		13		671		13
2018		715		697		697		697
Total	\$	5,779	\$	709	\$	5,757	\$	709
C5NR_S_DEBT FLOYD SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
2010		-	*	-	7	-		-
2011		-		-		-		-
2012		-		-		-		-
2013		-		-		-		-
2014		-		-		-		-
2015		-		-		-		-
2016		-		-		-		-
2017		-		-		-		-
2018		-		-		-		-
Total	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
C5NR_S_OPP FLOYD SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	85	\$	-	\$	85	\$	-
2010		102		-		102		-
2011		105		-		105		-
2012		127		-		127		-
2013		119		-		119		-
2014		194		-		194		-
2015		186		-		186		-
2016		151		-		151		-
2017		169		3		168		3
2018	<u> </u>	179	. <u> </u>	174	<u> </u>	174		174
Total	\$	1,415	\$	177	\$	1,410	\$	177

Distr	ributed To- Date		Current Amount	Date ount	Undistributed At Year End		County Receivable At	
\$	355	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
·	409		-	-	·	-	·	-
	420		-	-		-		-
	508		-	-		-		-
	476		-	-		-		-
	774		-	-		-		-
	800		-	-		-		-
	648		-	-		-		-
	671		-	-		-		3
	686		-	-		11		19
\$	5,746	\$	-	\$ -	\$	11	\$	22
\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
	-		-	-		-		-
	-		-	-		-		-
	-		-	-		-		-
	-		-	-		-		-
	-		-	-		-		-
	-		-	-		-		-
	-		-	-		-		-
	-		-	-		-		-
	-		-	-		-		-
\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
\$	85	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
	102	-	-	-		-		-
	105		-	-		-		-
	127		-	-		-		-
	119		-	-		-		-
	194		-	-		-		-
	186		-	-		-		-
	151		-	-		-		-
	168		-	-		-		1
	171		-	 -		3		5
\$	1,407	\$	-	\$ -	\$	3	\$	5

Agency		Property Taxes Levied		llected In rent Year		ected To- Date	Distributed In Current Year	
C5NR_S_TECH_DEBT FLOYD SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	_	\$	-	\$	_	\$	_
2009	Ψ		Ψ	_	Ψ	_	Ψ	
2010		-		-		_		_
2012		-		-		_		_
2012		-		-		_		_
2013		-		-		_		-
2011		-		-		_		_
2013		-		-		_		-
2010		-		-		_		-
2017		-		-		_		-
Total	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
C5R_M VILLAGE OF FLOYD								
2009	\$	830	\$	-	\$	830	\$	-
2010	Ŧ	842	•	-	•	842	•	-
2011		847		-		847		-
2012		875		-		875		-
2013		956		-		956		-
2014		962		-		962		-
2015		974		-		974		-
2016		985		-		985		-
2017		1,001		15		969		15
2018		1,033		993		993		993
Total	\$	9,305	\$	1,007	\$	9,233	\$	1,007
C5R_S_CAP FLOYD SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	746	\$	-	\$	746	\$	-
2010		828		-		828		-
2011		793		-		793		-
2012		791		-		791		-
2013		998		-		998		-
2014		1,012		-		1,012		-
2015		1,088		-		1,088		-
2016		1,092		-		1,092		-
2017		1,135		17		1,099		17
2018		1,212		1,165		1,165		1,165
Total	\$	9,694	\$	1,182	\$	9,611	\$	1,182

Distributed To- Date		Current Amount		Date ount	Undist At Yea	ributed Ir End	County Receivable At		
\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	
	-		-	-		-		-	
	-		-	-		-		-	
	-		-	-		-		-	
	-		-	-		-		-	
	-		-	-		-		-	
	-		-	-		-		-	
	-		-	-		-		-	
	-		-	-		-		-	
	-		-	 -		-		-	
\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	
\$	830	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	
	842		-	-		-		-	
	847		-	-		-		-	
	875		-	-		-		-	
	956		-	-		-		-	
	962		-	-		-		-	
	974		-	-		-		-	
	985		-	-		-		-	
	969		-	-		-		32	
	993		-	-		-		40	
\$	9,233	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	72	
\$	746	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	
	828		-	-		-		-	
	793		-	-		-		-	
	791		-	-		-		-	
	998		-	-		-		-	
	1,012		-	-		-		-	
	1,088		-	-		-		-	
	1,092		-	-		-		-	
	1,099		-	-		-		36	
	1,165		-	-		-		47	
\$	9,611	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	83	

Agency	Property Taxes Levied			Collected In Current Year		ected To- Date	Distributed In Current Year	
C5R_S_DEBT FLOYD SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
2010	•	-	*	-		-	7	-
2011		-		-		-		-
2012		-		-		-		-
2013		-		-		-		-
2014		-		-		-		-
2015		-		-		-		-
2016		-		-		-		-
2017		-		-		-		-
2018		-		-		-		-
Total	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
C5R_S_OPP FLOYD SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	181	\$	-	\$	181	\$	-
2010	•	207	*	-		207		-
2011		198		-		198		-
2012		198		-		198		-
2013		249		-		249		-
2014		253		-		253		-
2015		256		-		256		-
2016		257		-		257		-
2017		267		4		259		4
2018		285		274		274		274
Total	\$	2,351	\$	278	\$	2,332	\$	278
C5R_S_TECH_DEBT FLOYD SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
2010		-		-		-		-
2011		-		-		-		-
2012		-		-		-		-
2013		-		-		-		-
2014		-		-		-		-
2015		-		-		-		-
2016		-		-		-		-
2017		-		-		-		-
2018		-		-		-		-
Total	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-

Distributed To- Date		Current Amount			Date ount	Undist At Yea	ributed or End	County Receivable At		
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
	-		-		-		-		-	
	-		-		-		-		-	
	-		-		-		-		-	
	-		-		-		-		-	
	-		-		-		-		-	
	-		-		-		-		-	
	-		-		-		-		-	
	-		-		-		-		-	
	-		-	<u>_</u>	-		-		-	
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
\$	181	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
	207		-		-		-		-	
	198		-		-		-		-	
	198		-		-		-		-	
	249		-		-		-		-	
	253		-		-		-		-	
	256		-		-		-		-	
	257		-		-		-		-	
	259		-		-		-		9	
	274		-		-		-		11	
\$	2,332	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	20	
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
	-		-		-		-		-	
	-		-		-		-		-	
	-		-		-		-		-	
	-		-		-		-		-	
	-		-		-		-		-	
	-		-		-		-		-	
	-		-		-		-		-	
	-		-		-		-		-	
	-		-		-		-		-	
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	

Agency	Pro	operty Taxes Levied		ollected In rrent Year	C	ollected To- Date		stributed In Irrent Year
CNTYNR_OPP COUNTY NON-RES OPERA	מדוח							
2009	۹110 \$	1,716,028	\$	9	\$	1,715,295	\$	9
2009	φ	1,718,929	φ	10	φ	1,718,066	φ	10
2010		1,747,370		10		1,746,425		10
2011		1,833,558		20		1,831,842		20
2012		1,833,558 1,974,659		20 31		1,973,707		20 31
2013		1,974,039		414		1,973,707		414
2014		2,258,560		701		2,191,154		701
2013		2,238,500 2,313,632		4,901		2,255,593		4,901
2010		2,313,032		58,780		2,255,575		58,780
2017		2,458,393		2,336,386		2,336,386		2,336,386
Total	\$	20,340,616	\$	2,401,267	\$	20,065,224	\$	2,401,267
Total	Ψ	20,340,010	Ψ	2,401,207	Ψ	20,003,224	Ψ	2,401,207
CNTYR_OPP COUNTY RESIDENTIAL OP	ERA'	TIONAL						
2009	\$	1,243,392	\$	-	\$	1,243,331	\$	-
2010		1,311,725		37		1,311,718		37
2011		1,372,437		-		1,372,387		-
2012		1,445,354		-		1,445,285		-
2013		1,498,763		22		1,498,701		22
2014		1,561,369		831		1,561,218		831
2015		1,761,099		2,326		1,760,210		2,326
2016		1,775,486		23,630		1,773,039		23,630
2017		1,812,726		62,300		1,780,645		62,300
2018		1,879,489		1,774,519		1,774,519		1,774,519
Total	\$	15,661,839	\$	1,863,665	\$	15,521,053	\$	1,863,665
NM01 DFA/ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICE	c							
2009	\$	313,671	\$	1	\$	313,587	\$	1
2009	Ψ	435,754	Ψ	7	Ψ	435,627	Ψ	7
2010		392,267		2		392,142		2
2011		413,223		3		412,998		3
2012		439,784		5 7		439,656		5 7
2013		448,976		161		448,950		, 161
2014		420,617		341		420,490		341
2013		509,198		3,564		501,689		3,564
2010		505,843		14,746		499,127		14,746
2017		522,177		494,769		494,769		494,769
Total	\$	4,401,511	\$	513,599	\$	4,359,036	\$	513,599

Di	stributed To- Date		Current Amount		To-Date Amount	Undistributed At Year End		Re	County ceivable At
\$	1,715,295	\$	-	\$	733	\$	_	\$	-
Ψ	1,718,066	Ψ	-	Ψ	864	Ψ	_	Ψ	_
	1,746,425		-		944		-		-
	1,831,842		-		1,711		-		5
	1,973,707		-		947		-		5
	1,944,901		-		41		-		11
	2,191,154		-		317		-		67,089
	2,255,504		2		14		89		58,024
	2,350,949		-		-		906		22,680
	2,325,027		-		-		11,360		122,007
\$	20,052,869	\$	2	\$	5,571	\$	12,355	\$	269,821
\$	1,243,331	\$	-	\$	61	\$	-	\$	-
	1,311,718		-		7		-		-
	1,372,387		-		10		-		39
	1,445,285		-		26		-		43
	1,498,701		-		20		-		42
	1,561,218		-		20		-		131
	1,759,700		-		48		509		842
	1,771,964		-		9		1,075		2,438
	1,777,141		92		92		3,504		31,989
	1,748,435		377		377		26,084		104,593
\$	15,489,880	\$	469	\$	670	\$	31,173	\$	140,116
\$	313,587	\$	-	\$	84	\$	-	\$	-
	435,627		-		127		-		-
	392,142		-		120		-		5
	412,998		-		219		-		6
	439,656		-		122		-		6
	448,950		-		8		-		18
	420,428		-		10		62		117
	501,544		-		3		146		7,506
	498,575		12		12		552		6,704
	490,134	-	48	-	48		4,635	-	27,360
\$	4,353,642	\$	60	\$	753	\$	5,394	\$	41,723

Agency	Pro	perty Taxes Levied		llected In rent Year	Co	llected To- Date		ributed In rent Year
Agency		Levieu	Cui			Date	Cui	Tent Teat
S1NR_S_CAP PORTALES SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	128,899	\$	-	\$	128,864	\$	-
2010	Ŧ	113,186	*	-	*	113,131	•	-
2011		116,607		-		116,545		-
2012		122,028		-		121,869		-
2013		131,421		1		131,405		1
2014		134,669		33		134,667		33
2015		145,422		70		145,414		70
2016		161,665		409		161,638		409
2017		162,559		7,862		162,130		7,862
2018		173,939		164,344		164,344		164,344
Total	\$	1,390,394	\$	172,720	\$	1,380,007	\$	172,720
S1NR_S_DEBT PORTALES SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	560,932	\$	-	\$	560,779	\$	-
2010		542,910		-		542,643		-
2011		548,751		-		548,459		-
2012		521,872		-		521,192		-
2013		475,055		4		474,998		4
2014		476,525		116		476,518		116
2015		534,095		258		534,065		258
2016		547,002		1,385		546,911		1,385
2017		458,497		22,175		457,288		22,175
2018		490,073		463,040		463,040		463,040
Total	\$	5,155,712	\$	486,978	\$	5,125,894	\$	486,978
S1NR_S_OPP PORTALES SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	32,225	\$	-	\$	32,216	\$	-
2010		28,297		-		28,283		-
2011		29,152		-		29,136		-
2012		30,538		-		30,498		-
2013		32,839		-		32,835		-
2014		33,667		8		33,667		8
2015		36,337		18		36,335		18
2016		40,374		102		40,367		102
2017		40,640		1,966		40,533		1,966
2018		43,485		41,086		41,086		41,086
Total	\$	347,551	\$	43,180	\$	344,954	\$	43,180

Dis	tributed To- Date		Current Amount		To-Date Amount	Undistributed At Year End					County eivable At
\$	128,864	\$	-	\$	35	\$	_	\$	_		
Ψ	113,131	Ψ	_	Ψ	56	Ψ	_	Ψ	-		
	116,545		_		62		_		-		
	121,869		-		159		-		-		
	131,405		-		16		-		-		
	134,667		-		1		-		1		
	145,414		-		6		-		2		
	161,622		-		1		15		26		
	162,093		-		-		37		429		
	163,432		-		-		912		9,595		
\$	1,379,041	\$	-	\$	336	\$	965	\$	10,052		
\$	560,779	\$	-	\$	153	\$	-	\$	-		
	542,643		-		267		-		-		
	548,459		-		292		-		-		
	521,192		-		680		-		-		
	474,998		-		57		-		-		
	476,518		-		3		-		3		
	534,065		-		24		-		6		
	546,859		-		3		52		88		
	457,183		-		-		105		1,209		
	460,469		-		-		2,571		27,034		
\$	5,123,166	\$	-	\$	1,478	\$	2,728	\$	28,340		
.	00.047	<i>.</i>		<i>.</i>	0	<i>.</i>					
\$	32,216	\$	-	\$	9	\$	-	\$	-		
	28,283		-		14		-		-		
	29,136		-		16		-		-		
	30,498		-		40		-		-		
	32,835		-		4		-		-		
	33,667		-		-		-		-		
	36,335		-		2		- 1		- 7		
	40,363		-		-		4 9		/ 107		
	40,523 40,858		-		-		9 228		2,399		
\$	344,713	\$	-	\$	- 84	\$	228	\$	2,399		
φ	577,/13	φ		φ	04	Ψ	241	Ψ	2,312		

Agency		operty Taxes Levied		llected In rent Year	Co	llected To- Date		tributed In rrent Year
S1NR_S_TECH_DEBT PORTALES SCHOO	NI C							
2009	\$	2,519	\$	-	\$	2,518	\$	-
2010	Ψ	-	Ψ	-	Ψ		Ψ	_
2010		-		-		-		_
2012		-		-		-		_
2013		30,030		-		30,026		_
2014		64,574		16		64,573		16
2015		75,922		37		75,917		37
2016		97,442		247		97,426		247
2017		129,316		6,254		128,975		6,254
2018		138,890		131,229		131,229		131,229
Total	\$	538,691	\$	137,782	\$	530,663	\$	137,782
S1R_S_CAP PORTALES SCHOOLS 2009	\$	62,856	\$		\$	62,856	\$	
2009	φ	64,730	φ	- 7	φ	64,729	φ	- 7
2010		66,651		/		66,642		7
2011		69,983				69,975		_
2012		73,267		4		73,260		4
2013		74,051		125		74,028		125
2011		75,775		174		75,689		174
2016		75,784		975		75,582		975
2017		86,892		3,416		85,214		3,416
2018		91,171		85,415		85,415		85,415
Total	\$	741,160	\$	90,117	\$	733,389	\$	90,117
S1R_S_DEBT PORTALES SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	272,984	\$	-	\$	272,984	\$	-
2010		293,100		31		293,095		31
2011		313,658		-		313,618		-
2012		294,951		-		294,916		-
2013		264,709		15		264,682		15
2014		274,806		465		274,721		465
2015		283,605		652		283,283		652
2016		266,968		3,435		266,257		3,435
2017		245,078		9,635		240,346		9,635
2018		257,132		240,897		240,897		240,897
Total	\$	2,766,991	\$	255,131	\$	2,744,799	\$	255,131

Dis	tributed To- Date		Current Amount		To-Date Amount	Undistributed At Year End			County eivable At
\$	2,518	\$	-	\$	1	\$	-	\$	-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	30,026		-		4		-		-
	64,573		-		-		-		-
	75,917		-		3		-		1
	97,416		-		-		9		16
	128,945		-		-		30		341
	130,500		-		-		729		7,662
\$	529,895	\$	-	\$	8	\$	768	\$	8,019
\$	62,856	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	64,729		-		1		-		-
	66,642		-		1		-		7
	69,975		-		1		-		7
	73,260		-		-		-		7
	74,028		-		-		-		22
	75,647		-		7		42		79
	75,478		-		1		104		202
	84,965		-		-		249		1,678
	83,876		71		71		1,539		5,686
\$	731,456	\$	71	\$	81	\$	1,934	\$	7,688
\$	272 004	\$		ተ		\$		\$	
φ	272,984 293,095	Ф	-	\$	- 5	Φ	-	Φ	-
	293,095 313,618		-		5		-		- 34
	313,618 294,916		-		5		-		34 30
	294,916 264,682		-		5		-		30 26
	204,082 274,721		-		2		-		26 83
	274,721 283,127		-		26		- 157		83 296
	283,127 265,891		-		20		366		296 710
	205,891 239,643		-		۲ -		500 703		4,731
	239,643		- 199		- 199		4,340		4,731
\$	2,739,234	\$	199	\$	245	\$	5,565	\$	21,947
Ą	2,139,234	φ	177	ъ	240	φ	5,505	φ	41,741

Agency	Pro	operty Taxes Levied		llected In rrent Year	Col	llected To- Date		ributed In rent Year
S1R_S_OPP PORTALES SCHOOLS 2009	\$	15,117	\$	-	\$	15,117	\$	
2009	ф	15,117	φ	2	φ	15,117	φ	2
2010		16,263		2		16,261		Z
2011 2012		10,203		-		17,082		-
2012		17,084		- 1		17,082		- 1
2013		17,000		31		17,000		31
2014		18,030		43		18,031		43
2013		18,495		238		18,471		238
2010		18,942		238 745		18,430		238 745
2017 2018		19,850		18,596		18,596		18,596
Total	\$	176,051	\$	19,655	\$	174,350	\$	19,655
i otai	Ψ	170,031	Ψ	17,055	Ψ	174,550	Ψ	17,055
S1R_S_TECH_DEBT PORTALES SCHOOL	S							
2009	\$	1,226	\$	-	\$	1,226	\$	-
2010		-		-		· -		-
2011		-		-		-		-
2012		-		-		-		-
2013		16,733		1		16,731		1
2014		37,239		63		37,227		63
2015		40,314		93		40,269		93
2016		47,557		612		47,430		612
2017		69,122		2,718		67,788		2,718
2018		72,873		68,272		68,272		68,272
Total	\$	285,064	\$	71,758	\$	278,943	\$	71,758
S2NR_S_CAP ELIDA SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	19,106	\$	2	\$	19,050	\$	2
2010		19,156		2		19,108		2
2011		19,972		3		19,918		3
2012		21,162		3		21,027		3
2013		23,950		3		23,794		3
2014		23,228		4		23,228		4
2015		27,103		4		27,101		4
2016		27,027		63		27,025		63
2017		29,315		508		29,311		508
2018		31,452		30,142		30,142		30,142
Total	\$	241,471	\$	30,732	\$	239,703	\$	30,732

Dist	ributed To- Date		Current Amount		To-Date Amount	Undistributed At Year End			County eivable At
\$	15,117	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Ŷ	15,859	Ŷ	-	Ŷ	-	Ŷ	-	Ŷ	-
	16,261		-		-		-		2
	17,082		-		-		-		2
	17,886		-		-		-		2
	18,051		-		-		-		5
	18,461		-		2		10		19
	18,424		-		-		25		49
	18,522		-		-		54		366
	18,261		15		15	_	335		1,238
\$	173,925	\$	15	\$	17	\$	425	\$	1,683
\$	1,226	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	16,731		-		-		-		2
	37,227		-		-		-		11
	40,246		-		4		22		42
	47,365		-		-		65		126
	67,589		-		-		198		1,334
<u> </u>	67,042		57		57	<u> </u>	1,230	<u> </u>	4,545
\$	277,427	\$	57	\$	60	\$	1,516	\$	6,061
*				Ŧ		<i>.</i>		<u>_</u>	
\$	19,050	\$	-	\$	56	\$	-	\$	-
	19,108		-		48		-		-
	19,918		-		55		-		-
	21,027		-		135		-		-
	23,794		-		156		-		-
	23,228		-		-		-		-
	27,101		-		-		-		2
	27,025		-		-		-		2
	29,309		-		-		2		4
¢	30,118	ተ	-	đ	-	\$	24 26	¢	1,310
\$	239,677	\$	-	\$	450	\$	26	\$	1,318

Agency	Pro	perty Taxes Levied		llected In rent Year	Col	lected To- Date	Distributed In Current Year	
S2NR_S_DEBT ELIDA SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	33,292	\$	3	\$	33,194	\$	3
2010	Ψ	48,424	Ψ	5	Ψ	48,302	Ψ	5
2010		44,439		6		44,317		6
2012		41,248		6		40,986		6
2013		-		-		-		-
2014		-		-		-		-
2015		-		-		-		-
2016		-		-		-		-
2017		-		-		-		-
2018		-		-		-		-
Total	\$	167,402	\$	19	\$	166,798	\$	19
S2NR_S_OPP ELIDA SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	4,777	\$	-	\$	4,762	\$	_
2005	Ψ	4,794	Ψ	-	Ψ	4,782	Ψ	_
2010		4,993		1		4,979		1
2011		5,290		1		5,257		1
2012		5,984		1		5,945		1
2013		5,813		1		5,813		1
2015		5,936		1		5,935		1
2016		5,916		14		5,915		14
2017		6,414		111		6,413		111
2018		6,890		6,603		6,603		6,603
Total	\$	56,806	\$	6,731	\$	56,405	\$	6,731
S2NR_S_TECH_DEBT ELIDA SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
2010		-		-		-		-
2011		-		-		-		-
2012		-		-		-		-
2013		-		-		-		-
2014		-		-		-		-
2015		-		-		-		-
2016		-		-		-		-
2017		-		-		-		-
2018		-		-		-		-
Total	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-

Dist	ributed To- Date		Current Amount						ounty vable At
\$	33,194	\$	-	\$	98	\$	-	\$	-
	48,302		-		122		-		-
	44,317		-		121		-		-
	40,986		-		263		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
\$	166,798	\$	-	\$	604	\$	-	\$	-
\$	4,762	\$	-	\$	14	\$	-	\$	-
	4,782		-		12		-		-
	4,979		-		14		-		-
	5,257		-		34		-		-
	5,945		-		39		-		-
	5,813		-		-		-		-
	5,935		-		-		-		-
	5,915		-		-		-		-
	6,412		-		-		-		1
-	6,597		-		-		5		287
\$	56,399	\$	-	\$	113	\$	5	\$	288
\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
Ψ	_	Ψ	_	Ψ	_	Ψ	_	Ψ	_
	_		_		-		-		-
	_		_		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-

Agency	P	roperty Taxes Levied		Collected In Current Year		ected To- Date	Distributed In Current Year	
S2R_S_CAP ELIDA SCHOOLS								
200	9\$	3,068	\$	-	\$	3,068	\$	-
201		3,007	Ŧ	-	+	3,007	Ŧ	-
201		3,010		-		3,010		-
201		3,042		-		3,042		-
201		3,138		-		3,138		-
201		3,335		-		3,335		-
201		3,441		-		3,441		-
201		3,406		137		3,406		137
201	7	3,421		208		3,344		208
201	8	3,766		3,545		3,545		3,545
Total	\$		\$	3,890	\$	32,337	\$	3,890
S2R_S_DEBT ELIDA SCHOOLS								
200	9 \$	5,347	\$	-	\$	5,347	\$	-
201		7,366		-	•	7,366	•	-
201		6,698		-		6,698		-
201		5,834		-		5,834		-
201		-		-		-		-
201	4	-		-		-		-
201	5	-		-		-		-
201	6	-		-		-		-
201	7	-		-		-		-
201	8	-		-		-	_	-
Total	\$	25,245	\$	-	\$	25,245	\$	-
S2R_S_OPP ELIDA SCHOOLS								
200			\$	-	\$	637	\$	-
201		629		-		629		-
201		646		-		646		-
201		660		-		660		-
201		681		-		681		-
201		724		-		724		-
201		724		-		724		-
201		717		29		717		29
201		719		44		703		44
201		793		747		747		747
Total	\$	6,929	\$	819	\$	6,867	\$	819

Dist	ributed To- Date	Current Amount		Date ount	tributed ar End	County Receivable At		
\$	3,068	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	
	3,007		-	-	-		-	
	3,010		-	-	-		-	
	3,042		-	-	-		-	
	3,138		-	-	-		-	
	3,335		-	-	-		-	
	3,441		-	-	-		-	
	3,406		-	-	-		-	
	3,344		-	-	-		77	
	3,492		-	-	54		220	
\$	32,284	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 54	\$	297	
\$	5,347	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	
	7,366		-	-	-		-	
	6,698		-	-	-		-	
	5,834		-	-	-		-	
	-		-	-	-		-	
	-		-	-	-		-	
	-		-	-	-		-	
	-		-	-	-		-	
	-		-	-	-		-	
	-		-	-	-		-	
\$	25,245	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	
\$	637	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	
	629		-	-	-		-	
	646		-	-	-		-	
	660		-	-	-		-	
	681		-	-	-		-	
	724		-	-	-		-	
	724		-	-	-		-	
	717		-	-	-		-	
	703		-	-	-		16	
	736		-	-	11		46	
\$	6,855	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 11	\$	63	

Agency	Pro	perty Taxes Levied		llected In rent Year	Col	lected To- Date		ributed In rent Year
S2R_S_TECH_DEBT ELIDA SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	_	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_
2010	Ψ	-	Ψ	-	Ψ	-	Ψ	-
2010		-		-		-		-
2012		-		-		-		_
2013		-		-		-		-
2014		-		-		-		-
2015		-		-		-		-
2016		-		-		-		-
2017		-		-		-		-
2018		-		-		-		-
Total	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
S39NR_S_CAP DORA SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	24,432	\$	-	\$	24,432	\$	-
2010	·	23,962		-	•	23,962		-
2011		23,549		-		23,547		-
2012		23,554		-		23,534		-
2013		26,200		-		26,199		-
2014		26,674		-		26,673		-
2015		28,615		-		28,596		-
2016		27,764		28		27,743		28
2017		28,299		89		28,156		89
2018		28,784		27,461		27,461		27,461
Total	\$	261,835	\$	27,578	\$	260,303	\$	27,578
S39NR_S_DEBT DORA SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	30,307	\$	-	\$	30,307	\$	-
2010		51,962		-		51,962		-
2011		42,553		-		42,549		-
2012		38,370		-		38,336		-
2013		25,821		-		25,820		-
2014		53,375		-		53,374		-
2015		69,820		-		69,774		-
2016		93,816		94		93,743		94
2017		89,893		282		89,438		282
2018	<u> </u>	79,142		75,505		75,505		75,505
Total	\$	575,060	\$	75,881	\$	570,808	\$	75,881

Dist	ributed To- Date	rent ount		Date ount	tributed ear End	ounty ivable At
\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -
	-	-		-	-	-
	-	-		-	-	-
	-	-		-	-	-
	-	-		-	-	-
	-	-		-	-	-
	-	-		-	-	-
	-	-		-	-	-
	-	-		-	-	-
	-	 -	<u></u>	-	 -	 -
\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -
\$	24,432	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -
	23,962	-		-	-	-
	23,547	-		2	-	-
	23,534	-		20	-	1
	26,199	-		-	-	1
	26,673	-		-	-	1
	28,596	-		-	-	19
	27,743	-		-	-	22
	28,109	-		-	47	143
	27,335	 -		-	127	 1,323
\$	260,129	\$ -	\$	22	\$ 174	\$ 1,509
\$	30,307	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -
	51,962	-		-	-	-
	42,549	-		4	-	-
	38,336	-		32	-	2
	25,820	-		-	-	1
	53,374	-		-	-	2
	69,774	-		-	-	46
	93,743	-		-	-	73
	89,287	-		-	151	455
	75,157	 -			 348	 3,637
\$	570,309	\$ -	\$	36	\$ 499	\$ 4,216

Agency	Pro	operty Taxes Levied		ollected In rrent Year	Col	lected To- Date		ributed In rent Year
S39NR_S_OPP DORA SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	6,108	\$	-	\$	6,108	\$	-
2010	Ŧ	5,991	4	-	+	5,991	Ŧ	-
2011		5,887		-		5,887		-
2012		5,889		-		5,883		-
2013		6,550		-		6,550		-
2014		6,669		-		6,668		-
2015		7,157		-		7,153		-
2016		6,941		7		6,936		7
2017		7,075		22		7,039		22
2018		7,196		6,865		6,865		6,865
Total	\$	65,462	\$	6,895	\$	65,079	\$	6,895
S39NR_S_TCH_DEBT DORA SCHOOLS	¢		¢		¢		¢	
2009 2010	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
		-		-		-		-
2011 2012		-		-		-		-
2012		-		-		-		-
2013		-		-		-		-
2014		-		-		-		-
2013		28,694		29		28,672		29
2010		33,436		105		33,266		105
2017		15,414		14,706		14,706		14,706
Total	\$	77,544	\$	14,839	\$	76,644	\$	14,839
S39R_S_CAP DORA SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	6,519	\$	-	\$	6,519	\$	-
2010		6,534		-		6,534		-
2011		6,576		-		6,576		-
2012		6,904		-		6,904		-
2013		6,931		-		6,931		-
2014		7,028		-		7,028		-
2015		7,335		-		7,335		-
2016		7,299		35		7,299		35
2017		7,776		90		7,728		90
2018		8,031		7,926		7,926		7,926
Total	\$	70,934	\$	8,051	\$	70,782	\$	8,051

Dist	ributed To- Date		Current Amount	Date ount	stributed ear End	ounty vable At
\$	6,108	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	5,991		-	-	-	-
	5,887		-	1	-	-
	5,883		-	5	-	-
	6,550		-	-	-	-
	6,668		-	-	-	-
	7,153		-	-	-	5
	6,936		-	-	-	5
	7,027		-	-	12	36
	6,834	_	-	 -	 32	331
\$	65,036	\$	-	\$ 6	\$ 44	\$ 377
\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	-		-	-	-	-
	-		-	-	-	-
	-		-	-	-	-
	-		-	-	-	-
	-		-	-	-	-
	-		-	-	-	-
	28,672		-	-	-	22
	33,210		-	-	56	169
	14,638		-	 -	 68	 708
\$	76,520	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 124	\$ 900
\$	6,519	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	6,534		-	-	-	-
	6,576		-	-	-	-
	6,904		-	-	-	-
	6,931		-	-	-	-
	7,028		-	-	-	-
	7,335		-	-	-	-
	7,299		-	-	-	-
	7,728		-	-	-	48
	7,913		-	 -	 14	 105
\$	70,768	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 14	\$ 153

Agency	Property Taxes Levied			Collected In Current Year		Collected To- Date		Distributed In Current Year	
S39R_S_DEBT DORA SCHOOLS									
2009	\$	8,087	\$	-	\$	8,087	\$	-	
2010		14,342	*	-	Ŧ	14,342	Ŧ	-	
2011		11,883		-		11,883		-	
2012		11,247		-		11,247		-	
2013		6,966		-		6,966		-	
2014		14,520		-		14,520		-	
2015		18,211		1		18,211		1	
2016		25,597		122		25,597		122	
2017		24,701		286		24,549		286	
2018		22,127		21,838		21,838		21,838	
Total	\$	157,681	\$	22,246	\$	157,240	\$	22,246	
S39R_S_OPP DORA SCHOOLS									
2009	\$	1,545	\$	-	\$	1,545	\$	-	
2010		1,548	•	-	•	1,548	·	-	
2011		1,558		-		1,558		-	
2012		1,681		-		1,681		-	
2013		1,689		-		1,689		-	
2014		1,713		-		1,713		-	
2015		1,787		-		1,787		-	
2016		1,780		8		1,780		8	
2017		1,812		21		1,801		21	
2018		1,875		1,851		1,851		1,851	
Total	\$	16,989	\$	1,880	\$	16,953	\$	1,880	
S39R_S_TCH_DEBT DORA SCHOOLS									
2009	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
2010		-		-		-		-	
2011		-		-		-		-	
2012		-		-		-		-	
2013		-		-		-		-	
2014		-		-		-		-	
2015		-		-		-		-	
2016		7,829		37		7,829		37	
2017		9,187		106		9,131		106	
2018		4,309		4,253		4,253		4,253	
Total	\$	21,326	\$	4,397	\$	21,213	\$	4,397	

Dist	tributed To- Date		Current Amount		Date ount		Undistributed At Year End		ounty ivable At
\$	8,087	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	14,342	•	-	Ŧ	-		-	•	-
	11,883		-		-		-		-
	11,247		-		-		-		-
	6,966		-		-		-		-
	14,520		-		-		-		-
	18,211		-		-		-		-
	25,597		-		-		-		-
	24,549		-		-		-		152
	21,800		-		-		37		289
\$	157,203	\$	-	\$	-	\$	37	\$	441
¢	1 6 4 6	\$		¢		¢		¢	
\$	1,545	þ	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	1,548 1,558		-		-		-		-
	1,558		-		-		-		-
	1,689		-		-		-		-
	1,713								
	1,787		_		-		_		_
	1,780		_		_		_		-
	1,801		-		-		-		11
	1,847		-		-		3		25
\$	16,950	\$	_	\$	-	\$	3	\$	36
<u> </u>		<u> </u>						<u> </u>	
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	7,829		-		-		-		-
	9,131		-		-		- 7		56 56
¢	4,246	¢	-	¢	-	<u>۴</u>	7	<u>۴</u>	56
\$	21,206	\$	-	\$	-	\$	7	\$	113

Agency	Pro	perty Taxes Levied		llected In rent Year	Co	llected To- Date		ributed In rent Year
S3NR_S_CAP TEXICO SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	39,714	\$	-	\$	39,714	\$	-
2010	Ψ	40,130	Ψ	-	Ψ	40,130	Ψ	-
2011		34,253		-		34,253		-
2012		35,574		-		35,574		-
2013		38,101		-		38,101		-
2014		36,855		-		36,855		-
2015		44,673		-		44,673		-
2016		41,749		6		41,749		6
2017		47,086		96		47,086		96
2018		46,044		46,029		46,029		46,029
Total	\$	404,179	\$	46,131	\$	404,164	\$	46,131
S3NR_S_DEBT TEXICO SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	105,461	\$	_	\$	105,461	\$	-
2009	Ψ	105,019	Ψ	_	Ψ	105,019	Ψ	_
2010		103,478		_		103,478		_
2012		97,473		-		97,473		-
2013		101,806		-		101,806		-
2014		94,036		-		94,036		-
2015		184,675		-		184,675		-
2016		173,204		24		173,204		24
2017		183,405		372		183,405		372
2018		164,102		164,048		164,048		164,048
Total	\$	1,312,660	\$	164,444	\$	1,312,606	\$	164,444
S3NR_S_OPP TEXICO SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	9,929	\$	-	\$	9,929	\$	-
2010		10,032		-		10,032		-
2011		8,563		-		8,563		-
2012		8,884		-		8,884		-
2013		9,297		-		9,297		-
2014		9,214		-		9,214		-
2015		11,168		-		11,168		-
2016		10,431		1		10,431		1
2017		11,771		24		11,771		24
2018	<u> </u>	11,511		11,507	<u> </u>	11,507		11,507
Total	\$	100,801	\$	11,533	\$	100,797	\$	11,533

Dist	tributed To- Date		Current Amount		Date ount	Undistributed At Year End		County Receivable At	
\$	39,714	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
Ψ	40,130	Ψ	-	Ψ	-	Ψ	-	Ψ	-
	34,253		-		-		-		-
	35,574		-		-		-		-
	38,101		-		-		-		-
	36,855		-		-		-		-
	44,673		-		-		-		-
	41,749		-		-		-		-
	47,086		-		-		-		-
	46,029		-	_	-		-		15
\$	404,164	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	15
\$	105,461	\$		\$		\$		\$	
φ	105,019	φ	-	φ	-	ቀ	-	φ	-
	103,478		_		_		_		
	97,473		_		-		_		-
	101,806		_		-		_		-
	94,036		-		-		-		-
	184,675		-		-		-		-
	173,204		-		-		-		-
	183,405		-		-		-		-
	164,048		-		-		-		54
\$	1,312,606	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	54
\$	9,929	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Ψ	10,032	Ψ	-	Ψ	-	Ψ	-	Ψ	-
	8,563		-		-		-		-
	8,884		-		-		-		-
	9,297		-		-		-		-
	9,214		-		-		-		-
	11,168		-		-		-		-
	10,431		-		-		-		-
	11,771		-		-		-		-
	11,507		-		-		-		4
\$	100,797	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	4

Agency		operty Taxes Levied	Collected In Current Year		Collected To- Date		Distributed In Current Year	
COND & TECH DEDT TEVICO SCHOOLS								
S3NR_S_TECH_DEBT TEXICO SCHOOLS 2009	\$		\$		\$		\$	
2009	φ	-	φ	-	φ	-	φ	-
2010		-		-		-		-
2011		_		_		_		_
2012		_		_		_		_
2013		-		-		-		-
2015		-		-		-		-
2016		-		-		-		-
2017		-		-		-		-
2018		-		-		-		-
Total	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
S3R_S_CAP TEXICO SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	2,855	\$	-	\$	2,855	\$	-
2010		3,129		-		3,129		-
2011		3,061		-		3,061		-
2012		3,338		-		3,338		-
2013		3,551		-		3,551		-
2014		3,544		-		3,544		-
2015		3,760		-		3,760		-
2016		4,026		-		4,026		-
2017		4,230		299		4,230		299
2018		4,299		4,299		4,299		4,299
Total	\$	35,794	\$	4,598	\$	35,794	\$	4,598
S3R_S_DEBT TEXICO SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	7,583	\$	-	\$	7,583	\$	-
2010		8,188		-		8,188		-
2011		9,629		-		9,629		-
2012		9,101		-		9,101		-
2013		9,489		-		9,489		-
2014		9,087		-		9,087		-
2015		13,657		-		13,657		-
2016		14,855		-		14,855		-
2017		15,924		1,124		15,924		1,124
2018	-	16,077		16,077		16,077		16,077
Total	\$	113,590	\$	17,201	\$	113,590	\$	17,201

Dist	ributed To- Date	Current Amount		Date ount	Undistributed At Year End		County Receivable At	
\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
	-		-	-		-		-
	-		-	-		-		-
	-		-	-		-		-
	-		-	-		-		-
	-		-	-		-		-
	-		-	-		-		-
	-		-	-		-		-
	-		-	-		-		-
<u> </u>	-		-	 -	<u> </u>	-		-
\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
\$	2,855	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
	3,129		-	-		-		-
	3,061		-	-		-		-
	3,338		-	-		-		-
	3,551		-	-		-		-
	3,544		-	-		-		-
	3,760		-	-		-		-
	4,026		-	-		-		-
	4,230		-	-		-		-
	4,299		-	 -		-	. <u></u>	-
\$	35,794	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
\$	7,583	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
·	8,188		-	-		-		-
	9,629		-	-		-		-
	9,101		-	-		-		-
	9,489		-	-		-		-
	9,087		-	-		-		-
	13,657		-	-		-		-
	14,855		-	-		-		-
	15,924		-	-		-		-
	16,077		-	 -		-		-
\$	113,590	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-

Agency		perty Taxes Levied		llected In rent Year	Col	lected To- Date		ributed In rent Year
S3R_S_OPP TEXICO SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	661	\$	-	\$	661	\$	-
2010		748	•	-	Ŧ	748		-
2011		731		-		731		-
2012		797		-		797		-
2013		788		-		788		-
2014		787		-		787		-
2015		835		-		835		-
2016		895		-		895		-
2017		940		66		940		66
2018		954		954		954		954
Total	\$	8,137	\$	1,020	\$	8,137	\$	1,020
S3R_S_TECH_DEBT TEXICO SCHOOLS								
2009	\$		\$	_	\$	_	\$	
2009	φ	-	φ	-	φ	-	φ	-
2010		-		-		-		-
2011		-		-		-		-
2012		_		_		_		-
2013		_		_		_		-
2017		-		_		_		-
2013		-		_		_		-
2010		-		-		_		-
2017		-		_		_		-
Total	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
S5NR_S_CAP FLOYD SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	26,039	\$	-	\$	25,983	\$	-
2010		24,926		-		24,870		-
2011		23,904		-		23,849		-
2012		24,263		-		24,263		-
2013		24,843		-		24,843		-
2014		24,236		-		24,236		-
2015		26,953		6		26,953		6
2016		27,222		24		27,196		24
2017		24,508		126		24,465		126
2018		21,019		20,014		20,014		20,014
Total	\$	247,914	\$	20,170	\$	246,671	\$	20,170

Distributed To- Date			Current Amount		To-Date Amount		ributed ar End	County Receivable At	
\$	661	\$	-	\$	_	\$	-	\$	_
Ψ	748	Ŷ	-	Ŷ	-	Ŷ	-	Ŷ	-
	731		-		-		-		-
	797		-		-		-		-
	788		-		-		-		-
	787		-		-		-		-
	835		-		-		-		-
	895		-		-		-		-
	940		-		-		-		-
	954		-		-		-		-
\$	8,137	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
<i>.</i>		_		<u>.</u>		.		.	
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
\$		\$		\$		\$	-	\$	
Ψ		Ψ		Ψ		Ψ		Ψ	
\$	25,983	\$	-	\$	57	\$	-	\$	-
	24,870		-		56		-		-
	23,849		-		55		-		-
	24,263		-		-		-		-
	24,843		-		-		-		-
	24,236		-		-		-		-
	26,953		-		-		-		-
	27,196		-		-		-		26
	24,465		-		-		-		43
	20,004				-		10		1,006
\$	246,661	\$	-	\$	168	\$	10	\$	1,075

Agency		erty Taxes evied		llected In rent Year		ected To- Date		ributed In rent Year
S5NR_S_DEBT FLOYD SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
2010	Ŧ	-	Ŧ	-	Ŧ	-	Ŧ	-
2011		-		-		-		-
2012		-		-		-		-
2013		-		-		-		-
2014		-		-		-		-
2015		-		-		-		-
2016		-		-		-		-
2017		-		-		-		-
2018		-		-		-		-
Total	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
S5NR_S_OPP FLOYD SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	6,210	\$	-	\$	6,197	\$	-
2010	Ŧ	6,219	Ŧ	-	Ŧ	6,205	Ŧ	-
2011		5,976		-		5,962		-
2012		6,066		-		6,066		-
2013		6,211		-		6,211		-
2014		6,059		-		6,059		-
2015		6,267		1		6,267		1
2016		6,330		6		6,324		6
2017		6,127		32		6,116		32
2018		5,255		5,003		5,003		5,003
Total	\$	60,720	\$	5,042	\$	60,410	\$	5,042
S5NR_S_TECH_DEBT FLOYD SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
2010		-		-		-		-
2011		-		-		-		-
2012		-		-		-		-
2013		-		-		-		-
2014		-		-		-		-
2015		-		-		-		-
2016		-		-		-		-
2017		-		-		-		-
2018		-		-		-		-
Total	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-

Dist	ributed To- Date	Current Amount			Date ount	Undistributed At Year End		County Receivable At	
.		<i>.</i>		¢		.		¢	
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	_		_		_		_		_
	_		_		-		_		_
	_		_		_		_		_
	_		-		-		-		-
\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
<u> </u>				· ·				<u> </u>	
\$	6,197	\$	-	\$	14	\$	-	\$	_
Ψ	6,205	Ψ	-	Ψ	14	¥	-	Ψ	-
	5,962		-		14		-		-
	6,066		-		-		-		-
	6,211		-		-		-		-
	6,059		-		-		-		-
	6,267		-		-		-		-
	6,324		-		-		-		6
	6,116		-		-		-		11
	5,001		-		-		3		251
\$	60,408	\$	-	\$	41	\$	3	\$	268
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
<i>ф</i>	-		-	<u></u>	-		-		-
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-

Agency	F	Property Taxes Levied	llected In rent Year	Col	lected To- Date	ributed In ent Year
S5R_S_CAP FLOYD SCHOOLS						
200	9	5,379	-		5,379	_
200		5,496	-		5,496	_
201		5,683	-		5,683	-
201		5,790	-		5,790	-
201		5,814	-		5,814	-
201		5,836	-		5,836	-
201		6,426	104		6,426	104
201		6,491	131		6,491	131
201	7	6,624	240		6,586	240
201	8	6,865	6,630		6,630	6,630
Total	\$	60,406	\$ 7,106	\$	60,133	\$ 7,106
S5R_S_DEBT FLOYD SCHOOLS						
200			\$ -	\$	-	\$ -
201		-	-		-	-
201		-	-		-	-
201		-	-		-	-
201		-	-		-	-
201		-	-		-	-
201		-	-		-	-
201		-	-		-	-
201		-	-		-	-
201		-	 -		-	 -
Total	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -
S5R_S_OPP FLOYD SCHOOLS						
200			\$ -	\$	1,302	\$ -
201		1,374	-		1,374	-
201		1,421	-		1,421	-
201		1,448	-		1,448	-
201		1,453	-		1,453	-
201		1,458	-		1,458	-
201		1,513	25		1,513	25
201		1,527	31		1,527	31
201		1,560	57		1,551	57
201		1,616	 1,560		1,560	 1,560
Total	\$	5 14,671	\$ 1,672	\$	14,607	\$ 1,672

Dist	Distributed To- Date Amoun			To-Date Amount	Undistributed At Year End	County Receivable At
	5,379		-	-	-	-
	5,496		-	-	-	-
	5,683		-	-	-	-
	5,790		-	-	-	-
	5,814		-	-	-	-
	5,836		-	-	-	-
	6,426		-	-	-	-
	6,491		-	-	-	1
	6,586		-	-	-	38
	6,588			-	42	235
\$	60,091	\$	- \$	-	\$ 42	\$ 274
\$	-	\$	- \$	-	\$-	\$-
·	-		-	-	-	-
	-		-	-	-	-
	-		-	-	-	-
	-		-	-	-	-
	-		-	-	-	-
	-		-	-	-	-
	-		-	-	-	-
	-		-	-	-	-
				-	-	
\$	-	\$	- \$	-	\$-	\$-
\$	1,302	\$	- \$	<u> </u>	\$-	\$ -
Ψ	1,374	4	-	- -	÷ -	-
	1,421		-	-	-	-
	1,448		-	-	-	-
	1,453		-	-	-	-
	1,458		-	-	-	-
	1,513		-	-	-	-
	1,527		-	-	-	-
	1,551		-	-	-	9
	1,551			-	10	55
\$	14,597	\$	- \$	-	\$ 10	\$ 64

Agency	Pro	perty Taxes Levied		llected In rent Year	Col	lected To- Date	Distributed In Current Year	
S5R_S_TECH_DEBT FLOYD SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	-	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
2010	Ψ	-	Ψ	-	Ψ	_	Ψ	-
2011		-		-		-		-
2012		-		-		-		-
2013		-		-		-		-
2014		-		-		-		-
2015		-		-		-		-
2016		-		-		-		-
2017		-		-		-		-
2018		-		-		-		-
Total	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
S74NR_S_CAP HOUSE SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	8,020	\$	-	\$	8,020	\$	-
2010	•	8,394		-	•	8,394	·	-
2011		8,601		-		8,601		-
2012		9,264		-		9,264		-
2013		10,003		-		10,003		-
2014		10,254		-		10,254		-
2015		11,002		-		11,002		-
2016		11,544		-		11,544		-
2017		14,621		115		14,575		115
2018		16,975		16,900		16,900		16,900
Total	\$	108,678	\$	17,015	\$	108,557	\$	17,015
S74NR_S_DEBT HOUSE SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	25,933	\$	-	\$	25,933	\$	-
2010		20,403		-		20,403		-
2011		17,576		-		17,576		-
2012		27,161		-		27,161		-
2013		22,248		-		22,248		-
2014		22,548		-		22,548		-
2015		24,545		-		24,545		-
2016		24,878		-		24,878		-
2017		30,002		236		29,907		236
2018		21,762		21,665		21,665		21,665
Total	\$	237,056	\$	21,901	\$	236,865	\$	21,901

Dist	ributed To- Date	Current Amount			Date ount	Undistributed At Year End		County Receivable At	
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-	<u> </u>	-	<u> </u>	-
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
\$	8,020	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	8,394		-		-		-		-
	8,601		-		-		-		-
	9,264		-		-		-		-
	10,003		-		-		-		-
	10,254		-		-		-		-
	11,002		-		-		-		-
	11,544		-		-		-		-
	14,575		-		-		-		46
<u> </u>	16,899		-	<u> </u>	-		-	<u> </u>	75
\$	108,556	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	121
\$	25,933	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	20,403		-		-		-		-
	17,576		-		-		-		-
	27,161		-		-		-		-
	22,248		-		-		-		-
	22,548		-		-		-		-
	24,545		-		-		-		-
	24,878		-		-		-		-
	29,907		-		-		-		95
	21,665		-		-		-		97
\$	236,864	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	191

Agency		operty Taxes Levied		lected In rent Year	Col	lected To- Date	Distributed In Current Year	
S74NR_S_OPP HOUSE SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	2,005	\$	-	\$	2,005	\$	-
2010	Ψ	2,099	¥	-	Ŷ	2,099	Ŷ	-
2011		2,146		-		2,146		-
2012		2,311		-		2,311		-
2013		2,495		-		2,495		-
2014		2,556		-		2,556		-
2015		2,744		-		2,744		-
2016		2,877		-		2,877		-
2017		3,063		24		3,053		24
2018		3,777		3,760		3,760		3,760
Total	\$	26,074	\$	3,784	\$	26,047	\$	3,784
CTAND C TCH DEDT HOUSE SCHOOLS								
S74NR_S_TCH_DEBT HOUSE SCHOOLS 2009	\$		¢		¢		¢	
2009	Э	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
2010		-		-		-		-
2011 2012		-		-		-		-
2012		-		-		-		-
2013		-		-		-		-
2014		-		-		-		-
2013		_		_		_		_
2010		_		_		_		_
2017		-		-		_		_
Total	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
S74R_S_CAP HOUSE SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	437	\$	-	\$	437	\$	-
2010		440		-		440		-
2011		460		-		460		-
2012		472		-		472		-
2013		476		-		476		-
2014		472		-		472		-
2015		475		-		475		-
2016		524		-		524		-
2017		533		153		533		153
2018		554		509		509		509
Total	\$	4,843	\$	662	\$	4,798	\$	662

Distributed To- Date		Current Amount		To-Da Amou		Undist At Yea	ributed ar End	County Receivable At		
\$	2,005	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
	2,099		-		-		-		-	
	2,146		-		-		-		-	
	2,311		-		-		-		-	
	2,495		-		-		-		-	
	2,556		-		-		-		-	
	2,744		-		-		-		-	
	2,877		-		-		-		-	
	3,053		-		-		-		10	
	3,760		-		-		-		17	
\$	26,047	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	26	
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
	-		-		-		-		-	
	-		-		-		-		-	
	-		-		-		-		-	
	-		-		-		-		-	
	-		-		-		-		-	
	-		-		-		-		-	
	-		-		-		-		-	
	-		-		-		-		-	
	-		-		-		-		-	
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
\$	437	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
•	440		-		-	·	-	·	-	
	460		-		-		-		-	
	472		-		-		-		-	
	476		-		-		-		-	
	472		-		-		-		-	
	475		-		-		-		-	
	524		-		-		-		-	
	533		-		-		-		-	
	501		-		-		7		46	
\$	4,790	\$		\$	-	\$	7	\$	46	

Agency		Property Taxes Levied		Collected In Current Year		ected To- Date	Distributed In Current Year	
S74R_S_DEBT HOUSE SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	1,449	\$	_	\$	1,449	\$	_
2009	Ψ	1,449	Ψ	_	Ψ	1,449	Ψ	_
2010		940		-		940		_
2011		1,312		-		1,312		-
2012		963		_		963		_
2013		945		-		945		-
2011		924		-		924		-
2013		932		-		932		-
2010		1,095		314		1,095		314
2017		711		652		652		652
Total	\$	10,342	\$	967	\$	10,283	\$	967
	-				-			
S74R_S_OPP HOUSE SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	88	\$	-	\$	88	\$	-
2010		88		-		88		-
2011		90		-		90		-
2012		93		-		93		-
2013		98		-		98		-
2014		97		-		97		-
2015		97		-		97		-
2016		107		-		107		-
2017		107		31		107		31
2018		114		105		105		105
Total	\$	979	\$	135	\$	969	\$	135
S74R_S_TCH_DEBT HOUSE SCHOOLS								
2015	\$		\$		\$		\$	
2013	φ	-	φ	-	φ	-	φ	-
2010		-		-		-		-
2017 2018		-		-		-		-
Total	\$		\$		\$		\$	-
Total	Ψ		Ψ		Ψ		Ψ	
S9NR_S_CAP MELROSE SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	1,699	\$	-	\$	1,699	\$	-
2010		1,733		-		1,733		-
2011		1,680		-		1,680		-
2012		1,733		-		1,733		-
2013		1,885		-		1,885		-
2014		1,807		-		1,807		-
2015		2,201		-		2,201		-
2016		2,188		1		2,188		1
2017		1,995		9		1,994		9
2018		2,269		2,252		2,252		2,252
Total	\$	19,190	\$	2,262	\$	19,171	\$	2,262

Distributed To- Date		Current Amount		To-I Amo			ributed ar End	County Receivable At		
\$	1,449	\$		\$		\$		\$		
Ф	1,449 1,072	Ф	-	Ф	-	Φ	-	Ф	-	
	940		-		-		-		-	
	1,312		_		_		_		_	
	963		_		_		_		_	
	945		_		_		_		_	
	924		_		-		_		_	
	932		_		_		_		_	
	1,095		_		-		_		_	
	643		_		-		9		59	
\$	10,273	\$	<u> </u>	\$	-	\$	9	\$	59	
Ψ	10,275	Ψ		Ψ		Ψ		Ψ		
\$	88	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
	88		-		-		-		-	
	90		-		-		-		-	
	93		-		-		-		-	
	98		-		-		-		-	
	97		-		-		-		-	
	97		-		-		-		-	
	107		-		-		-		-	
	107		-		-		-		-	
	103		-		-		2		9	
\$	968	\$	-	\$	-	\$	2	\$	9	
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
	-		-		-		-		-	
	-		-		-		-		-	
	-		-		-		-		-	
\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$		
¢	1 (00	¢		¢		¢		¢		
\$	1,699	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
	1,733		-		-		-		-	
	1,680		-		-		-		-	
	1,733		-		-		-		-	
	1,885		-		-		-		-	
	1,807		-		-		-		-	
	2,201		-		-		-		-	
	2,188		-		-		-		-	
	1,994		-		-		-		1	
<u>۴</u>	2,241	<u></u>	-	<u></u>	-	<u></u>	11	<u></u>	17	
\$	19,160	\$	-	\$	-	\$	11	\$	18	

Agency		operty Taxes Levied		lected In rent Year		ected To- Date	Distributed In Current Year	
S9NR_S_DEBT MELROSE SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
2010	Ψ	-	Ψ	_	Ψ	-	Ψ	-
2011		3,551		-		3,551		-
2012		3,713		-		3,713		-
2013		3,827		-		3,827		-
2013		3,538		-		3,538		-
2015		4,191		-		4,191		-
2016		4,061		2		4,061		2
2017		3,698		17		3,695		17
2018		4,300		4,267		4,267		4,267
Total	\$	30,878	\$	4,286	\$	30,843	\$	4,286
S9NR_S_OPP MELROSE SCHOOLS	¢	425	¢		¢	425	¢	
2009	\$	425	\$	-	\$	425	\$	-
2010		433		-		433		-
2011 2012		420		-		420		-
2012		433 471		-		433 471		-
2013		471 452		-		471 452		-
2014		452 550		-		452 550		-
2013		547		-		530 547		-
2010		499		2		498		2
2017		499 567		563		498 563		563
Total	\$	4,797	\$	565	\$	4,793	\$	565
		-), , , , ,	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	1,7 7 0	<u>+</u>	000
S9NR_S_TECH_DEBT MELROSE SCHOO								
2009	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
2010		-		-		-		-
2011		-		-		-		-
2012		-		-		-		-
2013		-		-		-		-
2014		-		-		-		-
2015		-		-		-		-
2016		-		-		-		-
2017		-		-		-		-
2018		-		-	<u> </u>	-		-
Total	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-

Disti	ributed To- Date		Current Amount		Date ount	Undistributed At Year End		ounty vable At
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
	-		-		-		-	-
	3,551		-		-		-	-
	3,713		-		-		-	-
	3,827		-		-		-	-
	3,538		-		-		-	-
	4,191		-		-		-	-
	4,061		-		-		-	-
	3,695		-		-		-	3
¢	4,246	<u>۴</u>	-	<u>_</u>	-	<u></u>	21 21	\$ 32
\$	30,822	\$	-	\$	-	\$	21	\$ 35
\$	425	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
	433		-		-		-	-
	420		-		-		-	-
	433		-		-		-	-
	471		-		-		-	-
	452		-		-		-	-
	550		-		-		-	-
	547		-		-		-	-
	498		-		-		-	0
	560		-		-		3	 4
\$	4,790	\$	-	\$	-	\$	3	\$ 5
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
	-		-		-		-	-
	-		-		-		-	-
	-		-		-		-	-
	-		-		-		-	-
	-		-		-		-	-
	-		-		-		-	-
	-		-		-		-	-
	-		-		-		-	-
	-		-		-		-	-
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$ -

Agency		operty Taxes Levied		llected In rent Year		ected To- Date	Distributed In Current Year	
S9R_S_CAP MELROSE SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	494	\$	-	\$	494	\$	-
2010		477	*	-	•	477	•	-
2011		592		-		592		-
2012		590		-		590		-
2013		584		-		584		-
2014		579		-		579		-
2015		628		-		628		-
2016		607		-		607		-
2017		658		-		658		-
2018		678		667		667		667
Total	\$	5,886	\$	667	\$	5,874	\$	667
S9R_S_DEBT MELROSE SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_
2010	Ψ	-	Ŷ	-	Ŷ	_	Ŷ	-
2011		1,251		-		1,251		-
2012		1,263		-		1,263		-
2013		1,222		-		1,222		-
2014		1,178		-		, 1,178		-
2015		1,247		-		1,247		-
2016		1,187		-		1,187		-
2017		1,220		-		1,220		-
2018		1,285		1,264		1,264		1,264
Total	\$	9,852	\$	1,264	\$	9,831	\$	1,264
S9R_S_OPP MELROSE SCHOOLS								
2009	\$	124	\$	-	\$	124	\$	-
2010		119		-		119		-
2011		137		-		137		-
2012		137		-		137		-
2013		136		-		136		-
2014		134		-		134		-
2015		146		-		146		-
2016		141		-		141		-
2017		143		-		143		-
2018		148		146		146		146
Total	\$	1,366	\$	146	\$	1,363	\$	146

Distributed To- Date		Current Amount		To-Date Amount		Undist At Yea	ributed Ir End	County Receivable At		
\$	494	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
	477		-		-		-		-	
	592		-		-		-		-	
	590		-		-		-		-	
	584		-		-		-		-	
	579		-		-		-		-	
	628		-		-		-		-	
	607		-		-		-		-	
	658		-		-		-		-	
	667		-		-		-		11	
\$	5,874	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	11	
¢		\$		¢		\$		¢		
\$	-	Ф	-	\$	-	Ф	-	\$	-	
	- 1,251		-		-		-		-	
	1,251		-		-		-		-	
	1,203		-		-		-		-	
	1,222		-		-		-		-	
	1,178		-		-		-		-	
	1,187		_		_		_		_	
	1,107		_		-		_		-	
	1,264		-		-		_		21	
\$	9,831	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	21	
Ψ	7,001	+		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
\$	124	\$		\$		\$		\$		
Ψ	119	Ψ		ψ	_	Ψ	_	Ψ		
	119		-		-		-		-	
	137		-		-		-		-	
	137		-		-		-		-	
	130		-		-		-		-	
	146		-		-		-		-	
	140		-		-		-		_	
	143		-		-		-		-	
	146		-		-		-		2	
\$	1,363	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	2	

STATE OF NEW MEXICO Roosevelt County County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule For the Year Ended June 30, 2019

Agency	Pr	operty Taxes Levied		ollected In rrent Year	Co	ollected To- Date		stributed In Irrent Year
S9R_S_TECH_DEBT MELROSE SCHOOLS								
2009	, \$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
2009	Ψ	-	Ψ		Ψ	_	Ψ	_
2010		_						
2011		-						_
2012		-		_		_		_
2013		-		_		_		_
2017		-		_		_		_
2013		-		_		_		_
2010		-		_		_		_
2017		-		-		_		_
Total	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Total Tax 2009	\$	6,274,267	\$	14	\$	6,272,934	\$	14
2009	φ	6,719,444	φ	14	φ	6,717,849	φ	101
2010		6,918,327		28		6,916,517		28
2011		7,035,181		35		7,031,726		35
2012		7,149,528		94		7,148,044		94
2013		7,421,818		2,700		7,421,373		2,700
2017		8,249,966		5,954		8,100,574		5,954
2013		8,442,970		61,138		8,305,149		61,138
2010		8,487,114		247,507		8,368,077		247,507
2017		8,736,406		8,268,749		8,268,749		8,268,749
Total	\$	75,435,020	\$	8,586,321	\$	74,550,992	\$	8,586,321
Grand Total 2009	\$	6,461,761	\$	14	\$	6,459,863	\$	14
2009	Ф	6,879,334	ф	14	φ	6,877,151	ф	14
2010		0,879,334 7,064,583		28		7,062,146		28
2011 2012		7,208,028		28 35		7,203,536		35
2012		7,208,028		55 94		7,333,590		55 94
2013		7,593,437 7,593,437		94 2,700		7,533,590 7,592,660		94 2,700
2014		8,464,768		2,700 5,970		8,315,297		2,700 5,970
2013		8,648,285		61,166		8,510,270		61,166
2010		8,695,838		260,250		8,510,270		260,250
2017		8,928,755		8,446,003		8,446,003		8,446,003
Total	\$	77,280,711	\$	8,776,362	\$	76,376,735	\$	8,776,362
i uui	Ψ	///11	ψ	0,770,304	Ψ	, 0, 5 , 0, 7 5 5	Ψ	0,770,302

Di	stributed To- Date	urrent mount	Fo-Date Amount	istributed Year End	County ceivable At
\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-
	-	 -	-	 -	 -
\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
\$	6,272,934	\$ -	\$ 1,333	\$ -	\$ -
	6,717,849	-	1,595	-	-
	6,916,517	-	1,723	-	87
	7,031,726	-	3,354	-	101
	7,148,044	-	1,388	-	96
	7,421,373	-	143	-	302
	8,099,462	-	788	1,112	148,604
	8,302,665	4	51	2,484	137,770
	8,358,504	135	135	9,573	118,901
	8,188,692	 767	 767	 80,057	 466,890
\$	74,457,767	\$ 906	\$ 11,277	\$ 93,226	\$ 872,751
\$	6,459,863	\$ -	\$ 1,898	\$ -	\$ -
	6,877,151	-	2,183	-	-
	7,062,146	-	2,350	-	87
	7,203,536	-	4,391	-	101
	7,333,590	-	2,234	-	96
	7,592,660	-	475	-	302
	8,314,185	-	788	1,112	148,683
	8,507,785	4	51	2,484	137,964
	8,566,640	135	135	9,580	119,484
_	8,365,919	 767	 767	 80,085	 481,985
\$	76,283,475	\$ 906	\$ 15,274	\$ 93,260	\$ 888,702

Roosevelt County Schedule of Changes in Fiduciary Assets and Liabilities Custodial Funds For the Year Ended June 30, 2019

	-	Balance e 30, 2018	A	dditions	J	Deletions	-	Balance e 30, 2019
Assets: Cash and cash equivalents Property taxes receivables	\$	32,695 401,844	\$	4,662,726 4,817,850	\$	4,622,143 4,740,929	\$	73,278 478,765
Total Assets	\$	434,539	\$	9,480,576	\$	9,363,072	\$	552,043
Liabilities: Deposits held in trust Due to other taxing entities		32,695 401,844		4,662,726 4,817,850		4,622,143 4,740,929	\$	73,278 478,765
Total Liabilities	\$	434,539	\$	9,480,576	\$	9,363,072	\$	552,043

COMPLIANCE SECTION

cordovacpas.com



CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS | BUSINESS ADVISORS

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Brian S. Colón Esq. New Mexico State Auditor Roosevelt County Roosevelt County Commissioners Portales, New Mexico

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, the aggregate remaining information, and the budgetary comparisons of the General Fund and major special revenue fund of Roosevelt County (the "County") as of and for the year ended June 30, 2019, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 20, 2019.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the County's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described on the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be a material weakness or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. We did identify certain deficiencies in internal control, described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses as item 2019-001 that we consider to be a significant deficiency.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the County's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests did not disclose instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Roosevelt County's Responses to Findings

The County's response to the finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses. Roosevelt County's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the County's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

order a CPAS LLC

Cordova CPAs LLC Albuquerque, NM November 20, 2019

STATE OF NEW MEXICO Roosevelt County Schedule of Findings and Responses June 30, 2019

SECTION I - SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS

Financial Statements:

1.	Туј	pe of auditors' report issued	Unmodified
2.	Int	ernal control over financial reporting:	
	a.	Material weaknesses identified?	None Noted
	b.	Significant deficiencies identified not considered to be material weaknesses?	Yes
	c.	Noncompliance material to the financial statements noted?	None Noted

SECTION II – FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

2019-001 Lack of Internal Controls with Handling of Evidence at Sheriff's Office (Significant Deficiency)

Condition: On April 17, 2017, the County's Sheriff's Office seized \$8,254 of drug trafficking money, which was placed in a temporary locker in the evidence room by a deputy. The money was never deposited with the County Treasurer's Office and formally accounted for, in accordance with the County's cash handling policy. On January 11, 2019, a District Court Judge ordered the seized money to be released to the defendant's attorney. In the process of complying with the court order, the Sheriff's Office discovered the money was missing.

Criteria: The County's policies state that their cash receipts should be deposited within 24 hours of receipt.

Cause: The designed evidence custodian was in transition during the time of the seizure. Custodial duties were being transferred between deputies. This led to the money being placed in a temporary locker for almost two years and not being formally accounted for.

Effect: The Sheriff's Office never formally accounted for the funds and the County had to use its own general fund monies to cover what the money was designated for.

Auditor's Recommendations: We recommend that the County implement internal controls within the Sheriff's Office to ensure all money seized is formally accounted for and deposited according to County's policy. We further recommend the Sheriff adopt policies and procedures specific to his office, ensuring compliance with County policies.

Agency Response: Upon notice, the Sheriff's Office filed a report with the New Mexico State Police. The New Mexico State Police opened an investigation. The Sheriff notified the County Manager, who then notified the New Mexico State Auditor's Office in accordance with NMSA 12-6-6 and also notified the County's independent auditor firm. The County Manager also notified and filed a claim with the New Mexico County Insurance Authority, which was subsequently paid.

The County Attorney drafted a new Handling of Cash, Currency and Negotiable Instruments policy in January and provided it to the Sheriff for his review. The Board of Commissioners will adopt a formal policy on Handling of Cash, Currency and Negotiable Instruments by Dec. 31, 2019. This policy will be provided to every employee in the Sheriff's Office, and a signed acknowledgement of receipt will be placed in every employee's HR file. This policy will also be provided to all new hires within the Sheriff's Office. The Sheriff will be responsible for providing training on and enforcement of this policy.

SECTION III - FINDINGS IN ACCORDACNE WITH SECTION 12-6-5 NMSA 1978

None Noted

SECTION III – SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS

None Noted

Roosevelt County Other Disclosures June 30, 2019

Exit Conference

An exit conference was held on November 20, 2019. In attendance were the following:

Representing Roosevelt County:

Tina Dixon, Commissioner Matthew Hunton, Commissioner Amber Hamilton, County Manager Layle Sanchez, Treasurer Stephanie Hicks, Clerk George Beggs, Assessor Stevin Floyd, Deputy Assessor Ricky Lovato. Road Superintendent Liliana Rivera, Finance Specialist Justin Porter, Detention Administrator Vicki Ramos, County Services Specialist Javier Sanchez, Lieutenant David Meeks, Deputy

Representing Cordova CPAs LLC:

Robert Cordova, CPA, Principal Rufino Rodriguez, CPA, Senior Manager

Auditor Prepared Financial Statements

Cordova CPAs prepared the GAAP-basis financial statements and footnotes of Roosevelt County from the original books and records provided to them by the management of Roosevelt County. The responsibility for the financial statements remains with the County.