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FINANCIAL SECTION

## INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Timothy Keller<br>New Mexico State Auditor<br>Roosevelt County Commissioners<br>Roosevelt County<br>Portales, New Mexico

## Report on Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, the aggregate remaining fund information, and the budgetary comparisons for the General Fund and major special revenue fund of Roosevelt County, New Mexico (the "County"), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

## Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatements, whether due to fraud or error.

## Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditors' judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the County's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

## Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Roosevelt County, as of June 30, 2017, and the respective changes in financial position thereof and the respective budgetary comparisons for the General Fund and major special revenue fund for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

## Other Matters

## Required Supplementary Information

Management has omitted the Management's Discussion and Analysis that accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require to be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such missing information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. Our opinion on the basic financial statements is not affected by this missing information.

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require Schedules I and II, and the Notes to the Required Supplementary Information on pages 70 through 74 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

## Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements. The introductory section, combining and individual nonmajor fund financial statements, and Supporting Schedules III through VII required by 2.2.2 NMAC are presented for the purpose of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The combining and individual nonmajor fund financial statements and Supporting Schedules III through VII required by 2.2.2 NMAC are the responsibility of management and were derived from and relate directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the combining and individual nonmajor fund financial statements and Supporting Schedules III through VII required by 2.2.2 NMAC are fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

The introductory section has not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements, and accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on it.

## Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated November 30, 2017 on our consideration of the County's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the County's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

## Re CPA + Consultants LLP

RPC CPAs + Consultants, LLP
Albuquerque, New Mexico
November 30, 2017

## BASIC

## FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## STATE OF NEW MEXICO

Roosevelt County
Statement of Net Position
June 30, 2017

|  | Governmental Activities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |
| Current assets |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 4,024,901 |
| Investments |  | 1,875,019 |
| Receivables: |  |  |
| Property taxes |  | 288,544 |
| Other taxes |  | 728,526 |
| Other receivables |  | 24,915 |
| Total current assets |  | 6,941,905 |
| Noncurrent assets |  |  |
| Restricted cash and cash equivalents |  | 521,593 |
| Capital assets |  | 48,208,423 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation |  | $(35,709,823)$ |
| Total noncurrent assets |  | 13,020,193 |
| Total assets |  | 19,962,098 |
| Deferred outflows |  |  |
| Changes in proportion |  | 97,143 |
| Changes of assumption |  | 364,694 |
| Difference between expected and actual experience |  | 347,963 |
| Employer contributions subsequent to the measurement date |  | 375,608 |
| Net difference between projected and actual investment earnings |  | 1,035,457 |
| Total deferred outflows |  | 2,220,865 |
| Total assets and deferred outflows | \$ | 22,182,963 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

|  | Governmental Activities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Liabilities |  |  |
| Current liabilities |  |  |
| Accounts payable | \$ | 195,786 |
| Accrued payroll |  | 168,337 |
| Accrued interest |  | 42,403 |
| Accrued compensated absences |  | 178,117 |
| Current portion of loans payable |  | 517,159 |
| Total current liabilities |  | 1,101,802 |
| Noncurrent liabilities |  |  |
| Loans payable |  | 8,813,848 |
| Net pension liability |  | 5,935,418 |
| Total noncurrent liabilities |  | 14,749,266 |
| Total liabilities |  | 15,851,068 |
| Deferred inflows |  |  |
| Changes in proportion |  | 414,789 |
| Changes of assumption |  | 40,156 |
| Difference between expected and actual experience |  | 36,533 |
| Total deferred inflows |  | 491,478 |
| Net position |  |  |
| Net investment in capital assets |  | 3,167,593 |
| Restricted for: |  |  |
| Debt service |  | 1,006,644 |
| Other purposes - special revenue |  | 2,778,602 |
| Unrestricted |  | $(1,112,422)$ |
| Total net position |  | 5,840,417 |
| Total liabilities, deferred inflows, and net position | \$ | 22,182,963 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.
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Roosevelt County
Statement of Activities
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

| Functions/Programs | Expenses |  | Program Revenues |  |  |  |  |  | Net (Expense) Revenue and Changes in Net Position |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Charges for Services |  | Operating <br> Grants and <br> Contributions |  | Capital Grants and Contributions |  |  |  |
| Primary government |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General government | \$ | 2,848,023 | \$ | 254,488 | \$ | 548,145 | \$ | 57,635 | \$ | $(1,987,755)$ |
| Public safety |  | 4,462,943 |  | 285,473 |  | 324,456 |  | - |  | $(3,853,014)$ |
| Public works |  | 1,098,288 |  | - |  | - |  | 430,014 |  | $(668,274)$ |
| Culture and recreation |  | 168,974 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | $(168,974)$ |
| Health and welfare |  | 1,475,907 |  | - |  | 907 |  | - |  | $(1,475,000)$ |
| Interest on long-term debt |  | 231,021 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | $(231,021)$ |
| Total governmental activities |  | 10,285,156 | \$ | 539,961 | \$ | 873,508 | \$ | 487,649 |  | $(8,384,038)$ |

## General revenues:

Taxes:

| Property | $4,102,441$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Gross receipts | $3,478,537$ |
| Gasoline and motor vehicle | 783,705 |
| Other | 321,520 |
| Payment in lieu of taxes | 469,284 |
| Interest income | 5,319 |
| Miscellaneous income | 297,698 |
| Gain on sale of capital assets | 4,772 |

Total general revenues 9,463,276
Change in net position $\quad 1,079,238$

Net position - beginning 4,761,179

Net position - ending
\$ 5,840,417

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

# STATE OF NEW MEXICO 

Roosevelt County
Balance Sheet
Governmental Funds
June 30, 2017

|  | General Fund |  | Road Fund |  | Detention Center Bond Debt Service Fund |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 2,211,141 | \$ | 315,802 | \$ | 19,095 |
| Investments |  | 1,695,080 |  | - |  | - |
| Current receivables: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property taxes |  | 288,544 |  | - |  | - |
| Other taxes |  | 416,447 |  | 72,839 |  | - |
| Other |  | 23,285 |  | 317 |  | - |
| Total assets | \$ | 4,634,497 | \$ | 388,958 | \$ | 19,095 |

Liabilities, deferred inflows of resources, and fund balances

## Liabilities

Accounts payable
Accrued payroll
Total liabilities

Deferred inflows of resources Property taxes

Total deferred inflows of resources


Fund balances
Spendable
Restricted for:
General county operations
Maintenance of roads

| \$ | 20,349 | \$ | 1,410 | \$ | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 138,767 |  | 28,055 |  |  |
|  | 159,116 |  | 29,465 |  | - |

EMS and fire departments
Public safety
Health and welfare
Debt service expenditures
Minimum fund balance
Committed to:
Sheriff's evidence
EMS and fire departments Unassigned

Total fund balances

Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources, and fund balances
$\xlongequal{\$ 14,634,497} \xlongequal{\$} \xlongequal{\$ 388,958}$

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.


| Funds |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 2,000,456 | \$ | 4,546,494 |
|  | 179,939 |  | 1,875,019 |
|  | - |  | 288,544 |
|  | 239,240 |  | 728,526 |
|  | 1,313 |  | 24,915 |
| \$ | 2,420,948 | \$ | 7,463,498 |


| $\$$ | 174,027 |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1,515 |  | $\$$ | 195,786 |
|  | 175,542 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 364,337 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| - | 249,077 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  | 380,322 |  | 380,322 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - |  | 219,811 |
|  | 467,573 |  | 467,573 |
|  | 114,028 |  | 114,028 |
|  | 68,659 |  | 68,659 |
|  | 1,031,580 |  | 1,050,675 |
|  | - |  | 1,618,534 |
|  | 7,581 |  | 7,581 |
|  | 175,663 |  | 175,663 |
|  | - |  | 2,747,452 |
|  | 2,245,406 |  | 6,850,298 |
| \$ | 2,420,948 | \$ | 7,463,498 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.
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# STATE OF NEW MEXICO 

Roosevelt County
Governmental Funds
Reconciliation of the Balance Sheet to the Statement of Net Position June 30, 2017

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the Statement of Net Position are different because:

| Fund balances - total governmental funds | \$ | 6,850,298 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and, therefore, are not reported in the funds |  | 12,498,600 |
| Delinquent property taxes not collected within sixty days after year end are not considered "available" revenues and are considered to be unavailable revenue in the fund financial statements, but are considered revenue in the |  | 249,077 |
| Deferred outflows of resources related to changes in proportion |  | 97,143 |
| Deferred outflows of resources related to change of assumptions |  | 364,694 |
| Deferred outflows of resources related to difference between expected and actual experience |  | 347,963 |
| Deferred outflows of resources related to employer contributions subsequent to the measurement date |  | 375,608 |
| Deferred outflows of resources related to net difference between actual and projected earnings on investments |  | 1,035,457 |
| Deferred inflows of resources related to changes in proportion |  | $(414,789)$ |
| Deferred inflows of resources related to change of assumptions |  | $(40,156)$ |
| Deferred inflows of resources related to difference between expected and actual experience |  | $(36,533)$ |
| Certain liabilities are not due and payable in the current period and, therefore, are not reported in the funds: |  |  |
| Accrued interest payable |  | $(42,403)$ |
| Accrued compensated absences |  | $(178,117)$ |
| Bonds and loans payable |  | $(9,331,007)$ |
| Net pension liability |  | $(5,935,418)$ |
| Net position - governmental activities | \$ | 5,840,417 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

# STATE OF NEW MEXICO 

Roosevelt County
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances
Governmental Funds
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

|  | General Fund |  | Road Fund |  | Detention Center Bond Debt Service Fund |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property | \$ | 4,087,294 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Gross receipts |  | 1,889,512 |  | - |  | 251,633 |
| Gasoline and motor vehicle taxes |  | 179,155 |  | 604,550 |  | - |
| Other |  | 321,520 |  | - |  | - |
| Intergovernmental: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State operating grants |  | 548,145 |  | - |  | - |
| State capital grants |  | 57,635 |  | 629,134 |  | - |
| Payment in lieu of taxes |  | 469,284 |  | - |  | - |
| Licenses and fees |  | 2,662 |  | - |  | - |
| Charges for services |  | 283,936 |  | - |  | - |
| Investment income (loss) |  | $(1,474)$ |  | - |  | 466 |
| Miscellaneous |  | 32,464 |  | 317 |  | - |
| Total revenue |  | 7,870,133 |  | 1,234,001 |  | 252,099 |
| Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General government |  | 2,008,530 |  | - |  | - |
| Public safety |  | 3,702,470 |  | - |  | - |
| Public works |  | - |  | 918,385 |  | - |
| Culture and recreation |  | 82,207 |  | - |  | - |
| Health and welfare |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Capital outlay |  | 122,200 |  | 757,804 |  | - |
| Debt service: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Principal |  | - |  | - |  | 2,245,619 |
| Interest |  | - |  | - |  | 35,847 |
| Total expenditures |  | 5,915,407 |  | 1,676,189 |  | 2,281,466 |
| Excess (deficiency) of revenues over |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other financing sources (uses) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loan proceeds |  | - |  | - |  | 2,114,395 |
| Loan issuance costs |  | - |  | - |  | $(65,933)$ |
| Proceeds from sale of equipment |  | 2,037 |  | 2,735 |  | - |
| Transfers in |  | 255,555 |  | 723,263 |  | - |
| Transfers (out) |  | $(1,025,610)$ |  | - |  | $(255,555)$ |
| Total other financing sources (uses) |  | $(768,018)$ |  | 725,998 |  | 1,792,907 |
| Net change in fund balances |  | 1,186,708 |  | 283,810 |  | $(236,460)$ |
| Fund balances - beginning of year |  | 3,039,596 |  | 75,683 |  | 255,555 |
| Fund balances - end of year | \$ | 4,226,304 | \$ | 359,493 | \$ | 19,095 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.


The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.
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Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities

For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the Statement of Activities are different because:

Net change in fund balances - total governmental funds

Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However, in the Statement of Activities, the cost of those assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives and reported as depreciation expense:

> Capital expenditures

Depreciation expense

Revenues in the Statement of Activities that do not provide current financial resources are not reported as revenue in the funds:

Change in deferred inflows related to property taxes
Change in deferred inflows related to grants
Governmental funds report County pension contributions as expenditures. However in the Statement of Activities, the cost of pension benefits earned net of employee contributions is reported as pension expense:

Employer contributions subsequent to the measurement date
Pension expense
The issuance of long-term debt (e.g. bonds, notes, leases) provides current financial resources to governmental funds, while the repayment of the principal of long-term debt consumes the current financial resources of governmental funds. Neither transaction, however, has any effect on net position. Also, governmental funds report the effect of premiums, discounts, and similar items when debt is first issued, whereas these amounts are deferred and amortized in the Statement of Activities:

Increase in accrued compensated absences not due and payable
Decrease in accrued interest payable
Amortization of bond premium
Bond proceeds
Principal payments on bonds
Principal payments on notes and leases payable
Change in net position of governmental activities
\$

1,222,860
$(667,833)$
$(16,115)$
5,947
$(2,114,395)$
2,030,000
545,151

15,147

375,608
$(654,612)$
523,729
\$ 1,079,238

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Roosevelt County
General Fund
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance
Budget (Non-GAAP Budgetary Basis) and Actual
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

|  |  | Budgeted |  | unts |  |  |  | ariances avorable favorable) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Original |  | Final |  | Actual |  | l to Actual |
| Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property | \$ | 3,900,000 | \$ | 3,900,000 | \$ | 4,089,456 | \$ | 189,456 |
| Gross receipts |  | 2,100,000 |  | 1,837,955 |  | 1,857,426 |  | 19,471 |
| Gasoline and motor vehicle |  | 200,000 |  | 200,000 |  | 187,305 |  | $(12,695)$ |
| Other |  | 314,500 |  | 314,500 |  | 304,203 |  | $(10,297)$ |
| Intergovernmental income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State operating grants |  | 616,068 |  | 547,992 |  | 548,145 |  | 153 |
| State capital grants |  | 60,000 |  | 60,000 |  | 57,635 |  | $(2,365)$ |
| Payment in lieu of taxes |  | 466,000 |  | 466,000 |  | 469,284 |  | 3,284 |
| Local sources |  | , |  | , |  | , |  | , |
| Charges for services |  | 246,400 |  | 246,400 |  | 305,121 |  | 58,721 |
| Licenses and fees |  | 1,200 |  | 1,200 |  | 2,662 |  | 1,462 |
| Investment income |  | - |  | - |  | $(1,474)$ |  | $(1,474)$ |
| Miscellaneous |  | 8,650 |  | 8,650 |  | 11,974 |  | 3,324 |
| Total revenues |  | 7,912,818 |  | 7,582,697 |  | 7,831,737 |  | 249,040 |
| Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General government |  | 2,171,903 |  | 2,223,082 |  | 2,013,449 |  | 209,633 |
| Public safety |  | 4,035,325 |  | 3,508,690 |  | 3,664,909 |  | $(156,219)$ |
| Public works |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Culture and recreation |  | 87,800 |  | 87,800 |  | 81,252 |  | 6,548 |
| Health and welfare |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Capital outlay |  | 61,200 |  | 156,700 |  | 138,855 |  | 17,845 |
| Debt service: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Principal |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Interest |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total expenditures |  | 6,356,228 |  | 5,976,272 |  | 5,898,465 |  | 77,807 |
| Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures |  | 1,556,590 |  | 1,606,425 |  | 1,933,272 |  | 326,847 |
| Other financing sources (uses) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Designated cash (budgeted increase in cash) |  | $(488,366)$ |  | $(836,370)$ |  | - |  | 836,370 |
| Proceeds from sale of equipment |  | - |  | - |  | 2,037 |  | 2,037 |
| Transfers in |  | - |  | 255,555 |  | 255,555 |  | - |
| Transfers (out) |  | (1,068,224) |  | $(1,025,610)$ |  | $(1,025,610)$ |  | - |
| Total other financing sources (uses) |  | (1,556,590) |  | $(1,606,425)$ |  | $(768,018)$ |  | 838,407 |
| Net change in fund balance |  | - |  | - |  | 1,165,254 |  | 1,165,254 |
| Fund balance - beginning of year |  | - |  | - |  | 2,740,968 |  | 2,740,968 |
| Fund balance - end of year | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 3,906,221 | \$ | 3,906,221 |
| Net change in fund balance (non-GAAP budgetary basis) |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 1,165,254 |
| Adjustments to revenues for taxes, fees and charges for service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 38,396 |
| Adjustments to expenditures for operating expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(16,942)$ |
| Net change in fund balance (GAAP) |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 1,186,708 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Roosevelt County
Road Special Revenue Fund Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance Budget (Non-GAAP Budgetary Basis) and Actual

For the Year Ended June 30, 2017


The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.
(This page intentionally left blank.)

| Assets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash | \$ | 32,167 |
| Property taxes receivable |  | 350,345 |
| Total assets | \$ | 382,512 |
| Liabilities |  |  |
| Deposits held in trust | \$ | 32,167 |
| Due to other taxing entities |  | 350,345 |
| Total liabilities | \$ | 382,512 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.
(This page intentionally left blank.)
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## NOTE 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Roosevelt County (the "County") is a political sub-division of the State of New Mexico established under the provisions of Section 22-22-1 of NMSA, 1978 compilation and regulated by the constitution of the State of New Mexico. The County operates under a Board of County Commissions-manager form of government and provides the following services as authorized by public law: public safety (sheriff, fire, emergency medical, etc.), roads, health and social services, recreation, sanitation, planning and zoning, property assessment, tax collection and general administrative services.

Roosevelt County is a body politic and corporate under the name and form of government selected by its qualified electors. The County may:

1. Sue or be sued;
2. Enter into contracts and leases;
3. Acquire and hold property, both real and personal;
4. Have common seal, which may be altered at pleasure;
5. Exercise such other privileges that are incident to corporations of like character or degree that are not inconsistent with the laws of New Mexico.
6. Protect generally the property of its county and its inhabitants;
7. Preserve peace and order within the county; and
8. Establish rates for revenue-producing projects, including amounts which the governing body determines to be reasonable in the operation of similar facilities.

This summary of significant accounting policies of Roosevelt County is presented to assist in the understanding of Roosevelt County's financial statements. The financial statements and notes are the representation of Roosevelt County's management who is responsible for their integrity and objectivity. The financial statements of the County have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as applied to governmental entities.

During the year ended June 30, 2017, the County adopted GASB Statements No. 73, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions and Related Assets that are not within the Scope of GASB Statement 68, and Amendments to Certain Provisions of GASB Statements 67 and 68 (partial), No. 74, Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other Than Pension Plans, No. 77, Tax Abatement Disclosures, No. 78, Pensions Provided through Certain Multiple-Employer Defined Benefit Pension Plans, No. 80, Blending Requirements for Certain Component Units - an amendment of GASB Statement No. 14, and No. 82, Pension Issues - an amendment of GASB Statements No. 67, No. 68, and No. 73. These six Statements are required to be implemented as of June 30, 2017, if applicable.

GASB Statement No. 73 establishes accounting and financial reporting standards for defined benefit pensions and defined contribution pensions that are not provided to employees of state and local government employers and are not within the scope of Statement 68. A portion of this pronouncement was effective and was implemented for the June 30, 2016 year end, and a portion is effective for June 30, 2017 year end. Effective for June 30, 2017 are the provisions of the statement that address accounting and financial reporting by employers and governmental nonemployer contributing entities for pensions that are not within the scope of Statement 68. This does not have a material effect on the financial statements of the County, as its pension plan is within the scope of Statement 68.
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## NOTE 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

The objective of GASB Statement No. 74 is to improve the usefulness of information about postemployment benefits other than pensions (other postemployment benefits or OPEB) included in the general purpose external financial reports of state and local government OPEB plans for making decisions and assessing accountability. This Statement results from a comprehensive review of the effectiveness of existing standards of accounting and financial reporting for all postemployment benefits (pensions and OPEB) with regard to providing decision-useful information, supporting assessments of accountability and interperiod equity, and creating additional transparency. This Statement does not affect the County's financial statements directly, however, the effects on the County's OPEB plan, administered through the New Mexico Retiree Health Care Authority, will be seen in future periods.

GASB Statement No. 77 is intended to improve the usefulness of financial statements prepared by state and local governments - which are intended, among other things, to assist users of financial statements in assessing (1) whether a government's current-year revenues were sufficient to pay for current-year services (interperiod equity), (2) whether a government complied with finance-related legal and contractual obligations, (3) where a government's financial resources came from and how it uses them, and (4) a government's financial position and economic condition and how they have changed after time - by including information about certain limitations on a government's ability to raise resources. This includes limitations on revenue-raising capacity resulting from government programs that use tax abatements to induce behavior by individuals and entities that is beneficial to the government or its citizens - such as the encouragement of economic development. For financial reporting purposes, this Statement defines a tax abatement as resulting from an agreement between a government and an individual or entity in which the government promises to forgo tax revenues and the individual or entity promises to subsequently take a specific action that contributes to economic development or otherwise benefits the government or its citizens.

These tax abatements may affect the financial position of the government and its results of operations, including its ability to raise resources in the future. Statement No. 77 requires disclosure of tax abatement information about (1) a reporting government's own tax abatement agreements and (2) those that are entered into by other governments that reduce the reporting government's tax revenues.

This Statement requires governments that enter into tax abatement agreements to disclose the following information about the agreements:

- Brief descriptive information, such as the tax being abated, the authority under which tax abatements are provided, eligibility criteria, the mechanism by which taxes are abated, provisions for recapturing abated taxes, and the types of commitments made by tax abatement recipients;
- The gross dollar amount of taxes abated during the period;
- Commitments made by a government, other than to abate taxes, as part of a tax abatement agreement.
For tax abatement agreements entered into by other governments, the following should be disclosed:
- The names of the governments that entered into the agreements;
- The specific taxes being abated; and
- The gross dollar amount of taxes abated during the period.
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## NOTE 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

The objective of GASB Statement No. 78 is to address a practice issue regarding the scope and applicability of Statement No. 68, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions. This issue is associated with pensions provided through certain multiple-employer defined benefit pension plans and to state and local governmental employers whose employees are provided with such pensions. This Statement amends the scope and applicability of Statement 68 to exclude certain pensions provided to employees of state or local governmental employers. The County's pension plan does not meet the criteria for exclusion.

The objective of GASB Statement No. 80 is to improve financial reporting by clarifying the financial statement presentation requirements for certain component units. This Statement amends the blending requirements established in paragraph 53 of Statement No. 14, The Financial Reporting Entity, as amended. This Statement amends the blending requirements for the financial statement presentation of component units of all state and local governments. The additional criterion requires blending of a component unit incorporated as a not-for-profit corporation in which the primary government is the sole corporate member. The additional criterion does not apply to component units included in the financial reporting entity pursuant to the provisions of Statement No. 39, Determining Whether Certain Organizations Are Component Units.

GASB Statement No. 82 clarified and adjusted several items from GASB 67 and 68, including the definition of covered payroll, the presentation of payroll-related measures in required supplementary information, the selection of assumptions and the treatment of deviations from the guidance in an Actuarial Standard of Practice for financial reporting purposes, and the classification of payments made by employers to satisfy employee contribution requirements.

## A. Financial Reporting Entity

In evaluating how to define the County for financial reporting purposes, management has considered all potential component units. The decision to include any potential component units in the financial reporting entity was made by applying the criteria set forth in GASB Statement No. 14, as amended by GASB Statement No. 39, GASB Statement No. 61, and GASB Statement No. 80. Blended component units, though legally separate entities, are, in substance, part of the government's operations. Each discretely presented component unit is reported in a separate column in the government-wide financial statements to emphasize that it is legally separate from the government.

The basic, but not the only, criterion for including a potential component unit within the reporting entity is the governing body's ability to exercise oversight responsibility. The most significant manifestation of this ability is financial interdependency. Other manifestations of the ability to exercise oversight responsibility include, but are not limited to, the selection of governing authority, the designation of management, the ability to significantly influence operations, and accountability for fiscal matters.

A second criterion used in evaluating potential component units is the scope of public service. Application of this criterion involves considering whether the activity benefits the government and/or its citizens.

A third criterion used to evaluate potential component units for inclusion or exclusion from the reporting entity is the existence of special financing relationships, regardless of whether the government is able to exercise oversight responsibilities. Finally, the nature and significance of a potential component unit to the primary government could warrant its inclusion within the reporting entity.
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## NOTE 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

## A. Financial Reporting Entity (continued)

Based upon the application of these criteria, the County has no component units, and is not a component unit of another governmental agency.

## B. Government-wide and fund financial statements

The government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net position and the statement of activities) report information on all of the nonfiduciary activities of the primary government. For the most part, the effect of interfund activity has been removed from these statements. Governmental activities, which normally are supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues, are reported separately from business-type activities, which rely to a significant extent on fees and charges for support. The County does not have any business-type activities.

The Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities were prepared using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues, expenses, gains, losses, assets and liabilities resulting from exchange-like transactions are recognized when the exchange takes place.

Revenues, expenses, gains, losses, assets and liabilities resulting from non-exchange transactions are recognized in accordance with the requirements of GASB Statement No. 33, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Non-exchange Transactions.

In the government-wide Statement of Net Position, the governmental activities column is presented on a consolidated basis by column, and is reported on a full accrual, economic resource basis, which recognizes all long-term assets and receivables as well as long-term debt obligations. The County's net position are reported in three parts - invested in capital assets net of related debt; restricted net position; and unrestricted net position.

The government-wide Statement of Activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function or segment are offset by program revenues. Direct expenses are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function or segment. Program revenues include 1) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use, or directly benefit from goods, services, or privileges provided by a given function or segment and 2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or segment. Taxes and other items not properly included among program revenues are reported instead as general revenues.

Separate financial statements are provided for governmental funds and fiduciary funds, even though the latter are excluded from the government-wide financial statements. Major individual governmental funds are reported as separate columns in the fund financial statements.
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## NOTE 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

## C. Measurement focus, basis of accounting, and financial statement presentation

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting, as are the fiduciary fund financial statements. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements of time, reimbursement and contingencies imposed by the provider are met.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be available when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, the government considers revenues to be available if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the current fiscal period. Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting. However, debt service expenditures, as well as expenditures related to compensated absences and claims and judgments, are recorded only when payment is due.

Property taxes, franchise taxes, licenses and interest associated with the current fiscal period are all considered to be susceptible to accrual and so have been recognized as revenues of the current fiscal period. Sales and use taxes are classified as derived tax revenues and are recognized as revenue when the underlying exchange takes place and the revenues are measurable and available. Expenditure-driven grants are recognized as revenue when the qualifying expenditures have been incurred and all other grant requirements have been met and the susceptible to accrual criteria have been met. All other revenue items are considered to be measurable and available only when cash is received by the government.

Program revenues included in the Statement of Activities are derived directly from the program itself or from parties outside the County's taxpayer or citizenry, as a whole; program revenues reduce the cost of the function to be financed from the County's general revenues. Program revenues are categorized as (a) charges for services, which include revenues collected for fees and use of County facilities, etc., (b) program-specific operating grants, which includes revenues received from state and federal sources to be used as specified within each program grant agreement, and (c) program-specific capital grants and contributions, which include revenues from state sources to be used for capital projects. Internally dedicated resources are reported as general revenues rather than as program revenues. Likewise, general revenues include all taxes.
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## NOTE 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

## C. Measurement focus, basis of accounting, and financial statement presentation (continued)

The County reports all direct expenses by function in the Statement of Activities. Direct expenses are those that are clearly identifiable with a function. The County does not currently employ indirect cost allocation systems. Depreciation expense is specifically identified by function and is included in the direct expense of each function. Interest on general long-term debt is considered an indirect expense and is reported separately on the Statement of Activities.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the County's policy to use restricted resources first, then unrestricted resources as they are needed.

Under the requirements of GASB Statement No. 34, the County is required to present certain of its governmental funds as major based upon certain criteria. The major funds presented in the fund financial statements include the following:

The General Fund is the government's primary operating fund. It accounts for all financial resources of the general government, except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

The Road Special Revenue Fund is used to account for funds used to maintain roads for which the County has accounted for in this fund, including but not limited to administration, operation, maintenance, and capital outlay. Revenues are provided by motor vehicle fees, gas taxes, state appropriations, and state severance tax bonds. It was approved by the governing body by default upon approval of the budget.

The Detention Center Bond Debt Service Fund is used to account for funds provided from the County's bond issue for the purpose of remodeling, making additions to, or improving the grounds of the Detention Center. Authority for the creation of the fund is by County Commission Ordinance and the County Commission budget adoption and approval. In August 2016, by County Commission Ordinance, the Gross Receipts Tax Revenue Bond, Series 2006 was refunded and refinanced under a Loan Agreement and Intercept Agreement with New Mexico Finance Authority.

Additionally, the government reports the following agency fund:
Fiduciary funds are purely custodial (assets equal liabilities) and do not involve measurement of results of operations. The County's fiduciary funds are used to account for the collection and payment of property taxes and special fees to other governmental agencies. The agency fund is used to account for collection and disbursement of other trust accounts for the Roosevelt County.

As a general rule the effect of interfund activity has been eliminated from the government-wide financial statements. Exceptions to this general rule are payments-in-lieu of taxes and various other functions of the government. Elimination of these charges would distort the direct costs and program revenues reported for the various functions concerned.
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## NOTE 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

D. Assets, Deferred Outflows of Resources Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position or Fund Equity

Deposits and Investments: The County's cash and cash equivalents are considered to be cash on hand, demand deposits and short-term investments with original maturities of three months or less from the date of acquisition.

State statutes authorize the County to invest in Certificates of Deposit, obligations of the U.S. Government, and the State Treasurer's Investment Pool.

Investments for the County are reported at fair value. The State Treasurer's Pool operates in accordance with appropriate state laws and regulations. The reported value of the pool is the same as the fair value of the pool shares.

Receivables and Payables: Interfund activity is reported as loans, services provided, reimbursements, or transfers. Loans are reported as interfund receivables and payables as appropriate and are subject to elimination upon consolidation. Services provided, deemed to be at market or near market rates, are treated as revenues and expenditures/expenses. Reimbursements are when one fund incurs a cost, charges the appropriate benefiting funds and reduces its related cost as a reimbursement. All other interfund transactions are treated as transfers. Transfers between governmental funds are netted as part of the reconciliation to the government-wide financial statements. The period of availability is deemed to be sixty days subsequent to year end.

Advances between funds, as reported in the fund financial statements, are offset by a fund balance reserve account in applicable governmental funds to indicate that they are not available for appropriation and are not expendable available financial resources.

All receivables are reported at their gross value and, where appropriate, are reduced by the estimated portion that is expected to be uncollectible. In the government-wide and governmental fund financial statements, delinquent property taxes are recorded as revenue when levied net of estimated refunds and uncollectable amounts.

Property taxes are levied on November 1 based on the assessed value of property as listed on the previous January 1 and are due in two payments by November 10th and April 10th. Property taxes uncollected after May 10th are considered delinquent. The taxes attach as an enforceable lien on property as of January 1st.

Restricted Assets: Restricted assets consist of those funds expendable for operating purposes but restricted by donors or other outside agencies as to the specific purpose for which they may be expended.
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## NOTE 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

D. Assets, Deferred Outflows of Resources Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position or Fund Equity (continued)

Capital Assets: Capital assets, which are property, plant, equipment, and infrastructure assets, (e.g., roads, bridges, sidewalks, and similar items) are reported in the applicable governmental activities columns in the government-wide financial statements. Capital assets are defined by the government as assets with an initial, individual cost of more than $\$ 5,000$ (amount not rounded) and an estimated useful life in excess of one year. Such assets are recorded at historical cost or estimated historical cost if purchased or constructed. Roosevelt County was a phase II government for purposes of implementing GASB 34. Therefore, the County was required to report its major general infrastructure assets retroactively to June 30, 1980. The County has made the required restatement for infrastructure assets retroactive to June 30 , 1980, in order to properly implement GASB 34. Information Technology Equipment including software is being capitalized and included in furniture, fixtures and equipment in accordance with NMAC 2.20.1.9 C (5).

The costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the asset or materially extend assets lives are not capitalized.

Major outlays for capital assets and improvements are capitalized as projects are constructed. No interest was included as part of the cost of capital assets under construction.

Property, plant, and equipment of the primary government are depreciated using the straight line method over the following estimated useful lives:

| Assets | Years |
| :--- | ---: |
| Buildings and improvements | $20-40$ |
| Equipment and vehicles | 5 |
| Infrastructure | 40 |

Deferred Outflow of Resources: In addition to assets, the balance sheet reports a separate section for deferred outflows of resources. This separate financial statement element represents a use of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will not be recognized as an outflow of resources (expenditure) until that time. The County has five types of items that qualify for reporting in this category: changes in proportion in the amount of $\$ 97,143$, changes in assumption in the amount of $\$ 364,694$, difference between expected and actual experience in the amount of $\$ 347,963$, net difference between projected and actual investment earnings in the amount of $\$ 1,035,457$, and employer contributions subsequent to the measurement date in the amount of $\$ 375,608$. These amounts are reported in the Statement of Net Position. These amounts are deferred and will be recognized in pension expense in future periods.

Accrued Expenses: Accrued expenses are comprised of the payroll expenditures based on amounts earned by the employees through June 30, 2017, along with applicable PERA, FICA, and Medicare payables.
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## NOTE 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

D. Assets, Deferred Outflows of Resources Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position or Fund Equity (continued)

Deferred Inflows of Resources: In addition to liabilities, the balance sheet reports a separate section for deferred inflows of resources. This separate financial statement element represents an acquisition of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will not be recognized as an inflow of resources (revenue) until that time. Revenue must be susceptible to accrual (measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current fiscal period) to be recognized. If assets are recognized in connection with a transaction, but those assets are not yet available to finance expenditures of the current fiscal period, then the assets must be offset by a corresponding liability for deferred inflows of resources. The County has one type of deferred inflow which arises under the modified accrual basis of accounting that qualify for reporting in this category. Accordingly, the item, unavailable revenue - property taxes revenue not received in the period of availability are reported only in the governmental funds balance sheet. This amount is deferred and recognized as an inflow of resources in the period that the amount becomes available. The County has recorded $\$ 249,077$ related to property taxes revenue considered "unavailable." The County has three types of items present on the Statement of Net Position which arise due to the implementation of GASB 68 and the related net pension liability. Accordingly, the items, changes in proportion in the amount of $\$ 414,789$, changes of assumption in the amount of $\$ 40,156$, and difference between expected and actual experience in the amount of $\$ 36,533$ are reported on the Statement of Net Position. These amounts are deferred and recognized as an inflow of resources in the period that the amounts become available.

Compensated Absences: Qualified employees are entitled to accumulate annual leave and compensated time according to a graduated leave schedule of depending on length of service. No more than thirty (30) working days, or two hundred and forty (240) hours of annual leave, may be carried forward from one fiscal year to the next. All excess annual leave shall be forfeited, if not used, unless extenuating circumstances warrant approval of an extension by the County Commissioners of Roosevelt County. In addition upon approval, the employee is entitled to unused holiday time if not used 6 months from date of holiday.

Qualified employees are entitled to accumulate sick leave at a rate of twelve days per year. Sick leave can be carried over from year to year up to 1,040 hours ( 130 days). Upon termination employees receive one-sixth (1/6) pay for sick time accumulated up to a limit of 174 hours, which is one-sixth of the maximum accumulation allowed.

Vested or accumulated vacation leave that is expected to be liquidated with expendable available financial resources is reported as an expenditure and a fund liability of the governmental fund that will pay it. In prior years, substantially all of the related expenditures have been liquidated by the general fund. Amounts of vested or accumulated vacation leave that are not expected to be liquidated with expendable available financial resources are reported in the government-wide statement of net position.

# STATE OF NEW MEXICO 

Roosevelt County
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2017

## NOTE 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

D. Assets, Deferred Outflows of Resources Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position or Fund Equity (continued)

Long-term Obligations: In the government-wide financial statements, long-term debt and other long-term obligations are reported as liabilities in the governmental activities statement of net position. For bonds issued after GASB 34 was implemented, bond premiums and discounts are deferred and amortized over the life of the bonds using the effective interest method or on a straight-line basis if the difference is inconsequential. For fund financial reporting, bond premiums, discounts as well as issuance costs, are recognized in the period the bonds are issued. Bond proceeds are reported as another financing source, net of the applicable premium or discount. Issuance costs, even if withheld from the actual net proceeds received, are reported as debt service expenditures.

Fund Balance Classification Policies and Procedures: For restricted fund balance, this classification includes amounts that are restricted to a specific purpose. Fund balances are restricted when constraints placed on the use of resources are either: (a) Externally imposed by creditors (such as debt covenants), grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments; (b) Enabling legislation, as the term is used authorizes the County to assess, levy, charge or otherwise mandate payment of resources and includes a legally enforceable requirement that those resources be used only for the specific purposes stipulated in the legislation.

For committed fund balance, the County's highest level of decision-making authority is the County Commission. The formal action that is required to be taken to establish a fund balance commitment is the County Commission.

For assigned fund balance, the County Commission or an official or body to which the County Commission delegates the authority is authorized to assign amounts to a specific purpose. The authorization policy is in governmental funds other than the general fund, assigned fund balance represents the amount that is not restricted or committed. This indicates that resources in other governmental funds are, at a minimum, intended to be used for the purpose of that fund.

Nonspendable Fund Balance: At June 30, 2017, the County does not have any amounts in the form of nonspendable fund balance.

Restricted and Committed Fund Balance: At June 30, 2017, the County has presented restricted fund balance on the governmental funds balance sheet in the amount of \$3,919,602 for various County operations as restricted by enabling legislation. The County has also presented committed fund balance on the governmental funds balance sheet in the amount of $\$ 183,244$ in order to provide services throughout the County. The details of these fund balance items are located on the governmental funds balance sheet as detailed on pages 18-19.

Minimum Fund Balance Policy: The County's policy for maintaining a minimum amount of fund balance for operations is to minimize any sudden and unplanned discontinuity to programs and operations and for unforeseen contingencies. At a minimum, the budget shall ensure that the County holds cash reserves of 3/12th the General Fund expenditures and a cash reserve of $1 / 12$ th the Road Special Revenue Fund. At June 30, 2017, the County maintains $\$ 1,618,534$ as minimum fund balances.
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## NOTE 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

D. Assets, Deferred Outflows of Resources Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position or Fund Equity (continued)

Net Position: The financial statements net position is reported in three categories: net investment in capital assets, restricted, and unrestricted:

- Net investment in capital assets - This component consists of capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation and reduced by the outstanding balances of any related debt attributable to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of those assets.
- Restricted Net Position - Net position is reported as restricted when constraints placed on net position use are either (1) externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributions or laws or regulations of other governments or (2) imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.
- Unrestricted Net Position - Net position that do not meet the definition of "restricted" and "Net Investment in Capital Assets."

Estimates: The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates. Significant estimates for the County are management's estimate of depreciation on assets over their estimated useful lives, net pension liability and related deferred inflows and outflows of resources, and the current portion of accrued compensated absences.

## NOTE 2. Stewardship, Compliance, and Accountability

Budgetary Information
Annual budgets of the County are prepared prior to June 1 and must be approved by resolution of the County Commissioners, and submitted to the Department of Finance and Administration for State approval. Once the budget has been formally approved, any amendments must also be approved by the County Commissioners and the Department of Finance and Administration. A separate budget is prepared for each fund. Line items within each budget may be over-expended; however, it is not legally permissible to over-expend any budget in total at the fund level.

These budgets are prepared on the Non-GAAP cash budgetary basis. Budgetary basis expenditures exclude encumbrances. The budget secures appropriation of funds for only one year. Carryover funds must be re-appropriated in the budget of the subsequent fiscal year.
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## NOTE 2. Stewardship, Compliance, and Accountability

## Budgetary Information (continued)

The budgetary information presented in these financial statements has been properly amended by County Commissioners in accordance with the above procedures. These amendments resulted in the following changes:

|  | Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Original Budget |  | Final <br> Budget |  |
| Budgeted Funds: |  |  |  |  |
| General Fund | \$ | 1,556,590 | \$ | 1,606,425 |
| Road Special Revenue Fund | \$ | $(512,263)$ | \$ | $(614,152)$ |
| Detention Center Bond Debt Service Fund | \$ | $(255,555)$ | \$ | $(2,085,279)$ |
| Other Governmental Funds | \$ | $(1,398,510)$ | \$ | $(1,867,187)$ |

The accompanying Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget (NonGAAP Budgetary Basis) and Actual present comparisons of the legally adopted budget with actual data on a budgetary basis.

Since accounting principles applied for purposes of developing data on a budgetary basis differ significantly from those used to present financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP), a reconciliation of resultant basis, perspective, equity and timing differences in the excess (deficiency) of revenues and other sources of financial resources for the year ended June 30, 2017 is presented. Reconciliations between the NonGAAP budgetary basis amounts and the financial statements on the GAAP basis by fund can be found on each individual budgetary statement.

## NOTE 3. Deposits and Investments

State statutes authorize the investment of County funds in a wide variety of instruments including certificates of deposit and other similar obligations, state investment pool, money market accounts, and United States Government obligations. All invested funds of the County properly followed State investment requirements as of June 30, 2017.

Deposits of funds may be made in interest or non-interest bearing checking accounts in one or more banks or savings and loan associations within the geographical boundaries of the County. Deposits may be made to the extent that they are insured by an agency of the United States or collateralized as required by statute. The financial institution must provide pledged collateral for $50 \%$ of the deposit amount in excess of the deposit insurance.

The rate of interest in non-demand interest-bearing accounts shall be set by the State Board of Finance, but in no case shall the rate of interest be less than one hundred percent of the asked price on United States treasury bills of the same maturity on the day of deposit.

Excess funds may be temporarily invested in securities which are issued by the State or by the United States government, or by their departments or agencies, and which are either direct obligations of the State or the United States or are backed by the full faith and credit of those governments.
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## NOTE 3. Deposits and Investments (continued)

The County's accounts are located at an insured depository institution. All noninterest-bearing transaction accounts, will be insured by the FDIC up to the standard maximum deposit insurance amount of $\$ 250,000$ for all deposit accounts out of state and up to $\$ 250,000$ for all time and saving accounts plus up to $\$ 250,000$ for all demand deposit accounts held at a single institution in state.

Custodial Credit Risk - Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the County's deposits may not be returned to it. The County does not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk, other than following state statutes as set forth in the Public Money Act (Section 6-10-1 to 6-10-63, NMSA 1978). At June 30, 2017, $\$ 4,186,083$ of the County's bank balance of $\$ 4,436,083$ was exposed to custodial credit risk. Although the $\$ 4,186,083$ was uninsured, it was collateralized by collateral held by the pledging bank's trust department, not in the County's name. None of the County's deposits were uninsured and uncollateralized at June 30, 2017.


## Custodial Credit Risk - Deposits

The collateral pledged is listed on Schedule III of this report. The types of collateral are limited to direct obligations of the United States Government and all bonds issued by any agency, district, or political subdivision of the State of New Mexico.

The New Mexico Finance Authority (NMFA) holds and invests cash related to debt service and reserve requirements on behalf of the County and in the County's name pursuant to loan agreements with the County. As of June 30, 2017 the County had $\$ 502,453$ held at New Mexico Finance Authority (NMFA).

## Investments

## Credit Risk

The New Mexico State Treasurer is authorized to invest the short-term investment funds, with the advice and consent of the State Board of Finance, in accordance with Sections 6-10-10(I) through 6-1010 (P) and Sections 6-10-10.1(A) and (E), NMSA 1978. Per Section 6-10-10.1(F), NMSA 1978, at the end of each month all interest earned is distributed by the State Treasurer to the contributing entities in amounts directly proportionate to the respective amounts deposited in the fund and the length of time the fund amounts were invested.
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## NOTE 3. Deposits and Investments (continued)

As of June 30,2017 , the County had the following investments and maturities:

| Investment Type | Weighted Average Maturities | Fair Value |  |  | Rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. Treasury \& Agency Notes | <1 year | \$ | 1,875,019 |  | AA+*** |
| U.S. Treasury MM Mutual Fund | <1 year |  | 521,593 | * | AAA ** |
|  |  | \$ | 2,396,612 |  |  |

*Restricted cash and cash equivalents per Exhibit A-1
** Based off Moody's Rating
*** Based off Standard \& Poor's rating
The investments are listed on Schedule IV of this report. The types of investment, interest rate, maturity date and fair value per security are included in the schedule.

Interest Rate Risk - Investments. The County's formal investment policy limits interest rates to be less than one hundred percent of the asked price on the US Treasury bills or notes for the same maturity on the day of deposit.

Concentration of Credit Risk - Investments. For an investment, concentration of credit risk is when any one issuer is $5 \%$ or more of the investment portfolio of the County. The investments in U.S. Agency Notes, consisting of notes held in Federal Farm Credit Banks and Federal Home Loan Banks, U.S. Treasury Notes, and U.S. Treasury Money Market Mutual Funds represent $100 \%$ of the investment portfolio. Since the County only purchases investments with high grade credit ratings, the concentration is not viewed to be an additional risk by the County. The County's policy related to concentration of credit risk is to comply with the state statute as put forth in the Public Money Act (Section 6-10-1 to 6-10-63, NMSA 1978).

The County utilizes pooled accounts for their funds. The General, Special Revenue, Capital Projects, and Agency Funds are all in multiple accounts. Separate accounts also exist for Sheriff's Office confiscation related funds.

## Fair Value Measurement

The fair value framework uses a hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to the valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (level 1 measurement) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are described below:

Level 1 Inputs to the valuation methodology are unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the County has the ability to access.
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## NOTE 3. Deposits and Investments (continued)

Level 2 Inputs to the valuation methodology include:

- Quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets.
- Quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in inactive markets.
- Inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability.
- Inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means.

If the asset or liability has a specified (contractual) term, the Level 2 input must be observable for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

Level 3 Inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement.

The asset's or liability's fair value measurement level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of any input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Valuation techniques used need to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. See above for discussion of valuation methodologies used to measure fair value of investments.

The valuation methodologies described above may produce a fair value calculation that may not be indicative of net realizable value or reflective of future fair values. Furthermore, while the County believes its valuation methods are appropriate and consistent with other market participants, the use of different methodologies or assumptions to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in a different fair value measurement at the reporting date.

The following table sets forth by level within the fair value hierarchy, the County's assets at fair value as of June 30, 2017:

| Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ 2,396,612 | \$ 2,396,612 | \$ | \$ |

## Reconciliation of Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents per Exhibit A-1
Restricted cash and cash equivalents per Exhibit A-1
Cash per Exhibit D-1
Investments per Exhibit A-1

Total cash, cash equivalents, and investments

Add: outstanding checks and deposits in transit
Less: restricted cash with NMFA
Less: investments in U.S. Treasury Notes/Cash
Less: petty cash
Bank balance of deposits
\$ 4,024,901
521,593
32,167 1,875,019

6,453,680(400)
\$ 4,436,083

Roosevelt County
Notes to Financial Statements
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## NOTE 4. Receivables

Receivables as of June 30, 2017, are as follows:

|  | General Fund |  | Road <br> Fund |  | Nonmajor Governmental Funds |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current receivables: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property taxes | \$ | 288,544 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 288,544 |
| Other taxes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross receipts taxes |  | 381,736 |  | - |  | 239,240 |  | 620,976 |
| Gasoline and oil taxes |  | 21,908 |  | 39,079 |  | - |  | 60,987 |
| Other taxes |  | 12,803 |  | 33,760 |  | - |  | 46,563 |
| Other receivables: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charges for services |  | 2,795 |  | - |  | 1,313 |  | 4,108 |
| Miscellaneous |  | 20,490 |  | 317 |  | - |  | 20,807 |
| Totals | \$ | 728,276 | \$ | 73,156 | \$ | 240,553 | \$ | 1,041,985 |

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 33, property tax revenues in the amount of $\$ 249,077$ that were not collected within the period of availability have been reclassified as deferred inflow in the governmental fund financial statements.

All of the above receivables are deemed to be fully collectible.

## NOTE 5. Interfund Receivables, Payables, and Transfers

Net operating transfers, made to close out funds and to supplement other funding sources in the normal course of operations, were as follows:

| Transfers Out | Transfers In | Amount |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Primary Government |  |  |
| Detention Center Bond Debt Service Fund | General Fund | $\$$ |
| General Fund | Road Special Revenue Fund | 255,555 |
| General Fund | Indoor Arena Debt Service Fund | 723,263 |
| General Fund | Courthouse Renovation Debt Service Fund | 115,864 |
| General Fund | Milnesand Fire/EMS Special Revenue Fund | 179,483 |
| General Fund | Arch Fire/EMS Special Revenue Fund | 3,500 |
|  |  | 3,500 |

There were no interfund balances at June 30, 2017.
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## NOTE 6. Capital Assets

The following is a summary of capital assets and changes occurring during the year ended June 30, 2017. Land and construction in progress are not subject to depreciation:

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Balance } \\ \text { June } 30,2016 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Additions |  | Deletions |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Balance } \\ \text { June } \mathbf{3 0 , 2 0 1 7} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Governmental activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital assets not being depreciated: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land | \$ | 911,731 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 911,731 |
| Construction in progress |  | 2,248,132 |  | 322,551 |  | $(2,570,683)$ |  | - |
| Total capital assets not being depreciated |  | 3,159,863 |  | 322,551 |  | $(2,570,683)$ |  | 911,731 |
| Capital assets being depreciated: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Buildings and improvements |  | 19,375,440 |  | 2,570,683 |  | - |  | 21,946,123 |
| Equipment and vehicles |  | 6,503,137 |  | 174,105 |  | $(845,390)$ |  | 5,831,852 |
| Infrastructure |  | 18,792,513 |  | 726,204 |  | - |  | 19,518,717 |
| Total capital assets being depreciated |  | 44,671,090 |  | 3,470,992 |  | $(845,390)$ |  | 47,296,692 |
| Less accumulated depreciation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Buildings and improvements |  | 11,153,158 |  | 444,134 |  | - |  | 11,597,292 |
| Equipment and vehicles |  | 5,941,709 |  | 222,956 |  | 845,390 |  | 5,319,275 |
| Infrastructure |  | 18,792,513 |  | 743 |  | - |  | 18,793,256 |
| Total accumulated depreciation |  | 35,887,380 |  | 667,833 |  | 845,390 |  | 35,709,823 |
| Total capital assets, net of depreciation | \$ | 11,943,573 | \$ | 3,125,710 | \$ | $(2,570,683)$ | \$ | 12,498,600 |

Depreciation expense for the year ended June 30, 2017 was charged to the functions of the governmental activities as follows:

| General Government | $\$ \quad 139,004$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Public Safety |  | 295,240 |
| Public Works |  | 146,822 |
| Culture and Recreation | 86,767 |  |
| Total | $\$ \quad 667,833$ |  |
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## NOTE 7. Long-term Debt

During the year ended June 30, 2017, the following changes occurred in the liabilities reported in the government-wide statement of net position:

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Balance } \\ \text { June 30, } 2016 \end{gathered}$ |  | Additions | Retirements | $\begin{gathered} \text { Balance } \\ \text { June 30, } 2017 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Due Within One Year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross Receipts Revenue Bonds | \$ | 2,030,000 | \$ | \$ 2,030,000 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| NMFA Loans |  | 7,740,341 | 2,114,395 | 523,729 |  | 9,331,007 |  | 517,159 |
| Compensated Absences |  | 162,002 | 234,267 | 218,152 |  | 178,117 |  | 178,117 |
| Total long-term debt | \$ | 9,932,343 | \$ 2,348,662 | \$ 2,771,881 | \$ | 9,509,124 | \$ | 695,276 |

## Gross Receipts Revenue Bonds

In August 2016 the County's Gross Receipts Revenue Bond, Series 2006 was refunded and refinanced under a Loan Agreement and Intercept Agreement with the New Mexico Finance Authority. County Hold Harmless Gross Receipts Taxes collected for the purpose of the debt service of the bond are now lawfully pledged for the payment of amounts due under the new Loan Agreement. As of June 30, 2017 there are no liabilities due under this bond.

Loans
The County has entered into several loan agreements with the New Mexico Finance Authority, wherein the County pledged revenue derived from New Mexico Gross Receipts taxes to cover debt service. This revenue is subject to intercept agreements. The NMFA loans are as follows:

## NMFA Loans:

| Description | Date of Issue | Maturity Date | Interest Rate |  | Original Amount of Issue | BalanceJune 30, 2017 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NMFA- Arena- 2561-PP | Mar-11 | May-36 | 4.22\% | \$ | 1,638,201 | \$ | 1,430,800 |
| NMFA- New Magistrate Court- 3115-PP | Jun-14 | May-34 | 3.28\% |  | 3,668,741 |  | 3,372,431 |
| NMFA- HVAC System- 3147-PP | Aug-14 | May-34 | 3.39\% |  | 2,875,000 |  | 2,629,000 |
| NMFA- 3503- PP | Aug-16 | Jun-26 | 1.19\% |  | 2,114,395 |  | 1,898,776 |
| Total NMFA Loans |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 9,331,007 |
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## NOTE 7. Long-term Debt (continued)

The annual requirements to amortize the Loans Payable as of June 30, 2017, including interest payments are as follows:

## Loans Payable

| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Fiscal Year } \\ \text { Ending June 30, }\end{array}$ |  | Principal |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Total Debt } \\ \text { Interest }\end{array}$ |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | $\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Service }\end{array}\right]$

Loans have been liquidated by the Indoor Arena, Courthouse Renovation, Magistrate Court, and Detention Center Bond Debt Service Funds in prior years.

Compensated Absences - Employees of the County are able to accrue a limited amount of vacation and other compensatory time during the year. During fiscal year June 30, 2017, compensated absences increased $\$ 16,115$ from the prior year accrual. In prior years, the general fund was typically used to liquidate such long-term liabilities.

## NOTE 8. Operating Leases

The County leases equipment under operating leases expiring during the next two years. Although renewal and purchase options are available on these leases, the County considers these to be operating leases as they contain a non-appropriation termination clause.

At June 30, 2017, future minimum lease payments applicable to the operating leases are as follows:

| Fiscal Year <br> Ending June 30, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 |  | Amount |
| 2019 |  | 79,488 <br>  |
|  | $\$$ | 119,744 |
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## NOTE 9. Risk Management

The County is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts, theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries and natural disasters.

Roosevelt County is a member and is insured through the New Mexico County Insurance Authority. The Authority was created to provide comprehensive core insurance programs by expanding the pool of subscribers to maximize cost containment opportunities for required insurance coverage. The Authority acts as the common carrier for the State of New Mexico counties. The County pays an annual premium to the Authority based on claim experience and the status of the pool. The Risk Management Program includes Workers Compensation, General and Automobile Liability, Automobile Physical Damage, and Property and Crime coverage. The County is not liable for more than the premiums paid.

## NOTE 10. Other Required Individual Fund Disclosures

Generally accepted accounting principles require disclosures of certain information concerning individual funds including:
A. Deficit fund balance of individual funds. There were no individual funds that had deficit fund balances for the year ended June 30, 2017.
B. Excess of expenditures over appropriations. There were not any funds with expenditures in excess of the budgeted appropriations.
C. Designated cash appropriations in excess of available balance. The following fund had designated cash appropriations in excess of available balances as of June 30, 2017:

Milnesand Fire Protection - 472 \$57,152

## NOTE 11. Pension Plan - Public Employees Retirement Association

Plan Description: The Public Employees Retirement Fund (PERA Fund) is a cost-sharing, multiple employer defined benefit pension plan. This fund has six divisions of members, including State General, State Police/Adult Correction Officer, Municipal General, Municipal Police/Detention Officers, Municipal fire, and State Legislative Divisions, and offers 24 different types of coverage within the PERA plan. All assets accumulated may be used to pay benefits, including refunds of member contributions, to any of the plan members or beneficiaries, as defined by the terms of this plan. Certain coverage plans are only applicable to a specific division. Eligibility for membership in the PERA Fund is set forth in the Public Employees Retirement Act (Chapter 10, Article 11, NMSA 1978). Except as provided for in the Volunteer Firefighters Retirement Act (10-11A-1 to 10-11A-7, NMSA 1978), the Judicial Retirement Act (10-12B-1 to 10-12B-19, NMSA 1978), the Magistrate Retirement Act (10-12C-1 to 10-12C-18, NMSA 1978), and the Educational Retirement Act (Chapter 22, Article 11, NMSA 1978), and the provisions of Sections 29-4-1 through 29-4-11, NMSA 1978 governing the State Police Pension Fund, each employee and elected official of every affiliated public employer is required to be a member in the PERA fund.

PERA issues a publicly available financial report and a comprehensive annual financial report that can be obtained at http://saonm.org/ using the Audit Report Search function for agency 366.

Benefits provided. For a description of the benefits provided and recent changes to the benefits see Note 1 in the PERA audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016 available at http://www.nmpera.org/assets/uploads/downloads/comprehensive-annual-financial-reports/2016CAFR 12.22.2016 FINAL-with-corrections.pdf.
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## NOTE 11. Pension Plan - Public Employees Retirement Association (continued)

Contributions. The contribution requirements of defined benefit plan members and Roosevelt County are established in State Statute under Chapter 10, Article 11 NMSA 1978. The contribution requirements may be amended by acts of the legislature. For the employer and employee contribution rates in effect for FY16 for the various PERA coverage options, for both Tier I and Tier II, see the tables available in the note disclosures on page 43 of the PERA FY16 annual audit report at http://www.nmpera.org/assets/uploads/downloads/comprehensive-annual-financial-reports/2016CAFR 12.22.2016 FINAL-with-corrections.pdf. The PERA coverage options that apply to Roosevelt County are Municipal General Division and Municipal Police Division. Statutorily required contributions to the pension plan from Roosevelt County were $\$ 375,608$ for the year ended June 30, 2017.

Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense, and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions: The PERA pension liability amounts, net pension liability amounts, and sensitivity information were based on an annual actuarial valuation performed as of June 30, 2015. The PERA pension liability amounts for each division were rolled forward from the valuation date to the Plan year ending June 30,2016 , using generally accepted actuarial principles. Therefore, the employer's portion was established as of the measurement date June 30, 2016.

The assets of the PERA fund are held in one trust, but there are six distinct membership groups (municipal general members, municipal police members, municipal fire members, state general members, state police members and legislative members) for whom separate contribution rates are determined each year pursuant to Chapter 10, Article 11 NMSA 1978. Therefore, the calculations of the net pension liability, pension expense and deferred inflows and outflows were performed separately for each of the membership groups: municipal general members; municipal police members; municipal fire members; state general members; state police members and legislative members.

Roosevelt County's proportion of the net pension liability for each membership group that the employer participates in is based on the employer contributing entity's percentage of that membership group's total employer contributions for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016. Only employer contributions for the pay period end dates that fell within the period of July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2016 were included in the total contributions for a specific employer. Regular and any adjustment contributions that applied to FY 2016 are included in the total contribution amounts. In the event that an employer is behind in reporting to PERA its required contributions, an estimate (receivable) was used to project the unremitted employer contributions. This allowed for fair and consistent measurement of the contributions with the total population. This methodology was used to maintain consistent measurement each year in determining the percentages to be allocated among all the participating employers.

For PERA Fund Municipal General Division, at June 30, 2017, Roosevelt County reported a liability of $\$ 3,743,326$ for its proportionate share of the net pension liability. At June 30, 2017, Roosevelt County's proportion was 0.2343 percent, which was a decrease of .0418 percent from its proportion measured as of June 30, 2016.
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## NOTE 11. Pension Plan - Public Employees Retirement Association (continued)

## For PERA Fund Municipal General Division (continued)

For the year ended June 30, 2017, Roosevelt County recognized PERA Fund Municipal General Division pension expense of $\$ 341,618$. At June 30, 2017, Roosevelt County reported PERA Fund Municipal General Division deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

|  | Deferred Outflow of Resources |  | Deferred Inflow of Resources |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Changes of assumptions | \$ | 219,502 | \$ | 622 |
| Changes in proportion |  |  |  | 390,367 |
| Differences between expected and actual experience |  | 187,032 |  | 36,533 |
| Net difference between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments |  | 688,765 |  |  |
| County's contributions subsequent to the measurement date |  | 262,618 |  | - |
| Total | \$ | 1,357,917 | \$ | 427,522 |

$\$ 262,618$ reported as deferred outflows of resources related to pensions resulting from Roosevelt County's contributions subsequent to the measurement date June 30,2016 will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ended June 30, 2018.

Other amounts reported as deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

| Year ended June 30: |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2017 | $\$$ | $(96,894)$ |
| 2018 |  | $(96,894)$ |
| 2019 |  | $(309,334)$ |
| 2020 | $(164,655)$ |  |
| 2021 | - |  |

For PERA Fund Municipal Police Division, at June 30, 2017, Roosevelt County reported a liability of $\$ 2,192,092$ for its proportionate share of the net pension liability. At June 30, 2017, Roosevelt County's proportion was 0.2971 percent, which was a decrease of .0069 percent from its proportion measured as of June 30, 2016.
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## NOTE 11. Pension Plan - Public Employees Retirement Association (continued)

## For PERA Fund Municipal Police Division (continued)

For the year ended June 30, 2017, Roosevelt County recognized PERA Fund Municipal Police Division pension expense of $\$ 312,994$. At June 30, 2017, Roosevelt County reported PERA Fund Municipal Police Division deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deferred Outflow } \\ & \text { of Resources } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Deferred Inflow of Resources |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Changes of assumptions | \$ | 145,192 | \$ | 39,534 |
| Changes in proportion |  | 97,143 |  | 24,422 |
| Differences between expected and actual experience |  | 160,931 |  |  |
| Net difference between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments |  | 346,692 |  |  |
| County's contributions subsequent to the measurement date |  | 112,990 |  | - |
| Total | \$ | 862,948 | \$ | 63,956 |

$\$ 112,990$ reported as deferred outflows of resources related to pensions resulting from Roosevelt County's contributions subsequent to the measurement date June 30, 2016 will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ended June 30, 2018.

Other amounts reported as deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

| Year ended June 30: |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| 2017 | $\$(182,046)$ |
| 2018 | $(182,046)$ |
| 2019 | $(233,922)$ |
| 2020 | $(87,988)$ |
| 2021 | - |

Actuarial assumptions. As described above, the PERA Fund member group pension liabilities and net pension liabilities are based on actuarial valuations performed as of June 30, 2015 for each of the membership groups. Then each PERA Fund member group pension liability was rolled forward from the valuation date to the Plan year ending June 30, 2016 using generally accepted actuarial principles. There were no significant events or changes in benefit provisions that required an adjustment to the rollforward liabilities as of June 30, 2016. These actuarial methods and assumptions were adopted by the Board for use in the June 30, 2015 actuarial valuation.
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## NOTE 11. Pension Plan - Public Employees Retirement Association (continued)

| Actuarial valuation date | June 30, 2015 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Actuarial cost method | Entry age normal |
| Amortization method | Level percentage of pay, open <br> Solved for based on statutory rates <br> Amortization period <br> Asset valuation method <br> Actuarial assumptions: <br> Investment rate of return |
| Fair value |  |
| 7.48\% annual rate, net of investment |  |
| experience |  |
| Payroll growth benefit payment | 100 years <br> $2.75 \%$ for the first 10 years, then 3.25\% all <br> Projected salary increases |
| other years <br> $2.75 \%$ to 14.25\% annual rate |  |
| Includes inflation at | $2.25 \%$ annual rate first 10 years, 2.75\% all <br> other years |
| Mortality assumption | RP-2000 Mortality Tables (Combined table <br> for healthy post-retirement, Employee table <br> for active members, and Disabled table for |
|  | disabled retirees before retirement age) with <br> projection to 2018 using Scale AA. |
| Experience study dates | July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2013 (demographic) <br> and July 1, 2010 through June 20, 2015 |
| (economic) |  |

The long term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a statistical analysis in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation. The target asset allocation and most recent best estimates of arithmetic real rates of return for each major asset class are summarized in the following table:

| ALL FUNDS - Asset Class | Target Allocation | Real Rate of Return |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Global Equity | $43.50 \%$ | $7.39 \%$ |
| Risk Reduction \& Mitigation | 21.50 | 1.79 |
| Credit Oriented Fixed Income | 15.00 | 5.77 |
| Real Assets | 20.00 | 7.35 |
|  |  | $100.00 \%$ |

Discount Rate. The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 7.48 percent. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that future contributions will be made in accordance with statutory rates. On this basis, the pension plan's fiduciary net position together with the expected future contributions are sufficient to provide all projected future benefit payments of current plan members as determined in accordance with GASBS 67.
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## NOTE 11. Pension Plan - Public Employees Retirement Association (continued)

Therefore, the $7.48 \%$ assumed long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

Sensitivity of the Employer's proportionate share of the net pension liability to changes in the discount rate. The following tables show the sensitivity of the net pension liability to changes in the discount rate. In particular, the tables present Roosevelt County's net pension liability in each PERA Fund Division that Roosevelt County participates in, under the current single rate assumption, as if it were calculated using a discount rate one percentage point lower ( $6.48 \%$ ) or one percentage point higher ( $8.48 \%$ ) than the single discount rate.


Pension plan fiduciary net position. Detailed information about the pension plan's fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued FY16 PERA financial report. The report is available at http://www.pera.state.nm.us/publications.html.

Payables to the pension plan. At June 30, 2017 there were no contributions due and payable to PERA for the County.

## NOTE 12. Post-Employment Benefits - State Retiree Health Care Plan

Plan Description. Roosevelt County contributes to the New Mexico Retiree Health Care Fund, a costsharing multiple-employer defined benefit postemployment healthcare plan administered by the New Mexico Retiree Health Care Authority (RHCA). The RHCA provides health care insurance and prescription drug benefits to retired employees of participating New Mexico government agencies, their spouses, dependents, and surviving spouses and dependents. The RHCA Board was established by the Retiree Health Care Act (Chapter 10, Article 7C, NMSA 1978). The Board is responsible for establishing and amending benefit provisions of the healthcare plan and is also authorized to designate optional and/or voluntary benefits like dental, vision, supplemental life insurance, and long-term care policies.
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## NOTE 12. Post-Employment Benefits - State Retiree Health Care Plan (continued)

Eligible retirees are: 1) retirees who make contributions to the fund for at least five years prior to retirement and whose eligible employer during that period of time made contributions as a participant in the RHCA plan on the person's behalf unless that person retires before the employer's RHCA effective date, in which event the time period required for employee and employer contributions shall become the period of time between the employer's effective date and the date of retirement; 2) retirees defined by the Act who retired prior to July 1, 1990; 3) former legislators who served at least two years; and 4) former governing authority members who served at least four years.

The RHCA issues a publicly available stand-alone financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for the postemployment healthcare plan. That report and further information can be obtained by writing to the Retiree Health Care Authority at 4308 Carlisle NE, Suite 104, Albuquerque, NM 87107.

Funding Policy. The Retiree Health Care Act (Section 10-7C-13 NMSA 1978) authorizes the RHCA Board to establish the monthly premium contributions that retirees are required to pay for healthcare benefits. Each participating retiree pays a monthly premium according to a service based subsidy rate schedule for the medical plus basic life plan plus an additional participation fee of five dollars if the eligible participant retired prior to the employer's RHCA effective date or is a former legislator or former governing authority member. Former legislators and governing authority members are required to pay $100 \%$ of the insurance premium to cover their claims and the administrative expenses of the plan. The monthly premium rate schedule can be obtained from the RHCA or viewed on their website at www.nmrhca.state.nm.us.

The employer, employee and retiree contributions are required to be remitted to the RHCA on a monthly basis. The statutory requirements for the employer and employee contributions can be changed by the New Mexico State Legislature. Employers that choose to become participating employers after January 1, 1998, are required to make contributions to the RHCA fund in the amount determined to be appropriate by the board.

The Retiree Health Care Act (Section 10-7C-15 NMSA 1978) is the statutory authority that establishes the required contributions of participating employers and their employees. For employees that were members of an enhanced retirement plan (state police and adult correctional officer member coverage plan 1; municipal police member coverage plans 3,4 or 5 ; municipal fire member coverage plan 3 , 4 or 5; municipal detention officer member coverage plan 1; and members pursuant to the Judicial Retirement Act) during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, the statute required each participating employer to contribute $2.5 \%$ of each participating employee's annual salary; and each participating employee was required to contribute $1.25 \%$ of their salary. For employees that were not members of an enhanced retirement plan during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, the statute required each participating employer to contribute $2.0 \%$ of each participating employee's annual salary; each participating employee was required to contribute $1.0 \%$ of their salary. In addition, pursuant to Section 10-7C-15(G) NMSA 1978, at the first session of the Legislature following July 1, 2013, the legislature shall review and adjust the distributions pursuant to Section 7-1-6.1 NMSA 1978 and the employer and employee contributions to the authority in order to ensure the actuarial soundness of the benefits provided under the Retiree Health Care Act.

Roosevelt County's contributions to the RHCA for the years ended June 30, 2017, 2016, and 2015, were $\$ 61,524, \$ 55,051$, and $\$ 60,035$, respectively, which equal the required contributions for each year.

## NOTE 13. Joint Powers Agreements

## 【ail Services

Participants

Responsible party
Description

Term of agreement
Audit responsibility

Roosevelt County and City of Portales
Roosevelt County and City of Portales
Jail services provided for municipal prisoners and offenders by the County. Jail services shall include but shall not be limited to booking, care, housing, feeding, administration of prisoners, and all other mandated, necessary and common functions of a constitutional and statutory jail.

December 3, 2002 until cancelled
Roosevelt County

## NOTE 14. Contingent Liabilities

The County is party to various claims and lawsuits arising in the normal course of business. The County is insured through the New Mexico County Insurance Authority. In the opinion of management, the outcome of these matters will not have a material effect on the financial position of the County.

## NOTE 15. Commitments

The County has no commitments as of June 30, 2017.

## NOTE 16. Restricted Net Position

The government-wide statement of net position reports $\$ 4,396,587$ of restricted net position, all of which is restricted by enabling legislation. For descriptions of the related enabling legislation for special revenue, debt service and capital project funds, see pages 36 and 78-79.

## NOTE 17. Subsequent Events

The date to which events occurring after June 30, 2017, the date of the most recent balance sheet, have been evaluated for possible adjustment to the financial statement or disclosures is November 30, 2017, which is the date on which the financial statements were issued. There were no events noted as of this date.
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## NOTE 18. Tax Abatements

The County has the following tax abatement agreements:

| Agency Number | 5022 | 5022 | 5022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agency Name | Roosevelt County, New Mexico | Roosevelt County, New Mexico | Roosevelt County, New Mexico |
| Agency Type | County Government - Political Subdivision of the State of New Mexico | County Government - Political Subdivision of the State of New Mexico | County Government - Political Subdivision of the State of New Mexico |
| Tax Abatement Agreement Name | \$112,000,000 Roosevelt County, New Mexico Taxable Industrial Revenue Bond (San Juan Mesa Wind Project, LLC Project) Series 2005 | $\$ 358,000,000$ Roosevelt County, New Mexico Amended and Restated Taxable Industrial Revenue Bonds (Roosevelt Wind Project) Series 2014 | \$72,000,000 Roosevelt County, New Mexico Taxable Industrial Revenue Bonds (Milo Wind Project, LLC Project) Series 2015) |
| Recipient(s) of tax abatement | San Juan Mesa Wind Project, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company | Roosevelt Wind Project, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company | Milo Wind Project, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company |
| Parent company(ies) of recipient(s) of $\operatorname{tax}$ abatement | Padoma Project Holdings, LLC (Sole Member) | Roosevelt Holdco, LLC (Manager); EDF-RE US Development, LLC (Manager); EDF Renewable Development, LLC (Managing Member) | Roosevelt Holdco, LLC (Manager); EDF-RE US Development, LLC (Manager); EDF Renewable Development, LLC (Managing Member) |
| Tax abatement program (name and brief description) | New Mexico County Industrial <br> Revenue Bonds ("IRB") - At the closing of a New Mexico County IRB transaction, the IRB project property, which may be any combination of real and personal property, is conveyed from the <br> Company (a private entity) to a New Mexico county, which immediately leases the project property back to the Company. The county retains ownership, and the lease continues, for the term of the bonds, which may be any period specified by the county, up to 30 years. Under the New Mexico Constitution and applicable state statues, this arrangement results in two separate tax subsidies. First, the project property is exempt from ad valorem taxation for the term of the bonds, and, second, purchases of project property that is tangible, depreciable, personal property are deductible or exempt, as the case may be, from gross receipts and compensating taxes. | New Mexico County Industrial <br> Revenue Bonds ("IRB") - At the closing of a New Mexico County IRB transaction, the IRB project property, which may be any combination of real and personal property, is conveyed from the <br> Company (a private entity) to a New Mexico county, which immediately leases the project property back to the Company. The county retains ownership, and the lease continues, for the term of the bonds, which may be any period specified by the county, up to 30 years. Under the New Mexico Constitution and applicable state statues, this arrangement results in two separate tax subsidies. First, the project property is exempt from ad valorem taxation for the term of the bonds, and, second, purchases of project property that is tangible, depreciable, personal property are deductible or exempt, as the case may be, from gross receipts and compensating taxes. | New Mexico County Industrial <br> Revenue Bonds ("IRB") - At the closing of a New Mexico County IRB transaction, the IRB project property, which may be any combination of real and personal property, is conveyed from the <br> Company (a private entity) to a New Mexico county, which immediately leases the project property back to the Company. The county retains ownership, and the lease continues, for the term of the bonds, which may be any period specified by the county, up to 30 years. Under the New Mexico Constitution and applicable state statues, this arrangement results in two separate tax subsidies. First, the project property is exempt from ad valorem taxation for the term of the bonds, and, second, purchases of project property that is tangible, depreciable, personal property are deductible or exempt, as the case may be, from gross receipts and compensating taxes. |
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## NOTE 18. Tax Abatements (continued)

| Specific <br> Tax(es) Being <br> Abated | (i) Ad valorem property tax (all project property); (ii) gross receipts tax (tangible, depreciable, personal project property purchased from New Mexico vendors); and, (iii) compensating tax (tangible, depreciable, personal project property purchased from out-ofstate vendors. Pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 4-59-12 (1975), interest on the bond is also exempt from New Mexico income tax. Since, however, most modern IRBs are sold to affiliates of the Company, on a consolidated basis there is no income arising from the interest, and the income tax exemption is meaningless. (This IRB is held by an affiliate of the Company) | (i) Ad valorem property tax (all project property); (ii) gross receipts tax (tangible, depreciable, personal project property purchased from New Mexico vendors); and, (iii) compensating tax (tangible, depreciable, personal project property purchased from out-ofstate vendors. Pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 4-59-12 (1975), interest on the bond is also exempt from New Mexico income tax. Since, however, most modern IRBs are sold to affiliates of the Company, on a consolidated basis there is no income arising from the interest, and the income tax exemption is meaningless. (This IRB is held by an affiliate of the Company) | (i) Ad valorem property tax (all project property); (ii) gross receipts tax (tangible, depreciable, personal project property purchased from New Mexico vendors); and, (iii) compensating tax (tangible, depreciable, personal project property purchased from out-ofstate vendors. Pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 4-59-12 (1975), interest on the bond is also exempt from New Mexico income tax. Since, however, most modern IRBs are sold to affiliates of the Company, on a consolidated basis there is no income arising from the interest, and the income tax exemption is meaningless. (This IRB is held by an affiliate of the Company) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal authority under which tax abatement agreement was entered into | County Industrial Revenue Bond Act, NMSA 1978, Sections 4-59-1 to -16 (1975, as amended through 2015) | County Industrial Revenue Bond Act, NMSA 1978, Sections 4-59-1 to -16 (1975, as amended through 2015) | County Industrial Revenue Bond Act, NMSA 1978, Sections 4-59-1 to -16 (1975, as amended through 2015) |
| Criteria that make a recipient eligible to receive a tax abatement | Businesses that are eligible to receive the tax abatements described above include those that are: (1) an industry for the manufacturing, processing or assembling of agricultural or manufactured products; (2) a commercial enterprise that has received a permit from the energy, minerals and natural resources department for a mine that has not been in operation prior to the issuance of bonds for the project for which the enterprise will be involved; (3) a commercial enterprise that has received any necessary state permit for a refinery, treatment plant or processing plant of energy products that was not in operation prior to the issuance of bonds for which the enterprise will be involved; (4) a commercial enterprise in storing, warehousing, distributing or selling products of agriculture, mining or industry, but does not include a facility designed for the sale or distribution to the public of electricity, gas, telephone or other services commonly classified as public utilities, except for: (a) water utilities and (b) any electric generation facility other than one for which both location | Businesses that are eligible to receive the tax abatements described above include those that are: (1) an industry for the manufacturing, processing or assembling of agricultural or manufactured products; (2) a commercial enterprise that has received a permit from the energy, minerals and natural resources department for a mine that has not been in operation prior to the issuance of bonds for the project for which the enterprise will be involved; (3) a commercial enterprise that has received any necessary state permit for a refinery, treatment plant or processing plant of energy products that was not in operation prior to the issuance of bonds for which the enterprise will be involved; (4) a commercial enterprise in storing, warehousing, distributing or selling products of agriculture, mining or industry, but does not include a facility designed for the sale or distribution to the public of electricity, gas, telephone or other services commonly classified as public utilities, except for: (a) water utilities and (b) any electric generation facility other than one for which both location | Businesses that are eligible to receive the tax abatements described above include those that are: (1) an industry for the manufacturing, processing or assembling of agricultural or manufactured products; (2) a commercial enterprise that has received a permit from the energy, minerals and natural resources department for a mine that has not been in operation prior to the issuance of bonds for the project for which the enterprise will be involved; (3) a commercial enterprise that has received any necessary state permit for a refinery, treatment plant or processing plant of energy products that was not in operation prior to the issuance of bonds for which the enterprise will be involved; (4) a commercial enterprise in storing, warehousing, distributing or selling products of agriculture, mining or industry, but does not include a facility designed for the sale or distribution to the public of electricity, gas, telephone or other services commonly classified as public utilities, except for: (a) water utilities and (b) any electric generation facility other than one for which both location |
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## NOTE $18 . \quad$ Tax Abatements (continued)

| Criteria that make a recipient eligible to receive a tax abatement (continued) | approval and a certificate of convenience and necessity are required prior to commencing construction or operation of the facility, pursuant to the Public Utility Act; (5) a business in which all or part of the activities of the business involve the supplying of services to the general public or to governmental agencies or to a specific industry or customer; (6) a nonprofit corporation engaged in health care services; (7) a mass transit or other transportation activity involving the movement of passengers, an industrial park, an office headquarters and a research facility; (8) a water distribution or irrigation system, including without limitation, pumps, distribution lines, transmission lines, towers, dams and similar facilities and equipment; and (9) a 501(c)(3) corporation. | approval and a certificate of convenience and necessity are required prior to commencing construction or operation of the facility, pursuant to the Public Utility Act; (5) a business in which all or part of the activities of the business involve the supplying of services to the general public or to governmental agencies or to a specific industry or customer; (6) a nonprofit corporation engaged in health care services; (7) a mass transit or other transportation activity involving the movement of passengers, an industrial park, an office headquarters and a research facility; (8) a water distribution or irrigation system, including without limitation, pumps, distribution lines, transmission lines, towers, dams and similar facilities and equipment; and (9) a 501(c)(3) corporation. | approval and a certificate of convenience and necessity are required prior to commencing construction or operation of the facility, pursuant to the Public Utility Act; (5) a business in which all or part of the activities of the business involve the supplying of services to the general public or to governmental agencies or to a specific industry or customer; (6) a nonprofit corporation engaged in health care services; (7) a mass transit or other transportation activity involving the movement of passengers, an industrial park, an office headquarters and a research facility; (8) a water distribution or irrigation system, including without limitation, pumps, distribution lines, transmission lines, towers, dams and similar facilities and equipment; and (9) a 501(c)(3) corporation. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| How are the tax abatement recipient's taxes reduced? (For example: through a reduction of assessed value) | Exemption from Property Tax; Reduction or Exemption from Gross Receipts Tax and Compensating Tax; Exemption from Income Tax | Exemption from Property Tax; Reduction or Exemption from Gross Receipts Tax and Compensating Tax; Exemption from Income Tax | Exemption from Property Tax; Reduction or Exemption from Gross Receipts Tax and Compensating Tax; Exemption from Income Tax |
| How is the amount of the tax abatement determined? For example, this could be a specific dollar amount, a percentage of the tax liability, etc. | The amount of the tax subsidy is primarily determined by the nature and value of the project property, which is generally correlated with the principal amount of the IRB issue. The amount of the tax subsidy typically varies a great deal between the construction phase of the IRB project and the period following the construction phase. During the construction phase, the company is ordinarily purchasing most of the equipment and other personal property that is eligible for gross receipts and comensating tax abatements. Such abatements are effective in full, immediately, and correspond currently to $5.125 \%$ of the price (for the compensating tax) and between $6.6250 \%$ and $8.1875 \%$ depending on the location in the county (for the gross receipts tax). | The amount of the tax subsidy is primarily determined by the nature and value of the project property, which is generally correlated with the principal amount of the IRB issue. The amount of the tax subsidy typically varies a great deal between the construction phase of the IRB project and the period following the construction phase. During the construction phase, the company is ordinarily purchasing most of the equipment and other personal property that is eligible for gross receipts and comensating tax abatements. Such abatements are effective in full, immediately, and correspond currently to $5.125 \%$ of the price (for the compensating tax) and between 6.6250\% and 8.1875\% depending on the location in the county (for the gross receipts tax). | The amount of the tax subsidy is primarily determined by the nature and value of the project property, which is generally correlated with the principal amount of the IRB issue. The amount of the tax subsidy typically varies a great deal between the construction phase of the IRB project and the period following the construction phase. During the construction phase, the company is ordinarily purchasing most of the equipment and other personal property that is eligible for gross receipts and comensating tax abatements. Such abatements are effective in full, immediately, and correspond currently to $5.125 \%$ of the price (for the compensating tax) and between $6.6250 \%$ and $8.1875 \%$ depending on the location in the county (for the gross receipts tax). |
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## NOTE 18. Tax Abatements (continued)

| How is the amount of the tax abatement determined? For example, this could be a specific dollar amount, a percentage of the tax liability, etc. (continued) | Exactly how much of the IRB subsidy takes the form of these excise tax abatements depends on how much of the project property corresponds to eligible tangible depreciable personal property. The IRB <br> property tax exemption is effective starting in the first calendar year following the issuance of the bonds, and continues until the last calendar year in which the bonds are outstanding ( 30 years max.). The assessed value of most real property that is subject to property taxation in New Mexico is based on the "fair value" of the property (which generally corresponds to its fair market value). The value of the IRB exemption on project real property is therefore also a function of the real property's fair market value. Over the term of the bonds, such fair market value, and the corresponding value of the tax exemption, may either decrease or increase, depending on market conditions, wear and tear on real property improvements, and other factors. <br> The assessed value of most personal property in New Mexico is based on the depreciated value of the <br> property, except that so long as the personal property is useful and used in the taxpayer's business, the assessed value may not be less than $12.5 \%$ of the original price. Therefore, the value of the IRB exemption from property tax of persional property will be highest early in the IRB term, but will decline relatively quickly, over the depreciation period, to the $12.5 \%$ residual value. | Exactly how much of the IRB subsidy takes the form of these excise tax abatements depends on how much of the project property corresponds to eligible tangible depreciable personal property. The IRB <br> property tax exemption is effective starting in the first calendar year following the issuance of the bonds, and continues until the last calendar year in which the bonds are outstanding ( 30 years max.). The assessed value of most real property that is subject to property taxation in New Mexico is based on the "fair value" of the property (which generally corresponds to its fair market value). The value of the IRB exemption on project real property is therefore also a function of the real property's fair market value. Over the term of the bonds, such fair market value, and the corresponding value of the tax exemption, may either decrease or increase, depending on market conditions, wear and tear on real property improvements, and other factors. The assessed value of most personal property in New Mexico is based on the depreciated value of the property, except that so long as the personal property is useful and used in the taxpayer's business, the assessed value may not be less than $12.5 \%$ of the original price. Therefore, the value of the IRB exemption from property tax of persional property will be highest early in the IRB term, but will decline relatively quickly, over the depreciation period, to the $12.5 \%$ residual value. | Exactly how much of the IRB subsidy <br> takes the form of these excise tax abatements depends on how much of the project property corresponds to eligible tangible depreciable personal property. The IRB <br> property tax exemption is effective starting in the first calendar year following the issuance of the bonds, and continues until the last calendar year in which the bonds are outstanding ( 30 years max.). The assessed value of most real property that is subject to property taxation in New Mexico is based on the "fair value" of the property (which generally corresponds to its fair market value). The value of the IRB exemption on project real property is therefore also a function of the real property's fair market value. Over the term of the bonds, such fair market value, and the corresponding value of the tax exemption, may either decrease or increase, depending on market conditions, wear and tear on real property improvements, and other factors. The assessed value of most personal property in New Mexico is based on the depreciated value of the <br> property, except that so long as the personal property is useful and used in the taxpayer's business, the assessed value may not be less than $12.5 \%$ of the original price. Therefore, the value of the IRB exemption from property tax of persional property will be highest early in the IRB term, but will decline relatively quickly, over the depreciation period, to the $12.5 \%$ residual value. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Are there provisions for recapturing abated taxes? (Yes or No) | No | Yes | Yes |
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## NOTE 18. Tax Abatements (continued)

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|c|c|c|}\hline \text { If there are } \\ \text { provisions for } \\ \text { recapturing } \\ \text { abated taxes, } \\ \text { describe them, } \\ \text { including the } \\ \text { conditions } \\ \text { under which } \\ \text { abated taxes } \\ \text { become } \\ \text { eligible for } \\ \text { recapture. } & \text { Not Applicable } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Company is required to annually } \\ \text { report number of full-time } \\ \text { equivalent employees or contract }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Company is required to annually } \\ \text { report number of full-time } \\ \text { equivalent employees or contract }\end{array} \\ \text { service workers (collectively, } \\ \text { service workers (collectively, }\end{array}\right\}$
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## NOTE 18. Tax Abatements (continued)

| List each specific commitment made by the recipient of the abatement. | 1) Commitment to pay PILOT to Elida Municipal School District, as described in item 19, below; 2) Commitment to rebuild/restore approximately 13.6 miles of county roads that have deteriorated as a result of heavy truck traffic related to the heavy construction of the project upon completion of construction (expected cost not to exceed $\$ 200,000$ ); 3) Commitment to pay PILOT to county, as described in item 18, below. | 1) Commitment to pay PILOT to Elida Municipal School District and Dora Consolidated School District, as described in item 19, below; 2) <br> Commitment to pay PILOT to county, as described in item 18, below; 3 ) Contingent commitment to repay abated taxes, as described in item 14, above; 4) Certain obligations for maintenance and repair of county roads, as descibed in the Road <br> Maintenance Agreement dated as of December 16, 2014 by and between the company and the county. | 1) Commitment to pay PILOT to Elida Municipal School District and Dora Consolidated School District, as described in item 19, below; 2) <br> Commitment to pay PILOT to county, as described in item 18, below; 3) Contingent commitment to repay abated taxes, as described in item 14, above; 4) Certain obligations for maintenance and repair of county roads (jointly and severally with Roosevelt Wind Project, LLC), as descibed in the Road Maintenance Agreement dated as of December 16, 2014 by and between Roosevelt Wind Project, LLC and the county. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross dollar amount, on an accrual basis, by which the government's tax revenues were reduced during the reporting period as a result of the tax abatement agreement. | If the IRB project property were not tax exempt, the amount of the property tax owed to the county on such property would be the county's mill levy for the reporting period, multiplied by $1 / 3$ of the property's assessed value. Although real property is generally assessed equal to its market value, there are important exceptions. Because this IRB is an electrical generation project, most of the project improvements related directly to generation and transmission, such as the solar panels, the wind towers, transmission lines, transformer, inverters and other electrical equipment (collectively, the "Electric Plant"), is assessed at its cost less accumulated straight-line depreciation, but in no event less than $20 \%$ of its cost. NMSA 1978, Section 7-36-29 (2016). This rule applies regardless of whether or not a given item of Electric Plant is real property or personal property. The assessed value of the remaining project personal property (the "Other Personalty") is determined similarly, except that the residual depreciated value is set at $12.5 \%$ of its original cost, rather than $20 \%$. NMSA 1978, Section 7-36-33(C)(3) (1982). | If the IRB project property were not tax exempt, the amount of the property tax owed to the county on such property would be the county's mill levy for the reporting period, multiplied by $1 / 3$ of the property's assessed value. Although real property is generally assessed equal to its market value, there are important exceptions. Because this IRB is an electrical generation project, most of the project improvements related directly to generation and transmission, such as the solar panels, the wind towers, transmission lines, transformer, inverters and other electrical equipment (collectively, the "Electric Plant"), is assessed at its cost less accumulated straight-line depreciation, but in no event less than $20 \%$ of its cost. NMSA 1978, Section 7-36-29 (2016). This rule applies regardless of whether or not a given item of Electric Plant is real property or personal property. The assessed value of the remaining project personal property (the "Other Personalty") is determined similarly, except that the residual depreciated value is set at $12.5 \%$ of its original cost, rather than $20 \%$. NMSA 1978, Section 7-36-33(C)(3) (1982). | If the IRB project property were not tax exempt, the amount of the property tax owed to the county on such property would be the county's mill levy for the reporting period, multiplied by $1 / 3$ of the property's assessed value. Although real property is generally assessed equal to its market value, there are important exceptions. Because this IRB is an electrical generation project, most of the project improvements related directly to generation and transmission, such as the solar panels, the wind towers, transmission lines, transformer, inverters and other electrical <br> equipment (collectively, the "Electric Plant"), is assessed at its cost less accumulated straight-line depreciation, but in no event less than $20 \%$ of its cost. NMSA 1978, Section 7-36-29 (2016). This rule applies regardless of whether or not a given item of Electric Plant is real property or personal property. The assessed value of the remaining project personal property (the "Other Personalty") is determined similarly, except that the residual depreciated value is set at $12.5 \%$ of its original cost, rather than $20 \%$. NMSA 1978, Section 7-36-33(C)(3) (1982). |
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## NOTE 18. Tax Abatements (continued)

Gross dollar
amount, on an
accrual basis,
by which the
government's
tax revenues
were reduced
during the
reporting
period as a
result of the
tax abatement
agreement.
(continued)

In order to determine the foregone property tax for the reporting period on project property, therefore, the county needs to know what the assessed value would be, if it were taxable, of the project real property (the "Project Realty Value"), the Electric Plant (the "Electric Plant Value") and the Other Personalty (the "Project Personalty Value"), and together with the Project Realty Value and the Electric Plant Value, the "Values"). Unfortunately, the county has no satisfactory way of determining any of the Values. If the project property were taxable, then the county assessor would have the duty of assessing the project real property and the Other Personalty, and the State Property Tax Bureau would have the duty of assessing the Electric Plant. Since the project property is not taxable, however, neither of these governmental entities have any duty or reason to perform such assessments. Nor does the company have any legal duty to calculate and report independent estimates of any of the Values. Since neither GASB 77 nor any similar requirement was anticipated at the time the IRB was issued, none of the IRB documents includes any provision requiring the company to estimate the Project Realty Value, either on a year by year basis or otherwise, or to report the cost, acquisition dates and depreciation schedules of the Electric Plant and the Other Personalty. For future IRBs, the county can incorporate provisions requiring companies benefitting from its IRBs to report information to the county, the county assessor and the property tax bureau that is similar to that currently required to be reported for taxable property. For the current reporting period, however, the county is restricted to relatively crude estimates, as described below. The principal amount of an IRB represents the maximum amount that a company may expend on the IRB project. The company is not obligated to spend the maximum sum, and it frequently spends significantly less.

In order to determine the foregone property tax for the reporting period on project property, therefore, the county needs to know what the assessed value would be, if it were taxable, of the project real property (the "Project Realty Value"), the Electric Plant (the "Electric Plant Value") and the Other Personalty (the "Project Personalty Value"), and together with the Project Realty Value and the Electric Plant Value, the "Values"). Unfortunately, the county has no satisfactory way of determining any of the Values. If the project property were taxable, then the county assessor would have the duty of assessing the project real property and the Other Personalty, and the State Property Tax Bureau
would have the duty of assessing the Electric Plant. Since the project property is not taxable, however, neither of these governmental entities have any duty or reason to perform such assessments. Nor does the company have any legal duty to calculate and report independent estimates of any of the Values. Since neither GASB 77 nor any similar requirement was anticipated at the time the IRB was issued, none of the IRB documents includes any provision requiring the company to estimate the Project Realty Value, either on a year by year basis or otherwise, or to report the cost, acquisition dates and depreciation schedules of the Electric Plant and the Other Personalty. For future IRBs, the county can incorporate provisions requiring companies benefitting from its IRBs to report information to the county, the county assessor and the property tax bureau that is similar to that currently required to be reported for taxable property. For the current reporting period, however, the county is restricted to relatively crude estimates, as described below. The principal amount of an IRB represents the maximum amount that a company may expend on the IRB project. The company is not obligated to spend the maximum sum, and it frequently spends significantly less.

In order to determine the foregone property tax for the reporting period on project property, therefore, the county needs to know what the assessed value would be, if it were taxable, of the project real property (the "Project Realty Value"), the Electric Plant (the "Electric Plant Value") and the Other Personalty (the "Project Personalty Value"), and together with the Project Realty Value and the Electric Plant Value, the "Values"). Unfortunately, the county has no satisfactory way of determining any of the Values. If the project property were taxable, then the county assessor would have the duty of assessing the project real property and the Other Personalty, and the State Property Tax Bureau would have the duty of assessing the Electric Plant. Since the project property is not taxable, however, neither of these governmental entities have any duty or reason to perform such assessments. Nor does the company have any legal duty to calculate and report independent estimates of any of the Values. Since neither GASB 77 nor any similar requirement was anticipated at the time the IRB was issued, none of the IRB documents includes any provision requiring the company to estimate the Project Realty Value, either on a year by year basis or otherwise, or to report the cost, acquisition dates and depreciation schedules of the Electric Plant and the Other Personalty. For future IRBs, the county can incorporate provisions requiring companies benefitting from its IRBs to report information to the county, the county assessor and the property tax bureau that is similar to that currently required to be reported for taxable property. For the current reporting period, however, the county is restricted to relatively crude estimates, as described below. The principal amount of an IRB represents the maximum amount that a company may expend on the IRB project. The company is not obligated to spend the maximum sum, and it frequently spends significantly less.
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## NOTE 18. Tax Abatements (continued)

Gross dollar
amount, on an
accrual basis,
by which the
government's
tax revenues
were reduced
during the
reporting
period as a
result of the
tax abatement
agreement.
(continued)

The county assumes that the actual expenditure for the IRB was, or will be, approximately $80 \%$ of the maximum principal amount of the bond issue. Of such expenditures, the county assumes that $10 \%$ corresponds to the value of the project real property that is not included in the Electric Plant, 85\% was spent on the Electric Plant, and 5\% was spent on Other Personalty. The County further assumes that the Electric Plant and the Other Personalty for this IRB has been fully depreciated, and that, if it had been taxable, $10 \%$ of the Electric Plant and Other Personalty would have been subject to gross receipts tax, and $80 \%$ would have been subject to compensating tax (note that certain construction expenditures will have been subject to gross receipts tax notwithstanding the effect of the IRBs). The total County mill levy for the reporting period is 11.765 for non-residential property, and the county gross receipts tax rate is $1.5000 \%$. Based on the foregoing facts and assumptions, the reduction in property and excise taxes received by the county for the IRB may be estimated as follows: Total Project Expenditures $=(.8)$ $(112,000,000)=\$ 89,600,000$ Project Realty Value $=(.1)$ $(89,600,000)=\$ 8,960,000$ Foregone ad valorm tax (real property) $(\$ 8,960,000 / 3)(11.765 / 1000)=$ $\$ 35,138.13$. Electric Plant Value $=$ (.85)(\$89,600,000) $=\$ 76,160,000$. Foregone ad valorem tax (Electric Plant $)=(\$ 76,160,000)(.2)(1 / 3)$ $(11.765 / 1000)=\$ \underline{59,734.83}$. Other Personalty Value $=$ $(.05)(89,600,000)=\$ 4,480,000$ Foregone ad valorem tax (Other Personalty Value) = (\$4,480,000)(.125)(1/3) $(11.765 / 1000)=\$ 2,196.13$.
Foregone gross receipts tax $=\$ 0$ (county does not receive any portion of the compensating tax, all of which accrues to the state. There is not gross receipts tax subsidy for the reporting period, because that subsidy does not survive the completion of the project [and this project has been completed].

The county assumes that the actual expenditure for the IRB was, or will be, approximately $80 \%$ of the maximum principal amount of the bond issue. Of such expenditures, the county assumes that $10 \%$ corresponds to the value of the project real property that is not included in the Electric Plant, 85\% was spent on the Electric Plant, and 5\% was spent on Other Personalty. Because this is a fairly recent IRB transaction, the County further assumes that the Electric Plant and the Other Personalty for this IRB has not depreciated (estimates based on full value), and that, if it had been taxable, $10 \%$ of the Electric Plant and Other Personalty would have been subject to gross receipts tax, and $80 \%$ would have been subject to compensating tax (note that the county does not receive any portion of the compensating tax, all of which accrues to the state and that certain construction expenditures will have been subject to gross receipts tax notwithstanding the effect of the IRBs). The total County mill levy for the reporting period is 11.765 for non-residential property, and the county gross receipts tax rate is $1.5000 \%$. Based on the foregoing facts and assumptions, the reduction in property and excise taxes received by the county for the IRB may be estimated as follows: Total Project Expenditures $=(.8)$ $(358,000,000)=\$ 286,400,000$

Project Realty Value $=(.1)$ $(286,400,000)=\$ 28,640,000$ Foregone ad valorm tax (real property) - (\$28,640,000/3)
$(11.765 / 1000)=\$ \underline{112,316.53}$. Electric Plant Value = $(.85)(\$ 286,400,000)=$ $\$ 243,440,000$. Foregone ad valorem tax $($ Electric Plant $)=$
(\$243,400,000)(1/3)
$(11.765 / 1000)=\$ 954,690.53$.
Other Personalty Value $=$
$(.05)(286,400,000)=\$ 14,320,000$
Foregone ad valorem tax (Other
Personalty Value) =
$(\$ 14,320,000)(1 / 3)(11.765 / 1000)$ $=\$ 56,158.27$.

The county assumes that the actual expenditure for the IRB was, or will be, approximately $80 \%$ of the maximum principal amount of the bond issue. Of such expenditures, the county assumes that $10 \%$ corresponds to the value of the project real property that is not included in the Electric Plant, 85\% was spent on the Electric Plant, and 5\% was spent on Other Personalty. Because this is a fairly recent IRB transaction, the County further assumes that the Electric Plant and the Other Personalty for this IRB has not depreciated (estimates based on full value), and that, if it had been taxable, $10 \%$ of the Electric Plant and Other Personalty would have been subject to gross receipts tax, and $80 \%$ would have been subject to compensating tax (note that the county does not receive any portion of the compensating tax, all of which accrues to the state and that certain construction expenditures will have been subject to gross receipts tax notwithstanding the effect of the IRBs). The total County mill levy for the reporting period is 11.765 for non-residential property, and the county gross receipts tax rate is $1.5000 \%$. Based on the foregoing facts and assumptions, the reduction in property and excise taxes received by the county for the IRB may be estimated as follows: Total

Project Expenditures $=(.8)$ $(72,000,000)=\$ 57,600,000$ Project Realty Value $=(.1)(57,600,000)=$ \$5,760,000 Foregone ad valorm tax (real property) - (\$5,760,000/3)
$(11.765 / 1000)=\$ \underline{22,588.80}$.
Electric Plant Value = $(.85)(\$ 57,600,000)=\$ 48,960,000$. Foregone ad valorem tax (Electric Plant) $=(\$ 48,960,000)(1 / 3)$ $(11.765 / 1000)=\$ 192,004.80$. Other Personalty Value $=$ (.05)(57,600,000) = \$2,880,000 Foregone ad valorem tax (Other Personalty Value) =
$(\$ 2,880,000)(1 / 3)(11.765 / 1000)=$ $\$ 11,294.40$. Foregone gross receipts $\operatorname{tax}=(\$ 48,960,000+$
$\$ 2,880,000)(.1)(.015000)=\$ 77,760$. PILOT payment to County $=\$ \underline{75,000}$.
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## NOTE 18. Tax Abatements (continued)

| Gross dollar amount, on an accrual basis, by which the government's tax revenues were reduced during the reporting period as a result of the tax abatement agreement. (continued) | $\begin{gathered} \text { PILOT payment to County = } \\ \$ 144,500 . \text { Total reduction } \\ \text { (appreciation) in county taxes } \\ \text { (revenues) }=\$ 35,138.13+ \\ \$ 59,734.83+\$ 2,196.13-\$ 144,500 \\ =\$(47,430.91) . \end{gathered}$ | Foregone gross receipts tax = (\$243,440,000 + <br> $\$ 14,320,000)(.1)(.015000)=$ <br> $\$ 386,580$. PILOT payment to County <br> $=\$ 366,000$. Total reduction <br> (appreciation) in county taxes (revenues) = \$112,316.53 + $\$ 954,690.53+\$ 56,158.27+$ \$386,580- \$366,000 $=\$ 1,143,745.33$. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total reduction (appreciation) in } \\ & \text { county taxes (revenues) = } \\ & \$ 22,588.80+\$ 192,004.80+ \\ & \$ 11,294.40+\$ 77,760-\$ 75,000 \\ & =\$ 228,648 . \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For any Payments in Lieu of Taxes (PILOTs) or similar payments receivable by your agency or another agency in association with the foregone tax revenue, list the authority for and describe the payment, including the agency that is supposed to receive the payment | NMSA 1978, Section 4-59-4(A)(2) (2003) ("A county shall not acquire any electricity generation facility facility project unless the acquisition is approved by the local school board of the school district in which a project is located and the board of county commissioners, the local school board and the person proposing the project negotiate and determine the amount of annual inlieu tax payment to be made to the school district by the person proposing the project, for the period that the county owns and leases the project, and provided such approval shall not be unreasonably withheld."); NMSA 1978, Section 4-59-4(B) (2003) (Authorizing county "to sell or lease or otherwise dispose of any or all of its projects upon such terms and conditions as the [county] commission may deem advisable and as shall no conflict with the provisions of the County Industrial Revenue Bond Act[.]"). | NMSA 1978, Section 4-59-4(A)(2) (2003) ("A county shall not acquire any electricity generation facility facility project unless the acquisition is approved by the local school board of the school district in which a project is located and the board of county commissioners, the local school board and the person proposing the project negotiate and determine the amount of annual inlieu tax payment to be made to the school district by the person proposing the project, for the period that the county owns and leases the project, and provided such approval shall not be unreasonably withheld."); NMSA 1978, Section 4-59-4(B) (2003) (Authorizing county "to sell or lease or otherwise dispose of any or all of its projects upon such terms and conditions as the [county] commission may deem advisable and as shall no conflict with the provisions of the County Industrial Revenue Bond Act[.]"). | NMSA 1978, Section 4-59-4(A)(2) (2003) ("A county shall not acquire any electricity generation facility facility project unless the acquisition is approved by the local school board of the school district in which a project is located and the board of county commissioners, the local school board and the person proposing the project negotiate and determine the amount of annual inlieu tax payment to be made to the school district by the person proposing the project, for the period that the county owns and leases the project, and provided such approval shall not be unreasonably withheld."); NMSA 1978, Section 4-59-4(B) (2003) (Authorizing county "to sell or lease or otherwise dispose of any or all of its projects upon such terms and conditions as the [county] commission may deem advisable and as shall no conflict with the provisions of the County Industrial Revenue Bond Act[.]"). |
| For any Payments in Lieu of Taxes (PILOTs) or similar payments receivable by your agency in association with the foregone tax revenue, list the amount of payments received in the current fiscal year | \$144,500 | \$366,000 | \$75,000 |
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## NOTE 18. Tax Abatements (continued)

| For any <br> Payments in Lieu of Taxes (PILOTs) or similar payments receivable by a different agency in association with the foregone tax revenue, list the name of the agency and the amount of payments received in the current fiscal year | Elida Municipal School District \$148,000 | Elida Municipal School District \& Dora Consolidated School District \$215,000 (combined amount) - The amount of the PILOT to be paid to each school district shall be prorated based on number of MegaWatts of generation capacity associated with the portion of the facility located within each school district. | Elida Municipal School District \& Dora Consolidated School District \$44,000 (combined amount) - The amount of the PILOT to be paid to each school district shall be prorated based on number of MegaWatts of generation capacity associated with the portion of the facility located within each school district. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| List each specific commitment made by your agency or any other government, other than the tax abatement. | None, other than covenants related to maintenance of the bonds and the related bond agreements during the term of the bonds. | None, other than covenants related to maintenance of the bonds and the related bond agreements during the term of the bonds. | None, other than covenants related to maintenance of the bonds and the related bond agreements during the term of the bonds. |
| Are any other governments affected by this tax abatement agreement? (Yes or No) If yes, list each affected agency and complete an intergovernme ntal disclosure for each such agency. | Yes: 1) Elida Municipal School District; 2) State of New Mexico | Yes: 1) Elida Municipal School District; 2) Dora Consolidated School District; 3) State of New Mexico | Yes: 1) Elida Municipal School District; 2) Dora Consolidated School District; 3) State of New Mexico |
| If your agency is omitting any information required in this <br> spreadsheet or by GASB 77, cite the legal basis for such omission. | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
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## NOTE 19. Concentrations

The County depends on financial resources flowing from, or associated with, property taxes, gross receipts taxes, the Federal Government and the State of New Mexico. Because of this dependency, the County is subject to changes in specific flows of intergovernmental revenues based on modifications to Federal and State laws and Federal and State Appropriations; changes in gross receipts tax rates, collections, tourism, and property values.

## NOTE 20. Subsequent Pronouncements

In June 2015, GASB Statement No. 75 Accounting and Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions was issued. Effective Date: The provisions of this Statement are effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2017. The standard will be implemented during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2018. The County expects this pronouncement to have a material effect on the financial statements.

In March 2016, GASB Statement No. 81 Irrevocable Split-Interest Agreements was issued. Effective Date: The requirements of this Statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016. Earlier application is encouraged. The County is still evaluating how this pronouncement will affect the financial statements.

In November 2016, GASB Statement No. 83, Certain Asset Retirement Obligations was issued. Effective Date: The requirements of this Statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2018. Earlier application is encouraged. The County is still evaluating how this pronouncement will affect the financial statements.

In January 2017, GASB Statement No. 84, Fiduciary Activities was issued. Effective Date: The requirements of this Statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2018. Earlier application is encouraged. The County is still evaluating how this pronouncement will affect the financial statements.

In March 2017, GASB Statement No. 85 Omnibus 2017 was issued. Effective Date: The requirements of this Statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2017. Earlier application is encouraged. This Statement addresses a variety of topics including issues related to blending component units, goodwill, fair value measurement and application, and postemployment benefits (pensions and other postemployment benefits [OPEB]). The County is still evaluating how this pronouncement will affect the financial statements.

In May 2017, GASB Statement No. 86 Certain Debt Extinguishment Issues was issued. Effective Date: The requirements of this Statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2017. Earlier application is encouraged. The County is still evaluating how this pronouncement will affect the financial statements.

In June 2017, GASB Statement No. 87 Leases was issued. Effective Date: The requirements of this Statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2019. Earlier application is encouraged. The County is still evaluating how this pronouncement will affect the financial statements.

## REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Schedule of the County's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability of PERA Fund Municipal General Division
Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) Plan
Last 10 Fiscal Years*

|  |  | 2017 <br> asurement <br> ate (As of d for the ar Ended 30, 2016) |  | 2016 <br> asurement ate (As of nd for the ear Ended e 30, 2015) | 2015 <br> Measurement <br> Date (As of and for the Year Ended June 30, 2014) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roosevelt County's proportion of the net pension liability |  | 0.2343\% |  | 0.2761\% |  | 0.2866\% |
| Roosevelt County's proportionate share of the net pension liability | \$ | 3,743,326 | \$ | 2,815,079 | \$ | 2,235,788 |
| Roosevelt County's covered payroll | \$ | 1,937,232 | \$ | 2,312,147 | \$ | 2,521,773 |
| Roosevelt County's proportionate share of the net pension liability as a percentage of its covered payroll |  | 193.23\% |  | 121.75\% |  | 88.66\% |
| Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability |  | 69.18\% |  | 76.99\% |  | 81.29\% |

* The amounts presented were determined as of June 30. This schedule is presented to illustrate the requirement to show information for 10 years. However, until a full 10-year trend is compiled, Roosevelt County will present information for those years for which information is available.

See independent auditors' report.
See notes to required supplementary information.

Schedule of the County's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability
of PERA Fund Municipal Police Division
Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) Plan
Last 10 Fiscal Years*

|  |  | 2017 <br> asurement ate (As of d for the ar Ended 30,2016 ) |  | 2016 asurement Date (As of nd for the ear Ended e 30, 2015) | 2015 <br> Measurement <br> Date (As of and for the Year Ended June 30, 2014) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roosevelt County's proportion of the net pension liability |  | 0.2971\% |  | 0.3040\% |  | 0.2651\% |
| Roosevelt County's proportionate share of the net pension liability | \$ | 2,192,092 | \$ | 1,461,802 | \$ | 864,197 |
| Roosevelt County's covered payroll | \$ | 588,749 | \$ | 596,549 | \$ | 499,825 |
| Roosevelt County's proportionate share of the net pension liability as a percentage of its covered payroll |  | 372.33\% |  | 245.04\% |  | 172.90\% |
| Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability |  | 69.18\% |  | 76.99\% |  | 81.29\% |

* The amounts presented were determined as of June 30. This schedule is presented to illustrate the requirement to show information for 10 years. However, until a full 10 -year trend is compiled, Roosevelt County will present information for those years for which information is available.

Roosevelt County
Schedule of the County's Contributions
Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) Plan
PERA Fund Municipal General Division
Last 10 Fiscal Years*

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { As of and for } \\ \text { the Year } \\ \text { Ended } \\ \text { June 30, } 2017 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | As of and for <br> the Year <br> Ended <br> June 30, 2016 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { As of and for } \\ & \text { the Year } \\ & \text { Ended } \\ & \text { June } 30,2015 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contractually required contribution | \$ | 262,618 | \$ | 226,656 | \$ | 270,521 |
| Contributions in relation to the contractually required contribution |  | $(262,618)$ |  | $(226,656)$ |  | $(270,521)$ |
| Contribution deficiency (excess) | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  |
| Roosevelt County's covered payroll | \$ | 2,244,598 | \$ | 1,937,232 | \$ | 2,312,147 |
| Contributions as a percentage of covered payroll |  | 11.70\% |  | 11.70\% |  | 11.70\% |

* The amounts presented were determined as of June 30. This schedule is presented to illustrate the requirement to show information for 10 years. However, until a full 10 -year trend is compiled, Roosevelt County will present information for those years for which information is available.

See independent auditors' report.
See notes to required supplementary information.

Roosevelt County
Schedule of the County's Contributions
Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) Plan
PERA Fund Municipal Police Division
Last 10 Fiscal Years*

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { As of and for } \\ & \text { the Year } \\ & \text { Ended } \\ & \text { June } 30,2017 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { As of and for } \\ & \text { the Year } \\ & \text { Ended } \\ & \text { June } 30,2016 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { As of and for } \\ & \text { the Year } \\ & \text { Ended } \\ & \text { June } 30,2015 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contractually required contribution | \$ | 112,990 | \$ | 106,612 | \$ | 112,748 |
| Contributions in relation to the contractually required contribution |  | $(112,990)$ |  | $(106,612)$ |  | $(112,748)$ |
| Contribution deficiency (excess) | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  |
| Roosevelt County's covered payroll | \$ | 597,831 | \$ | 564,085 | \$ | 596,549 |
| Contributions as a percentage of covered payroll |  | 18.90\% |  | 18.90\% |  | 18.90\% |

* The amounts presented were determined as of June 30. This schedule is presented to illustrate the requirement to show information for 10 years. However, until a full 10 -year trend is compiled, Roosevelt County will present information for those years for which information is available.

See independent auditors' report.
See notes to required supplementary information.

# STATE OF NEW MEXICO 

Roosevelt County
Notes to Required Supplementary Information For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

Changes of benefit terms. The PERA Fund COLA and retirement eligibility benefits changes in recent years are described in Note 1 of the PERA FY15 audit available at http://www.nmpera.org/assets/uploads/downloads/comprehensive-annual-financial-reports/2016-CAFR 12.22.2016 FINAL-with-corrections.pdf

Changes of assumptions. The Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) of New Mexico Annual Actuarial Valuation as of June 30, 2016 report is available at http://s3.amazonaws.com/boardaudio/Final-Version-2016-PERA-GASB-68-Report.pdf See the notes to the financial statements on the CAFR pages $74-76$ which summarizes actuarial assumptions and methods effective with the June 30, 2016 valuation.

See independent auditors' report.
See notes to required supplementary information.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

(This page intentionally left blank.)

NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS
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## Special Revenue Funds

Predatory Animal Control Special Revenue Fund - To account for funds tied directly to the management of the County's population of predatory animals. Financing is provided by Taylor Grazing Act (6-11-5; NMSA 1978 Compilation) and from transfers from General Fund requested and approved by the governing body.

County Healthcare Special Revenue Fund - To account for revenues received from state shared gross receipts taxes for hospital service for indigent citizens of the County. The fund was created by authority of state statute (see Section 7-20E-9, NMSA 1978 Compilation).

Sheriff's Evidence Special Revenue Fund - To account for evidence seized by the Sheriff's Office. Fund was created by authority of the governing body by default upon approval of the budget.

Law Enforcement Protection Special Revenue Fund - To account for revenues and expenditures for maintaining and improving the County's law enforcement department in order to enhance its efficiency and effectiveness. Funding is from the State appropriation authorized by Section 29-13-3, NMSA.

GRT Roosevelt General Hospital Special Revenue Fund - To account for management of GRT collected and distributed for Roosevelt County Special Hospital District. The funds were passing through the General Fund previously. It was approved by the governing body by default upon approval of the budget.

Corrections Special Revenue Fund - To account for funds tied directly to inmate welfare. Fund was created by authority of state statute 33-3-25.

County Clerk's Fees Special Revenue Fund - To account for portion of the filing fee charged by the County Clerk. For each fee of twenty-five dollars (\$25.00) collected by the county clerk pursuant to this section, eighteen dollars ( $\$ 18.00$ ) shall be deposited in the county general fund and seven dollars ( $\$ 7.00$ ) shall be deposited in the county clerk recording and filing fund. Fund was created by authority of state statute Section 14-8-13 NMSA 1978 and 14-8-15

Misdemeanor Probation Special Revenue Fund - To account for the Misdemeanor Probation Monitoring Officer for Roosevelt County Magistrate Court, a program authorized pursuant to NMSA § 31-20-5.1 NMSA; Roosevelt County's program complies with guidelines established by the Administrative Office of the Courts.

Traffic Grants Special Revenue Fund - To account for grants awarded to Sheriff's Office for traffic enforcement and alcohol monitoring. Fund was created by authority of the governing body by default upon approval of the budget.

Milnesand Fire/EMS Special Revenue Fund - To account for revenues and expenditures of County fire and EMS funds for the community of Milnesand and the surrounding area. Funding is provided by transfers from General Fund and Ambulance Service Fees. Fund was created by authority of the governing body by default upon approval of the budget.

Milnesand Fire Protection Special Revenue Fund - To account for revenues and expenditures of fire protection funds for the community of Milnesand and the surrounding area. Funding is provided by allotments from the New Mexico State Fire Marshall's Office. The funds were created under the authority of state statute (see Section 59A-53-5, NMSA 1978 Compilation).

Milnesand EMS Special Revenue Fund - To account for revenues and expenditures of EMS funds for the community of Milnesand and the surrounding area. Funding is provided by grants from the State of New Mexico Health Department to be utilized for emergency services provided within the County. Funding is authorized by Section 24-10A-6, NMSA 1978.
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## Special Revenue Funds (continued)

Arch Fire/EMS Special Revenue Fund - To account for revenues and expenditures of County fire and EMS funds for the community of Arch and the surrounding area. Funding is provided by transfers from General Fund and Ambulance Service Fees. Fund was created by authority of the governing body by default upon approval of the budget.

Arch Fire Protection Special Revenue Fund - To account for revenues and expenditures of fire protection funds for the communities of Arch and the surrounding area. Funding is provided by allotments from the New Mexico State Fire Marshall's Office. The funds were created under the authority of state statute (see Section 59A-53-5, NMSA 1978 Compilation).

Arch EMS Special Revenue Fund - To account for revenues and expenditures of EMS funds for the community of Arch and the surrounding area. Funding is provided by grants from the State of New Mexico Health Department to be utilized for emergency services provided within the County. Funding is authorized by Section 24-10A-6, NMSA, 1978.

Reappraisal Special Revenue Fund - To account for funds used to provide valuation services to the County and other local entities. This fund was created by authority of state statute (see Section 7-38-38.1, NMSA 1978 Compilation).

## Debt Service Funds

Indoor Arena Debt Service Fund - To account for funds used to accumulate resources to retire bonds issued for the purpose of purchasing and equipping an indoor arena at the fairgrounds. Authority for creation of the fund is by County Commission Ordinance and the County Commission budget adoption and approval.

Courthouse Renovation Debt Service Fund - To account for NMFA loan acquired for the Courthouse mold remediation and HVAC renovation. This fund was approved by the governing body by default upon approval of the budget.

Magistrate Court Debt Service Fund - To account for NMFA loan acquired for the design and construction of the Magistrate Court building. It was approved by the governing body by default upon approval of the budget.

# STATE OF NEW MEXICO 

Roosevelt County
Nonmajor Governmental Funds
Combining Balance Sheet
June 30, 2017

## Special Revenue

Assets
Cash and cash equivalents
Investments
Current receivables: Other taxes Other
Total assets

Liabilities and fund balances
Liabilities
Accounts payable
Accrued payroll
Total liabilities
Fund balances
Spendable
Restricted for:
General county operations
EMS and fire departments
Public safety
Health and welfare
Debt service expenditures
Committed to:
Sheriff's evidence
EMS and fire departments
Total fund balances
Total liabilities and fund balances


See independent auditors' report.
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Special Revenue
Assets
Cash and cash equivalents
Investments
Current receivables:
Other taxes
Other
Total assets

| Milnesand Fire Protection |  | Milnesand EMS |  | Arch Fire/EMS |  | Arch Fire Protection |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 391,747 | \$ | 1 | \$ | 25,686 | \$ | 75,937 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| \$ | 391,747 | \$ | 1 | \$ | 25,686 | \$ | 75,937 |

## Liabilities and fund balances

Liabilities
Accounts payable
Accrued payroll
Total liabilities
Fund balances
Spendable
Restricted for:
General county operations
EMS and fire departments
Public safety
Health and welfare
Debt service expenditures
Committed to:
Sheriff's evidence
EMS and fire departments
Total fund balances
Total liabilities and fund balances

See independent auditors' report.


See independent auditors' report.
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Roosevelt County
Nonmajor Governmental Funds
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

|  | Special Revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Predatory Animal Control |  | County Healthcare |  | Sheriff's <br> Evidence Fund |  | Law <br> Enforcement <br> Fund |  |
| Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross receipts | \$ | - | \$ | 512,883 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Intergovernmental: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State operating grants |  | 907 |  | - |  | - |  | 27,800 |
| Charges for services |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Investment income (loss) |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Miscellaneous |  | - |  | - |  | 5 |  | - |
| Total revenues |  | 907 |  | 512,883 |  | 5 |  | 27,800 |
| Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General government |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Public safety |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 37,702 |
| Health and welfare |  | - |  | 650,360 |  | - |  | - |
| Capital outlay |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Debt service: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Principal |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Interest |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total expenditures |  | - |  | 650,360 |  | - |  | 37,702 |
| Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures |  | 907 |  | $(137,477)$ |  | 5 |  | $(9,902)$ |
| Other financing sources (uses) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers in |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Transfers (out) |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total other financing sources (uses) |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Net change in fund balances |  | 907 |  | $(137,477)$ |  | 5 |  | $(9,902)$ |
| Fund balances - beginning of year |  | 728 |  | 204,501 |  | 7,576 |  | 9,902 |
| Fund balances - end of year | \$ | 1,635 | \$ | 67,024 | \$ | 7,581 | \$ | - |

See independent auditors' report.

Special Revenue


# STATE OF NEW MEXICO 

Roosevelt County
Nonmajor Governmental Funds
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

|  | Milnesand Fire Protection |  | Milnesand EMS |  | Arch Fire/EMS |  | Arch Fire Protection |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross receipts | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Intergovernmental: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State operating grants |  | 217,088 |  | 7,105 |  | - |  | 50,448 |
| Charges for services |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Investment income (loss) |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Miscellaneous |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total revenues |  | 217,088 |  | 7,105 |  | - |  | 50,448 |
| Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General government |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Public safety |  | 91,162 |  | 7,104 |  | 2,153 |  | 27,384 |
| Health and welfare |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Capital outlay |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Debt service: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Principal |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Interest |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total expenditures |  | 91,162 |  | 7,104 |  | 2,153 |  | 27,384 |
| Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures |  | 125,926 |  | 1 |  | $(2,153)$ |  | 23,064 |
| Other financing sources (uses) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers in |  | - |  | - |  | 3,500 |  | - |
| Transfers (out) |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total other financing sources (uses) |  | - |  | - |  | 3,500 |  | - |
| Net change in fund balances |  | 125,926 |  | 1 |  | 1,347 |  | 23,064 |
| Fund balances - beginning ofyear |  | 265,764 |  | - |  | 24,339 |  | 52,716 |
| Fund balances - end of year | \$ | 391,690 | \$ | 1 | \$ | 25,686 | \$ | 75,780 |

See independent auditors' report.
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Roosevelt County
Schedule of Collateral Pledged by Depository For Public Funds June 30, 2017

| Name of Depository | Description of Pledged Collateral | Maturity | CUSIP Number |  | ket Value $0,2017$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| James Polk Stone Community Bank |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | FNMA Qrtly Call Step | 9/29/2031 | 3130A9B83 | \$ | 959,085 |
|  | FNMA Qrtly Call Step | 10/28/2031 | 3130A9QR5 |  | 969,480 |
|  | FNMA Qrtly Call Step | 11/25/2030 | 3136G2VJ3 |  | 1,993,072 |
|  | FNMA Qrtly Call Step | 10/28/2031 | 3130A9RN3 |  | 492,167 |
|  | FNMA Qrtly Call Step | 10/28/2031 | 3130A9RN3 |  | 984,333 |
|  | FNMA Qrtly Call Step | 10/28/2031 | 3130A9RN3 |  | 984,333 |
|  | Roswell NM Sch Dist/Series A Go | 8/1/2020 | 778550JT1 |  | 153,082 |
|  | UNM Gallup BQ GO | 10/15/2017 | 914684DQ5 |  | 200,688 |
| Total James Polk Stone Community Bank |  |  |  |  | 6,736,240 |
| Name and location of safekeeper for above pledged collateral: Independent Bankers bank, Dallas, Texas 75356 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Pledged Collateral |  |  |  | \$ 6,736,240 |  |

See independent auditors' report.

Roosevelt County
Schedule of Deposit and Investment Accounts
June 30, 2017

| Bank Account Type/Name | James Polk <br> Stone Bank |  | New Mexico <br> Bank \& Trust |  | New Mexico Finance Authority |  | Totals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Checking- Detention Account | \$ | 21,621 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 21,621 |
| Checkings - Sheriff's Evidence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Account |  | 7,581 |  | - |  | - |  | 7,581 |
| Checking- Main Account |  | 4,248,465 |  | - |  | - |  | 4,248,465 |
| Savings- Clerk user Fees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Account |  | 79,905 |  | - |  | - |  | 79,905 |
| Savings- Assessor 1\% Account |  | 78,511 |  | - |  | - |  | 78,511 |
| RSEVLTCTY 6 |  | - |  | - |  | 24,661 |  | 24,661 |
| RSEVLTCTY 6 - Reserve |  | - |  | - |  | 117,155 |  | 117,155 |
| RSEVLTCTY 7 |  | - |  | - |  | 44,170 |  | 44,170 |
| RSEVLTCTY 7 - Reserve Funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Payable |  | - |  | - |  | 255,929 |  | 255,929 |
| RSEVLTCTY 7 - Program Income |  | - |  | - |  | 1,075 |  | 1,075 |
| RSEVLTCTY 8 |  | - |  | - |  | 15,646 |  | 15,646 |
| RSEVLTCTY 8 - Program Income |  | - |  | - |  | 24,722 |  | 24,722 |
| RSEVLTCTY 9 |  | - |  | - |  | 19,095 |  | 19,095 |
| Investment Account |  | - |  | 1,695,080 |  | - |  | 1,695,080 |
| Investment Account |  | - |  | 179,939 |  | - |  | 179,939 |
| Total |  | 4,436,083 |  | 1,875,019 |  | 502,453 |  | 6,813,555 |
| Reconciling items |  | $(379,415)$ |  | - |  | 19,140 |  | $(360,275)$ |
| Reconciled balance | \$ | 4,056,668 | \$ | 1,875,019 | \$ | 521,593 |  | 6,453,280 |
|  | Petty cash |  |  |  |  |  |  | 400 |
|  | Less: investments per Exhibit A-1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(1,875,019)$ |
|  | Less: agency funds cash per Exhibit D-1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(32,167)$ |
|  | Less: restricted cash and cash equivalents per Exhibit A-1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(521,593)$ |
|  | Total unrestricted cash and cash equivalents per Exhibit A-1 |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 4,024,901 |

See independent auditors' report.

Roosevelt County
Reconciliation of Property Tax Rolls
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

| Uncollected taxes, July 1, 2016 | \$ | 613,477 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net taxes charged to treasurer for current year |  | 8,652,123 |
| Current year tax collections |  | (838,756) |
| Adjustments |  | 12,045 |
| Uncollected taxes June 30, 2017 | \$ | 638,889 |
| Schedule of receivables - delinquent property tax by year |  |  |
| 2016 | \$ | 395,661 |
| 2015 |  | 224,585 |
| 2014 |  | 11,978 |
| 2013 |  | 2,971 |
| 2012 |  | 1,670 |
| 2011 |  | 1,217 |
| 2010 |  | 214 |
| 2009 |  | 420 |
| 2008 |  | 136 |
| 2007 |  | 37 |
| Total | \$ | 638,889 |
| Reconciliation of undistributed taxes |  |  |
| Undistributed taxes July 1, 2016 | \$ | - |
| Current year collections |  | 8,638,756 |
| Current year collections distributed |  | ,638,756) |
| Undistributed taxes June 30, 2016 | \$ | - |
| Property tax receivables are reported in the financial statements as follows: |  |  |
| Statement of Net Position - Exhibit A-1 | \$ | 288,544 |
| Statement of Fiduciary Assets and Liabilities - Agency Funds - Exhibit D-1 |  | 350,345 |
| Total property taxes receivable | \$ | 638,889 |

# STATE OF NEW MEXICO 

Roosevelt County
County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

| Agency |  | Property <br> Taxes <br> Levied |  | Collected <br> In Current Year |  | Collected To Date |  | buted rrent ar |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 951 DFA/ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | \$ | 51,223 | \$ | - | \$ | 50,665 | \$ | - |
| 2008 |  | 42,742 |  | - |  | 42,186 |  |  |
| 2009 |  | 41,611 |  | - |  | 41,048 |  | - |
| 2010 |  | 38,007 |  | 12 |  | 37,480 |  | 12 |
| 2011 |  | 38,516 |  | 14 |  | 37,633 |  | 14 |
| 2012 |  | 48,845 |  | 17 |  | 48,065 |  | 17 |
| 2013 |  | 46,015 |  | 10 |  | 44,831 |  | 11 |
| 2014 |  | 41,217 |  | 97 |  | 41,023 |  | 96 |
| 2015 |  | 46,053 |  | 331 |  | 45,837 |  | 331 |
| 2016 |  | 47,129 |  | 43,696 |  | 43,696 |  | 43,696 |
| Total 951 DFA/ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \$ | 441,358 | \$ | 44,177 | \$ | 432,464 | \$ | 44,177 |
| 952 DFA/ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | \$ | 32 | \$ | - | \$ | 32 | \$ | - |
| 2008 |  | 25 |  | - |  | 25 |  | - |
| 2009 |  | 15 |  | - |  | 15 |  | - |
| 2010 |  | 15 |  | - |  | 15 |  | - |
| 2011 |  | 26 |  | - |  | 26 |  | - |
| 2012 |  | 50 |  | - |  | 50 |  | - |
| 2013 |  | 19 |  | - |  | 19 |  | - |
| 2014 |  | 15 |  | - |  | 15 |  | - |
| 2015 |  | 18 |  | - |  | 18 |  | - |
| 2016 |  | 35 |  | 33 |  | 33 |  | 33 |
| Total 952 DFA/ADMINISTRATIVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SERVICES | \$ | 250 | \$ | 33 | \$ | 248 | \$ | 33 |
| 953 DFA/ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | \$ | 91 | \$ | - | \$ | 91 | \$ | - |
| 2008 |  | 39 |  | - |  | 39 |  | - |
| 2009 |  | 21 |  | - |  | 21 |  | - |
| 2010 |  | 22 |  | - |  | 22 |  | - |
| 2011 |  | 29 |  | - |  | 29 |  | - |
| 2012 |  | 49 |  | - |  | 39 |  | - |
| 2013 |  | 48 |  | - |  | 35 |  | - |
| 2014 |  | 55 |  | 17 |  | 55 |  | 17 |
| 2015 |  | 63 |  | 4 |  | 48 |  | 4 |
| 2016 |  | 85 |  | 52 |  | 52 |  | 52 |
| Total 953 DFA/ADMINISTRATIVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SERVICES | \$ | 502 | \$ | 73 | \$ | 431 | \$ | 73 |

See independent auditors' report.
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# STATE OF NEW MEXICO 

Roosevelt County
County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

| Agency |  | Property Taxes Levied |  | Collected <br> In Current Year |  | Collected To Date |  | Distributed <br> In Current Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 954 DFA/ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | \$ | 2,020 | \$ | - | \$ | 2,015 | \$ | - |
| 2008 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2009 |  | 773 |  | - |  | 771 |  | - |
| 2010 |  | 767 |  | - |  | 764 |  | - |
| 2011 |  | 675 |  | - |  | 649 |  | - |
| 2012 |  | 628 |  | - |  | 625 |  | - |
| 2013 |  | 789 |  | - |  | 782 |  | - |
| 2014 |  | 730 |  | 1 |  | 718 |  | 1 |
| 2015 |  | 879 |  | 26 |  | 860 |  | 26 |
| 2016 |  | 940 |  | 852 |  | 852 |  | 852 |
| Total 954 DFA/ADMINISTRATIVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SERVICES |  | \$8,201.00 | \$ | 879 | \$ | 8,036 | \$ | 879 |
| 956 DFA/ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | \$ | 128,795 | \$ | - | \$ | 128,795 | \$ | - |
| 2008 |  | 138,404 |  | - |  | 138,404 |  | - |
| 2009 |  | 145,074 |  | 875 |  | 145,074 |  | 875 |
| 2010 |  | 121,080 |  | - |  | 121,021 |  | - |
| 2011 |  | 107,359 |  | - |  | 107,293 |  | - |
| 2012 |  | 123,274 |  | 115 |  | 123,030 |  | 115 |
| 2013 |  | 139,684 |  | 261 |  | 139,641 |  | 261 |
| 2014 |  | 129,654 |  | 3,272 |  | 129,477 |  | 3,272 |
| 2015 |  | 167,780 |  | 7,570 |  | 167,780 |  | 7,570 |
| 2016 |  | 158,151 |  | 154,070 |  | 154,070 |  | 154,070 |
| Total 956 DFA/ADMINISTRATIVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SERVICES | \$ | 1,359,255 | \$ | 166,163 | \$ | 1,354,585 | \$ | 166,163 |
| 957 DFA/ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015 | \$ | 12 | \$ | - | \$ | 12 | \$ | - |
| 2016 |  | 13 |  | 13 |  | 13 |  | 13 |
| Total 957 DFA/ADMINISTRATIVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SERVICES | \$ | 25 | \$ | 13 | \$ | 25 | \$ | 13 |

See independent auditors' report.
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## STATE OF NEW MEXICO

Roosevelt County
County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

| Agency |  | Property <br> Taxes <br> Levied |  | Collected <br> In Current <br> Year |  | Collected To Date |  | Distributed In Current Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 958 DFA/ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | \$ | 1 | \$ | - | \$ | 1 | \$ | - |
| 2013 |  | 1 |  | - |  | 1 |  | - |
| 2014 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2015 |  | 2 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 1 |
| 2016 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Total 958 DFA/ADMINISTRATIVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SERVICES | \$ | 5 | \$ | 2 | \$ | 5 | \$ | 2 |
| Total Assessor Special Assessment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | \$ | 182,161 | \$ | - | \$ | 181,598 | \$ | - |
| 2008 |  | 181,211 |  | - |  | 180,654 |  | - |
| 2009 |  | 187,494 |  | 875 |  | 186,929 |  | 875 |
| 2010 |  | 159,890 |  | 12 |  | 159,301 |  | 12 |
| 2011 |  | 146,604 |  | 14 |  | 145,629 |  | 14 |
| 2012 |  | 172,847 |  | 132 |  | 171,810 |  | 132 |
| 2013 |  | 186,557 |  | 271 |  | 185,309 |  | 271 |
| 2014 |  | 171,671 |  | 3,386 |  | 171,288 |  | 3,386 |
| 2015 |  | 214,807 |  | 7,932 |  | 214,557 |  | 7,932 |
| 2016 |  | 206,354 |  | 198,718 |  | 198,718 |  | 198,718 |
| Total Assessor Special Assessment | \$ | 1,809,596 | \$ | 211,340 | \$ | 1,795,793 | \$ | 211,340 |

See independent auditors' report.

| Distributed To Date |  |  | Current <br> Amount Uncollectible |  | To-Date Amount Uncollectible |  | County Receivable at Year End |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 1 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
|  | 1 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 2 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 1 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| \$ | 5 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| \$ | 181,598 | \$ | - | \$ | 563 | \$ | - |
|  | 180,654 |  | - |  | 557 |  | - |
|  | 186,929 |  | - |  | 566 |  | - |
|  | 159,301 |  | - |  | 588 |  | - |
|  | 145,629 |  | 11 |  | 627 |  | 348 |
|  | 171,810 |  | 98 |  | 814 |  | 223 |
|  | 185,309 |  | - |  | 834 |  | 414 |
|  | 171,288 |  | - |  | 332 |  | 51 |
|  | 214,542 |  | - |  | - |  | 250 |
|  | 193,064 |  | - |  | - |  | 7,636 |
| \$ | 1,790,124 | \$ | 109 | \$ | 4,881 | \$ | 8,922 |

# STATE OF NEW MEXICO 

Roosevelt County
County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017
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# STATE OF NEW MEXICO 

Roosevelt County
County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

| Agency |  | Property <br> Taxes <br> Levied |  | Collected In Current Year |  | Collected To Date |  | ibuted urrent ear |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C1NR_S_OPP PORTALES SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | \$ | 14,947 | \$ | - | \$ | 14,947 | \$ | - |
| 2008 |  | 15,043 |  | - |  | 15,043 |  | - |
| 2009 |  | 16,217 |  | - |  | 16,217 |  | - |
| 2010 |  | 20,276 |  | - |  | 20,275 |  | - |
| 2011 |  | 22,395 |  | - |  | 22,395 |  | - |
| 2012 |  | 23,470 |  | - |  | 23,470 |  | - |
| 2013 |  | 24,668 |  | 4 |  | 24,668 |  | 4 |
| 2014 |  | 23,488 |  | 124 |  | 23,477 |  | 124 |
| 2015 |  | 24,607 |  | 345 |  | 21,578 |  | 345 |
| 2016 |  | 22,721 |  | 19,761 |  | 19,762 |  | 19,761 |
| Total C1NR_S_OPP PORTALES SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \$ | 207,832 | \$ | 20,234 | \$ | 201,832 | \$ | 20,234 |
| C1NR_S_TECH_DEBT PORTALES SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | \$ | 47,746 | \$ | (1) | \$ | 47,746 | \$ | (1) |
| 2008 |  | 41,247 |  | (1) |  | 41,247 |  | (1) |
| 2009 |  | 1,267 |  |  |  | 1,268 |  | - |
| 2010 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2011 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2012 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2013 |  | 22,558 |  | 4 |  | 22,558 |  | 4 |
| 2014 |  | 45,050 |  | 239 |  | 45,028 |  | 239 |
| 2015 |  | 51,415 |  | 723 |  | 45,086 |  | 723 |
| 2016 |  | 54,838 |  | 47,695 |  | 47,695 |  | 47,695 |
| Total C1NR_S_TECH_DEBT PORTALES SCHOOLS | \$ | 264,121 | \$ | 48,659 | \$ | 250,628 | \$ | 48,659 |
| C1R_M CITY OF PORTALES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | \$ | 209,882 | \$ | - | \$ | 209,882 | \$ | - |
| 2008 |  | 221,957 |  | 2 |  | 221,957 |  | 2 |
| 2009 |  | 232,086 |  | 7 |  | 232,084 |  | 7 |
| 2010 |  | 248,315 |  | 8 |  | 248,313 |  | 8 |
| 2011 |  | 260,744 |  | 8 |  | 260,742 |  | 8 |
| 2012 |  | 274,205 |  | 57 |  | 274,189 |  | 57 |
| 2013 |  | 284,624 |  | 1,106 |  | 284,515 |  | 1,106 |
| 2014 |  | 299,367 |  | 3,656 |  | 298,795 |  | 3,656 |
| 2015 |  | 306,417 |  | 9,884 |  | 301,250 |  | 9,884 |
| 2016 |  | 308,755 |  | 294,624 |  | 294,624 |  | 294,624 |
| Total C1R_M CITY OF PORTALES | \$ | 2,646,352 | \$ | 309,352 | \$ | 2,626,351 | \$ | 309,352 |

See independent auditors' report.
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# STATE OF NEW MEXICO 

Roosevelt County
County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

| Agency |  | Property <br> Taxes <br> Levied |  | Collected <br> In Current Year |  | Collected To Date |  | buted urrent ear |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C1R_S_CAP PORTALES SCHOOLS | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| 2007 |  | 130,159 |  | - |  | 130,159 |  | - |
| 2008 |  | 137,648 |  | 1 |  | 137,648 |  | 1 |
| 2009 |  | 143,929 |  | 5 |  | 143,928 |  | 5 |
| 2010 |  | 153,994 |  | 5 |  | 153,993 |  | 5 |
| 2011 |  | 163,527 |  | 5 |  | 163,526 |  | 5 |
| 2012 |  | 172,370 |  | 36 |  | 172,359 |  | 36 |
| 2013 |  | 178,094 |  | 692 |  | 178,026 |  | 692 |
| 2014 |  | 188,413 |  | 2,301 |  | 188,053 |  | 2,301 |
| 2015 |  | 193,715 |  | 6,248 |  | 190,449 |  | 6,248 |
| 2016 |  | 195,778 |  | 186,817 |  | 186,817 |  | 186,817 |
| Total C1R_S_CAP PORTALES SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SCHOOLS | \$ | 1,657,627 | \$ | 196,110 | \$ | 1,644,958 | \$ | 196,110 |
| C1R_S_DEBT PORTALES SCHOOLS | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| 2007 |  | 407,073 |  | - |  | 407,073 |  | - |
| 2008 |  | 595,946 |  | 5 |  | 595,946 |  | 5 |
| 2009 |  | 625,084 |  | 20 |  | 625,080 |  | 20 |
| 2010 |  | 697,283 |  | 21 |  | 697,279 |  | 21 |
| 2011 |  | 769,560 |  | 24 |  | 769,554 |  | 24 |
| 2012 |  | 726,472 |  | 152 |  | 726,428 |  | 152 |
| 2013 |  | 643,439 |  | 2,501 |  | 643,192 |  | 2,501 |
| 2014 |  | 699,215 |  | 8,539 |  | 697,878 |  | 8,539 |
| 2015 |  | 725,022 |  | 23,386 |  | 712,797 |  | 23,386 |
| 2016 |  | 689,678 |  | 658,112 |  | 658,112 |  | 658,112 |
| Total C1R_S_DEBT PORTALES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SCHOOLS | \$ | 6,578,772 | \$ | 692,760 | \$ | 6,533,339 | \$ | 692,760 |
| C1R_S_OPP PORTALES SCHOOLS | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| 2007 |  | 26,878 |  | - |  | 26,878 |  | - |
| 2008 |  | 30,420 |  | - |  | 30,420 |  | - |
| 2009 |  | 34,615 |  | 1 |  | 34,615 |  | 1 |
| 2010 |  | 37,728 |  | 1 |  | 37,728 |  | 1 |
| 2011 |  | 39,901 |  | 1 |  | 39,900 |  | 1 |
| 2012 |  | 42,079 |  | 9 |  | 42,077 |  | 9 |
| 2013 |  | 43,482 |  | 169 |  | 43,465 |  | 169 |
| 2014 |  | 45,943 |  | 561 |  | 45,855 |  | 561 |
| 2015 |  | 47,275 |  | 1,525 |  | 46,478 |  | 1,525 |
| 2016 |  | 47,790 |  | 45,603 |  | 45,602 |  | 45,603 |
| Total C1R_S_OPP PORTALES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SCHOOLS | \$ | 396,111 | \$ | 47,870 | \$ | 393,018 | \$ | 47,870 |

See independent auditors' report.

| Distributed To Date |  | Current <br> Amount Uncollectible |  | To-Date <br> Amount Uncollectible |  | County Receivable at Year End |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 130,159 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
|  | 137,648 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 143,927 |  | - |  | - |  | 1 |
|  | 153,992 |  | - |  | - |  | 1 |
|  | 163,526 |  | - |  | - |  | 1 |
|  | 172,354 |  | - |  | 3 |  | 7 |
|  | 177,993 |  | - |  | 3 |  | 65 |
|  | 187,883 |  | - |  | - |  | 360 |
|  | 188,948 |  | - |  | 1 |  | 3,266 |
|  | 184,654 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 8,960 |
| \$ | 1,641,084 | \$ | 1 | \$ | 8 | \$ | 12,661 |
| \$ | 407,073 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
|  | 595,946 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 625,076 |  | - |  | - |  | 4 |
|  | 697,277 |  | - |  | 1 |  | 2 |
|  | 769,551 |  | - |  | 1 |  | 5 |
|  | 726,404 |  | - |  | 14 |  | 30 |
|  | 643,073 |  | - |  | 10 |  | 237 |
|  | 697,245 |  | - |  | - |  | 1,337 |
|  | 707,181 |  | - |  | 2 |  | 12,223 |
|  | 650,492 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 31,564 |
| \$ | 6,519,318 | \$ | 2 | \$ | 30 | \$ | 45,402 |
| \$ | 26,878 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
|  | 30,420 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 34,615 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 37,728 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 39,900 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 42,075 |  | - |  | 1 |  | 2 |
|  | 43,457 |  | - |  | 1 |  | 16 |
|  | 45,813 |  | - |  | - |  | 88 |
|  | 46,112 |  | - |  | - |  | 797 |
|  | 45,075 |  | - |  | - |  | 2,187 |
| \$ | 392,073 | \$ | - | \$ | 2 | \$ | 3,090 |

See independent auditors' report.

# STATE OF NEW MEXICO 

Roosevelt County
County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

| Agency |  | Property <br> Taxes <br> Levied |  | Collected <br> In Current Year |  | Collected To Date |  | Distributed <br> In Current Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C1R_S_TECH_DEBT PORTALES SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | \$ | 102,696 | \$ | - | \$ | 102,696 | \$ | - |
| 2008 |  | 94,357 |  | 1 |  | 94,357 |  | 1 |
| 2009 |  | 2,807 |  | - |  | 2,807 |  | - |
| 2010 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2011 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2012 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2013 |  | 40,673 |  | 158 |  | 40,658 |  | 158 |
| 2014 |  | 94,750 |  | 1,157 |  | 94,569 |  | 1,157 |
| $2015$ |  | 103,062 |  | 3,324 |  | 101,324 |  | 3,324 |
| 2016 |  | 122,858 |  | 117,235 |  | 117,235 |  | 117,235 |
| Total C1R_S_TECH_DEBT PORTALES SCHOOLS | \$ | 561,203 | \$ | 121,875 | \$ | 553,646 | \$ | 121,875 |
| C2NR_M TOWN OF ELIDA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | \$ | 1,319 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,319 | \$ | - |
| 2008 |  | 1,355 |  | - |  | 1,355 |  | - |
| 2009 |  | 1,436 |  | 16 |  | 1,451 |  | 16 |
| 2010 |  | 1,540 |  | 2 |  | 1,540 |  | 2 |
| 2011 |  | 1,576 |  | 3 |  | 1,576 |  | 3 |
| 2012 |  | 1,627 |  | 3 |  | 1,626 |  | 3 |
| 2013 |  | 1,703 |  | 2 |  | 1,701 |  | 2 |
| 2014 |  | 1,748 |  | 19 |  | 1,742 |  | 19 |
| 2015 |  | 1,775 |  | 80 |  | 1,749 |  | 80 |
| 2016 |  | 1,911 |  | 1,811 |  | 1,811 |  | 1,811 |
| Total C2NR_M TOWN OF ELIDA | \$ | 15,990 | \$ | 1,936 | \$ | 15,870 | \$ | 1,936 |
| C2NR_S_CAP ELIDA SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | \$ | 1,223 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,223 | \$ | - |
| 2008 |  | 1,231 |  | - |  | 1,232 |  | - |
| 2009 |  | 1,291 |  | 15 |  | 1,304 |  | 14 |
| 2010 |  | 1,460 |  | 2 |  | 1,460 |  | 2 |
| 2011 |  | 1,483 |  | 2 |  | 1,483 |  | 3 |
| 2012 |  | 1,524 |  | 3 |  | 1,523 |  | 3 |
| 2013 |  | 1,696 |  | 2 |  | 1,693 |  | 2 |
| 2014 |  | 1,798 |  | 20 |  | 1,793 |  | 20 |
| 2015 |  | 1,956 |  | 88 |  | 1,928 |  | 88 |
| 2016 |  | 2,063 |  | 1,955 |  | 1,955 |  | 1,955 |
| Total C2NR_S_CAP ELIDA SCHOOLS | \$ | 15,725 | \$ | 2,087 | \$ | 15,594 | \$ | 2,087 |

See independent auditors' report.


See independent auditors' report.

## STATE OF NEW MEXICO

Roosevelt County
County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

| Agency |  | Property <br> Taxes <br> Levied |  | Collected <br> In Current Year |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cted } \\ & \text { cate } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C2NR_S_DEBT ELIDA SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | \$ | 2,487 | \$ | - | \$ | 2,487 | \$ | - |
| 2008 |  | 2,202 |  | - |  | 2,203 |  | - |
| 2009 |  | 2,250 |  | 25 |  | 2,273 |  | 25 |
| 2010 |  | 3,691 |  | 4 |  | 3,691 |  | 4 |
| 2011 |  | 3,301 |  | 6 |  | 3,300 |  | 6 |
| $2012$ |  | 2,970 |  | 5 |  | 2,968 |  | 5 |
| $2013$ |  | - |  | - |  | 仡 |  | - |
| 2014 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2015 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2016 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total C2NR_S_DEBT ELIDA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SCHOOLS | \$ | 16,901 | \$ | 40 | \$ | 16,922 | \$ | 40 |
| C2NR_S_OPP ELIDA SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | \$ | 306 | \$ | - | \$ | 305 | \$ | - |
| 2008 |  | 308 |  | - |  | 308 |  | - |
| 2009 |  | 323 |  | 3 |  | 326 |  | 4 |
| 2010 |  | 365 |  | - |  | 365 |  | - |
| 2011 |  | 371 |  | 1 |  | 371 |  | 1 |
| 2012 |  | 381 |  | 1 |  | 381 |  | 1 |
| 2013 |  | 424 |  | 1 |  | 423 |  | 1 |
| 2014 |  | 450 |  | 5 |  | 449 |  | 5 |
| 2015 |  | 428 |  | 19 |  | 422 |  | 19 |
| 2016 |  | 451 |  | 428 |  | 428 |  | 428 |
| Total C2NR_S_OPP ELIDA SCHOOLS | \$ | 3,807 | \$ | 458 | \$ | 3,778 | \$ | 459 |
| C2NR_S_TECH_DEBT ELIDA SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 2008 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2009 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2010 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2011 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2012 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2013 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2014 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2015 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2016 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total C2NR_S_TECH_DEBT ELIDA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SCHOOLS | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |

See independent auditors' report.
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# STATE OF NEW MEXICO 

Roosevelt County
County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

| Agency |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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Roosevelt County
County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule
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Roosevelt County
County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017
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# STATE OF NEW MEXICO 

Roosevelt County
County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

| Agency |  | Property Taxes Levied |  | Collected <br> In Current Year |  | Collected To Date |  | uted ent $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C39NR_S_TEC_DEBT DORA SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 2008 |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2011 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2012 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2013 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2014 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2015 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2016 |  | 633 |  | 568 |  | 568 |  | 568 |
| Total C39NR_S_TEC_DEBT DORASCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \$ | 633 | \$ | 568 | \$ | 568 | \$ | 568 |
| C39R_M VILLAGE OF DORA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | \$ | 778 | \$ | - | \$ | 778 | \$ | - |
| 2008 |  | 821 |  | - |  | 821 |  | - |
| 2009 |  | 862 |  | - |  | 862 |  | - |
| 2010 |  | 4,136 |  | - |  | 4,136 |  | - |
| 2011 |  | 888 |  | - |  | 888 |  | - |
| 2012 |  | 918 |  | - |  | 918 |  | - |
| 2013 |  | 943 |  | - |  | 943 |  | - |
| 2014 |  | 952 |  | 75 |  | 951 |  | 75 |
| 2015 |  | 1,000 |  | 93 |  | 945 |  | 93 |
| 2016 |  | 1,011 |  | 867 |  | 866 |  | 867 |
| Total C39R_M VILLAGE OF DORA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \$ | 12,309 | \$ | 1,035 | \$ | 12,108 | \$ | 1,035 |
| C39R_S_CAP DORA SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | \$ | 958 | \$ | - | \$ | 958 | \$ | - |
| 2008 |  | 972 |  | - |  | 971 |  | - |
| 2009 |  | 1,035 |  | - |  | 1,035 |  | - |
| 2010 |  | 762 |  | - |  | 762 |  | - |
| 2011 |  | 1,133 |  | - |  | 1,133 |  | - |
| 2012 |  | 1,159 |  | - |  | 1,159 |  | - |
| 2013 |  | 1,205 |  | - |  | 1,205 |  | - |
| 2014 |  | 1,178 |  | 93 |  | 1,177 |  | 93 |
| 2015 |  | 1,267 |  | 118 |  | 1,198 |  | 118 |
| 2016 |  | 1,354 |  | 1,160 |  | 1,160 |  | 1,160 |
| Total C39R_S_CAP DORA SCHOOLS | \$ | 11,023 | \$ | 1,371 | \$ | 10,758 | \$ | 1,371 |

See independent auditors' report.
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# STATE OF NEW MEXICO 

Roosevelt County
County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

| Agency |  | Property <br> Taxes <br> Levied |  | Collected <br> In Current Year |  | Collected To Date |  | ted ent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C39R_S_DEBT DORA SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | \$ | 1,284 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,284 | \$ | - |
| 2008 |  | 1,387 |  | - |  | 1,387 |  |  |
| 2009 |  | 1,284 |  | - |  | 1,284 |  |  |
| 2010 |  | 1,653 |  | - |  | 1,653 |  | - |
| 2011 |  | 2,047 |  | - |  | 2,047 |  | - |
| 2012 |  | 1,888 |  | - |  | 1,888 |  | - |
| 2013 |  | 1,211 |  | - |  | 1,211 |  | - |
| 2014 |  | 2,433 |  | 193 |  | 2,431 |  | 193 |
| $2015$ |  | 3,146 |  | 292 |  | 2,973 |  | 292 |
| 2016 |  | 4,747 |  | 4,067 |  | 4,067 |  | 4,067 |
| Total C39R_S_DEBT DORA SCHOOLS | \$ | 21,080 | \$ | 4,552 | \$ | 20,225 | \$ | 4,552 |
| C39R_S_OPP DORA SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | \$ | 208 | \$ | - | \$ | 208 | \$ | - |
| 2008 |  | 220 |  | - |  | 220 |  | - |
| 2009 |  | 245 |  | - |  | 245 |  | - |
| 2010 |  | 178 |  | - |  | 178 |  | - |
| 2011 |  | 269 |  | - |  | 269 |  | - |
| 2012 |  | 282 |  | - |  | 282 |  | - |
| 2013 |  | 294 |  | - |  | 294 |  | - |
| 2014 |  | 287 |  | 23 |  | 287 |  | 22 |
| 2015 |  | 309 |  | 28 |  | 292 |  | 29 |
| 2016 |  | 330 |  | 283 |  | 283 |  | 283 |
| Total C39R_S_OPP DORA SCHOOLS | \$ | 2,622 | \$ | 334 | \$ | 2,558 | \$ | 334 |
| C39R_S_TEC_DEBT DORA SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 2008 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2009 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2010 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2011 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2012 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2013 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2014 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2015 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2016 |  | 1,452 |  | 1,244 |  | 1,244 |  | 1,244 |
| Total C39R_S_TEC_DEBT DORA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SCHOOLS | \$ | 1,452 | \$ | 1,244 | \$ | 1,244 | \$ | 1,244 |
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## STATE OF NEW MEXICO

Roosevelt County
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## STATE OF NEW MEXICO

Roosevelt County
County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

| Agency |  |
| :---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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# STATE OF NEW MEXICO 

Roosevelt County
County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017
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# STATE OF NEW MEXICO 

Roosevelt County
County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

| Agency |  | Property <br> Taxes Levied |  | Collected In Current Year |  | Collected To Date |  | tributed <br> Current <br> Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CNTYR_OPP COUNTY RESIDENTIAL OPERATIONAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | \$ | 1,024,427 | \$ | 6 | \$ | 1,024,410 | \$ | 6 |
| 2008 |  | 1,151,715 |  | 25 |  | 1,151,662 |  | 25 |
| 2009 |  | 1,243,530 |  | 39 |  | 1,243,279 |  | 39 |
| 2010 |  | 1,311,768 |  | 156 |  | 1,311,676 |  | 155 |
| 2011 |  | 1,372,480 |  | 287 |  | 1,372,384 |  | 287 |
| 2012 |  | 1,445,399 |  | 777 |  | 1,445,209 |  | 778 |
| 2013 |  | 1,498,812 |  | 5,767 |  | 1,498,075 |  | 5,767 |
| 2014 |  | 1,561,420 |  | 19,683 |  | 1,557,094 |  | 19,683 |
| 2015 |  | 1,761,153 |  | 60,183 |  | 1,731,024 |  | 60,183 |
| 2016 |  | 1,775,593 |  | 1,692,737 |  | 1,692,737 |  | 1,692,737 |
| Total CNTYR_OPP COUNTY RESIDENTIAL OPERATIONAL | \$ | 14,146,297 | \$ | 1,779,660 | \$ | 14,027,550 | \$ | 1,779,660 |
| NM01 DFA/ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | \$ | 298,127 | \$ | - | \$ | 298,055 | \$ | - |
| 2008 |  | 314,763 |  | 4 |  | 314,685 |  | 4 |
| 2009 |  | 313,686 |  | 215 |  | 313,581 |  | 215 |
| 2010 |  | 435,761 |  | 27 |  | 435,620 |  | 27 |
| 2011 |  | 392,319 |  | 42 |  | 392,140 |  | 42 |
| 2012 |  | 413,229 |  | 137 |  | 412,985 |  | 137 |
| 2013 |  | 439,815 |  | 862 |  | 439,538 |  | 862 |
| 2014 |  | 448,956 |  | 4,348 |  | 448,246 |  | 4,348 |
| 2015 |  | 420,645 |  | 15,142 |  | 416,602 |  | 15,142 |
| 2016 |  | 509,381 |  | 487,269 |  | 487,269 |  | 487,269 |
| Total NM01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DFA/ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES | \$ | 3,986,682 | \$ | 508,046 | \$ | 3,958,721 | \$ | 508,046 |
| S1NR_S_CAP PORTALES SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | \$ | 110,303 | \$ | - | \$ | 110,302 | \$ | - |
| 2008 |  | 114,166 |  | - |  | 114,166 |  | - |
| 2009 |  | 128,899 |  | 349 |  | 128,864 |  | 349 |
| 2010 |  | 113,186 |  | 2 |  | 113,131 |  | 2 |
| 2011 |  | 116,607 |  | 3 |  | 116,544 |  | 3 |
| 2012 |  | 122,029 |  | 49 |  | 121,869 |  | 49 |
| 2013 |  | 131,443 |  | 43 |  | 131,356 |  | 42 |
| 2014 |  | 134,688 |  | 1,801 |  | 134,602 |  | 1,802 |
| 2015 |  | 145,433 |  | 8,430 |  | 145,051 |  | 8,430 |
| 2016 |  | 161,675 |  | 160,393 |  | 160,393 |  | 160,393 |
| Total S1NR_S_CAP PORTALES SCHOOLS | \$ | 1,278,429 | \$ | 171,070 | \$ | 1,276,278 | \$ | 171,070 |
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# STATE OF NEW MEXICO 

Roosevelt County
County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

| Agency |  | Property <br> Taxes <br> Levied |  | Collected <br> In Current Year |  | Collected To Date |  | buted urrent ear |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S1NR_S_DEBT PORTALES SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | \$ | 344,971 | \$ | - | \$ | 344,969 | \$ | - |
| 2008 |  | 494,283 |  | - |  | 494,280 |  |  |
| 2009 |  | 560,932 |  | 1,520 |  | 560,779 |  | 1,520 |
| 2010 |  | 542,910 |  | 11 |  | 542,643 |  | 11 |
| 2011 |  | 548,751 |  | 13 |  | 548,459 |  | 13 |
| 2012 |  | 521,874 |  | 208 |  | 521,192 |  | 208 |
| 2013 |  | 475,135 |  | 154 |  | 474,821 |  | 154 |
| $2014$ |  | 476,595 |  | 6,375 |  | 476,288 |  | 6,375 |
| 2015 |  | 534,135 |  | 30,960 |  | 532,733 |  | 30,960 |
| 2016 |  | 547,038 |  | 542,699 |  | 542,699 |  | 542,699 |
| Total S1NR_S_DEBT PORTALES SCHOOLS | \$ | 5,046,624 | \$ | 581,940 | \$ | 5,038,863 | \$ | 581,940 |
| S1NR_S_OPP PORTALES SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | \$ | 27,245 | \$ | - | \$ | 27,245 | \$ | - |
| 2008 |  | 28,542 |  | - |  | 28,541 |  | - |
| 2009 |  | 32,225 |  | 87 |  | 32,216 |  | 87 |
| 2010 |  | 28,297 |  | 1 |  | 28,283 |  | 1 |
| 2011 |  | 29,151 |  | 1 |  | 29,136 |  | 1 |
| 2012 |  | 30,538 |  | 12 |  | 30,498 |  | 12 |
| 2013 |  | 32,844 |  | 11 |  | 32,822 |  | 11 |
| 2014 |  | 33,672 |  | 450 |  | 33,651 |  | 450 |
| 2015 |  | 36,339 |  | 2,106 |  | 36,244 |  | 2,106 |
| 2016 |  | 40,376 |  | 40,056 |  | 40,056 |  | 40,056 |
| Total S1NR_S_OPP PORTALES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SCHOOLS | \$ | 319,229 | \$ | 42,724 | \$ | 318,692 | \$ | 42,724 |
| S1NR_S_TECH_DEBT PORTALES SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | \$ | 87,029 | \$ | - | \$ | 87,028 | \$ | - |
| 2008 |  | 78,261 |  | - |  | 78,260 |  | - |
| 2009 |  | 2,518 |  | 7 |  | 2,518 |  | 7 |
| 2010 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2011 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2012 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2013 |  | 30,035 |  | 10 |  | 30,015 |  | 10 |
| 2014 |  | 64,583 |  | 864 |  | 64,542 |  | 864 |
| 2015 |  | 75,927 |  | 4,401 |  | 75,728 |  | 4,401 |
| 2016 |  | 97,448 |  | 96,675 |  | 96,675 |  | 96,675 |
| Total S1NR_S_TECH_DEBT PORTALES SCHOOLS | \$ | 435,801 | \$ | 101,957 | \$ | 434,766 | \$ | 101,957 |

See independent auditors' report.

| Distributed To Date |  | Current <br> Amount <br> Uncollectible |  | To-Date Amount Uncollectible |  | County Receivable at Year End |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 344,969 | \$ | 2 | \$ | 2 | \$ | - |
|  | 494,280 |  | 3 |  | 3 |  | - |
|  | 560,779 |  | 3 |  | 153 |  | - |
|  | 542,643 |  | 3 |  | 267 |  | - |
|  | 548,459 |  | 4 |  | 292 |  | - |
|  | 521,192 |  | 261 |  | 305 |  | 378 |
|  | 474,821 |  | 3 |  | 48 |  | 267 |
|  | 476,265 |  | 3 |  | 3 |  | 304 |
|  | 532,435 |  | 3 |  | 24 |  | 1,378 |
|  | 541,587 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 4,336 |
| \$ | 5,037,430 | \$ | 287 | \$ | 1,099 | \$ | 6,663 |
| \$ | 27,245 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
|  | 28,541 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | $32,216$ |  | - |  | 9 |  | - |
|  | $28,283$ |  | - |  | 14 |  | - |
|  | 29,136 |  | - |  | 16 |  | - |
|  | 30,498 |  | 15 |  | 18 |  | 22 |
|  | 32,822 |  | 1 |  | 3 |  | 18 |
|  | 33,649 |  | 1 |  | - |  | 22 |
|  | 36,224 |  | - |  | 2 |  | 94 |
|  | 39,974 |  | - |  | - |  | 320 |
| \$ | 318,588 | \$ | 17 | \$ | 62 | \$ | 476 |
| \$ | 87,028 | \$ | 1 | \$ | 1 | \$ | - |
|  | 78,260 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | - |
|  | 2,518 |  | - |  | 1 |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 30,015 |  | - |  | 3 |  | 17 |
|  | 64,538 |  | - |  | - |  | 41 |
|  | 75,686 |  | - |  | 3 |  | 196 |
|  | 96,477 |  | - |  | - |  | 772 |
| \$ | 434,522 | \$ | 2 | \$ | 9 | \$ | 1,026 |

See independent auditors' report.

# STATE OF NEW MEXICO 

Roosevelt County
County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

| Agency |  | Property <br> Taxes <br> Levied |  | Collected In Current Year |  | Collected To Date |  | buted urrent ear |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S1R_S_CAP PORTALES SCHOOLS | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| 2007 |  | 57,434 |  | 1 |  | 57,431 |  | 1 |
| 2008 |  | 60,451 |  | 4 |  | 60,441 |  | 4 |
| 2009 |  | 62,882 |  | 3 |  | 62,848 |  | 3 |
| 2010 |  | 64,738 |  | 9 |  | 64,722 |  | 9 |
| 2011 |  | 66,659 |  | 24 |  | 66,642 |  | 24 |
| 2012 |  | 69,991 |  | 82 |  | 69,966 |  | 82 |
| 2013 |  | 73,275 |  | 343 |  | 73,218 |  | 343 |
| 2014 |  | 74,059 |  | 1,046 |  | 73,637 |  | 1,046 |
| 2015 |  | 75,782 |  | 2,678 |  | 74,239 |  | 2,678 |
| 2016 |  | 75,791 |  | 71,926 |  | 71,926 |  | 71,926 |
| Total S1R_S_CAP PORTALES SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SCHOOLS | \$ | 681,062 | \$ | 76,116 | \$ | 675,070 | \$ | 76,116 |
| S1R_S_DEBT PORTALES SCHOOLS | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| 2007 |  | 179,626 |  | 4 |  | 179,615 |  | 4 |
| 2008 |  | 261,723 |  | 16 |  | 261,680 |  | 16 |
| 2009 |  | 273,094 |  | 11 |  | 272,947 |  | 11 |
| 2010 |  | 293,136 |  | 42 |  | 293,062 |  | 42 |
| 2011 |  | 313,696 |  | 113 |  | 313,618 |  | 113 |
| 2012 |  | 294,985 |  | 344 |  | 294,881 |  | 344 |
| 2013 |  | 264,738 |  | 1,241 |  | 264,532 |  | 1,241 |
| 2014 |  | 274,837 |  | 3,882 |  | 273,270 |  | 3,882 |
| 2015 |  | 283,632 |  | 10,024 |  | 277,854 |  | 10,024 |
| 2016 |  | 266,993 |  | 253,379 |  | 253,380 |  | 253,379 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SCHOOLS | \$ | 2,706,460 | \$ | 269,056 | \$ | 2,684,839 | \$ | 269,056 |
| S1R_S_OPP PORTALES SCHOOLS | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| 2007 |  | 11,860 |  | - |  | 11,859 |  | - |
| 2008 |  | 13,360 |  | 1 |  | 13,357 |  | 1 |
| 2009 |  | 15,123 |  | 1 |  | 15,115 |  | 1 |
| 2010 |  | 15,861 |  | 2 |  | 15,857 |  | 2 |
| 2011 |  | 16,265 |  | 6 |  | 16,261 |  | 6 |
| 2012 |  | 17,086 |  | 20 |  | 17,080 |  | 20 |
| 2013 |  | 17,890 |  | 84 |  | 17,876 |  | 84 |
| 2014 |  | 18,059 |  | 255 |  | 17,955 |  | 255 |
| 2015 |  | 18,494 |  | 654 |  | 18,118 |  | 654 |
| 2016 |  | 18,501 |  | 17,557 |  | 17,558 |  | 17,557 |
| Total S1R_S_OPP PORTALES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SCHOOLS | \$ | 162,499 | \$ | 18,580 | \$ | 161,036 | \$ | 18,580 |

See independent auditors' report.

| Distributed To Date |  | Current <br> Amount Uncollectible |  | To-Date <br> Amount <br> Uncollectible |  | County Receivable at Year End |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 57,431 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 3 |
|  | 60,436 |  | - |  | - |  | 10 |
|  | 62,847 |  | - |  | - |  | 34 |
|  | 64,722 |  | - |  | - |  | 16 |
|  | 66,642 |  | - |  | 1 |  | 16 |
|  | 69,964 |  | - |  | 1 |  | 24 |
|  | 73,206 |  | - |  | - |  | 57 |
|  | 73,559 |  | - |  | - |  | 422 |
|  | 73,829 |  | - |  | 3 |  | 1,541 |
|  | 70,708 |  | - |  | - |  | 3,864 |
| \$ | 673,344 | \$ | - | \$ | 5 | \$ | 5,987 |
| \$ | 179,615 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 11 |
|  | 261,656 |  | - |  | - |  | 44 |
|  | 272,947 |  | - |  | - |  | 147 |
|  | 293,062 |  | - |  | 2 |  | 71 |
|  | 313,618 |  | - |  | 3 |  | 74 |
|  | 294,870 |  | - |  | 3 |  | 101 |
|  | 264,487 |  | - |  | - |  | 206 |
|  | 272,981 |  | - |  | - |  | 1,567 |
|  | 276,322 |  | - |  | 12 |  | 5,766 |
|  | 249,087 |  | - |  | - |  | 13,613 |
| \$ | 2,678,645 | \$ | - | \$ | 20 | \$ | 21,600 |
| \$ | 11,859 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 1 |
|  | 13,356 |  | - |  | - |  | 2 |
|  | 15,115 |  | - |  | - |  | 8 |
|  | 15,857 |  | - |  | - |  | 4 |
|  | 16,261 |  | - |  | - |  | 4 |
|  | 17,080 |  | - |  | - |  | 6 |
|  | 17,873 |  | - |  | - |  | 14 |
|  | 17,936 |  | - |  | - |  | 103 |
|  | 18,018 |  | - |  | 1 |  | 376 |
|  | 17,260 |  | - |  | - |  | 943 |
| \$ | 160,615 | \$ | - | \$ | 1 | \$ | 1,461 |

See independent auditors' report.

# STATE OF NEW MEXICO 

Roosevelt County
County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017


See independent auditors' report.


See independent auditors' report.

# STATE OF NEW MEXICO 

Roosevelt County
County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

| Agency | Property Taxes Levied |  | Collected <br> In Current Year |  | Collected To Date |  | Distributed <br> In Current <br> Year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S2NR_S_OPP ELIDA SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | \$ | 4,245 | \$ | - | \$ | 4,230 | \$ | - |
| 2008 |  | 4,201 |  | 1 |  | 4,186 |  | 1 |
| 2009 |  | 4,777 |  | 1 |  | 4,762 |  | 1 |
| 2010 |  | 4,794 |  | 1 |  | 4,782 |  | 1 |
| 2011 |  | 4,993 |  | 1 |  | 4,979 |  | 1 |
| 2012 |  | 5,290 |  | 2 |  | 5,256 |  | 2 |
| 2013 |  | 5,985 |  | 8 |  | 5,945 |  | 8 |
| 2014 |  | 5,818 |  | 4 |  | 5,812 |  | 4 |
| 2015 |  | $5,940$ |  | 187 |  | $5,934$ |  | 187 |
| $2016$ |  | 5,931 |  | 5,849 |  | 5,849 |  | 5,849 |
| Total S2NR_S_OPP ELIDA SCHOOLS | \$ | 51,974 | \$ | 6,054 | \$ | 51,735 | \$ | 6,054 |
| S2NR_S_TECH_DEBT ELIDA SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 2008 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2009 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2010 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2011 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2012 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2013 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2014 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2015 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2016 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total S2NR_S_TECH_DEBT ELIDA SCHOOLS | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| S2R_S_CAP ELIDA SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | \$ | 2,934 | \$ | - | \$ | 2,934 | \$ | - |
| 2008 |  | 3,019 |  | - |  | 3,019 |  | - |
| 2009 |  | 3,069 |  | - |  | 3,069 |  | - |
| 2010 |  | 3,007 |  | - |  | 3,007 |  | - |
| 2011 |  | 3,010 |  | - |  | 3,010 |  | - |
| 2012 |  | 3,042 |  | - |  | 3,042 |  | - |
| 2013 |  | 3,138 |  | 3 |  | 3,138 |  | 4 |
| 2014 |  | 3,335 |  | 4 |  | 3,335 |  | 3 |
| 2015 |  | 3,441 |  | 89 |  | 3,441 |  | 89 |
| 2016 |  | 3,406 |  | 3,141 |  | 3,141 |  | 3,141 |
| Total S2R_S_CAP ELIDA SCHOOLS | \$ | 31,401 | \$ | 3,237 | \$ | 31,136 | \$ | 3,237 |

See independent auditors' report.

| Distributed To Date |  | Current <br> Amount <br> Uncollectible |  |  | To-Date Amount Uncollectible |  | County Receivable at Year End |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 4,230 | \$ | - | \$ | 14 | \$ | - |
|  | 4,186 |  | - |  | 14 |  | - |
|  | 4,762 |  | - |  | 14 |  | - |
|  | 4,782 |  | - |  | 12 |  | 1 |
|  | 4,979 |  | - |  | 14 |  | 1 |
|  | 5,256 |  | - |  | 34 |  | 1 |
|  | 5,945 |  | - |  | 39 |  | 1 |
|  | 5,812 |  | - |  | - |  | 6 |
|  | 5,934 |  | - |  | - |  | 6 |
|  | 5,798 |  | - |  | - |  | 82 |
| \$ | 51,684 | \$ | - | \$ | 141 | \$ | 98 |
| \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| \$ | 2,934 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
|  | 3,019 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 3,069 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 3,007 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 3,010 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 3,042 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 3,138 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 3,335 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 3,441 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 3,032 |  | - |  | - |  | 265 |
| \$ | 31,027 | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | 265 |

See independent auditors' report.

## STATE OF NEW MEXICO

Roosevelt County
County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017


See independent auditors' report.


See independent auditors' report.

# STATE OF NEW MEXICO 

Roosevelt County
County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

| Agency |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

See independent auditors' report.


See independent auditors' report.

# STATE OF NEW MEXICO 

Roosevelt County
County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017


See independent auditors' report.


See independent auditors' report.

# STATE OF NEW MEXICO 

Roosevelt County
County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

| Agency |  | Property <br> Taxes <br> Levied |  | Collected In Current Year |  | Collected To Date |  | buted rrent ar |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S39R_S_OPP DORA SCHOOLS  <br>  2007 <br>  2008 <br> 2009  <br>  2010 <br> 2011  <br>  2012 <br>  2013 <br> 2014  <br>  2015 <br>  2016 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
|  |  | 1,397 |  | - |  | 1,397 |  | - |
|  |  | 1,462 |  | - |  | 1,462 |  |  |
|  |  | 1,545 |  | - |  | 1,545 |  |  |
|  |  | 1,548 |  | - |  | 1,548 |  | - |
|  |  | 1,558 |  | - |  | 1,558 |  | - |
|  |  | 1,681 |  | 1 |  | 1,681 |  | 1 |
|  |  | 1,689 |  | - |  | 1,689 |  | - |
|  |  | 1,713 |  | 15 |  | 1,712 |  | 15 |
|  |  | 1,788 |  | 48 |  | 1,782 |  | 48 |
|  |  | 1,780 |  | 1,748 |  | 1,748 |  | 1,748 |
|  | \$ | 16,161 | \$ | 1,812 | \$ | 16,122 | \$ | 1,812 |
| S39R_S_TCH_DEBT DORA SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 2008 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2009 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2010 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2011 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2012 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2013 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2014 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2015 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2016 |  | 7,829 |  | 7,685 |  | 7,685 |  | 7,685 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SCHOOLS | \$ | 7,829 | \$ | 7,685 | \$ | 7,685 | \$ | 7,685 |
|  |  |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
|  | \$ | 33,205 |  | - |  | 33,205 |  | - |
|  |  | 34,900 |  | - |  | 34,900 |  | - |
|  |  | 39,714 |  | - |  | 39,714 |  | - |
|  |  | 40,130 |  | - |  | 40,130 |  | - |
|  |  | 34,253 |  | - |  | 34,253 |  | - |
|  |  | 35,574 |  | - |  | 35,574 |  | - |
|  |  | 38,101 |  | 81 |  | 38,101 |  | 81 |
|  |  | 36,855 |  | 187 |  | 36,855 |  | 187 |
|  |  | 44,673 |  | 498 |  | 44,673 |  | 498 |
|  |  | 41,749 |  | 41,743 |  | 41,743 |  | 41,743 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \$ | 379,154 | \$ | 42,509 | \$ | 379,148 | \$ | 42,509 |

See independent auditors' report.

| Distributed To Date |  | Current <br> Amount <br> Uncollectible |  |  | To-Date Amount Uncollectible |  | County Receivable at Year End |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 1,397 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
|  | 1,462 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 1,545 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 1,548 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 1,558 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 1,681 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 1,689 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 1,712 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 1,782 |  | - |  | - |  | 6 |
|  | 1,731 |  | - |  | - |  | 33 |
| \$ | 16,105 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 39 |
| \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 7,613 |  | - |  | - |  | 144 |
| \$ | 7,613 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 144 |
| \$ | 33,205 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
|  | 34,900 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 39,714 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 40,130 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 34,253 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 35,574 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 38,101 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 36,855 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 44,673 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 41,743 |  | - |  | - |  | 6 |
| \$ | 379,148 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 6 |

See independent auditors' report.

# STATE OF NEW MEXICO 

Roosevelt County
County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017


See independent auditors' report.


See independent auditors' report.

# STATE OF NEW MEXICO 

Roosevelt County
County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017


See independent auditors' report.


See independent auditors' report.

## STATE OF NEW MEXICO

Roosevelt County
County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

| Agency |  | Property <br> Taxes <br> Levied |  | Collected <br> In Current Year |  | Collected To Date |  | buted rrent ar |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S3R_S_TECH_DEBT TEXICO SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 2008 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2009 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2010 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2011 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2012 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2013 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2014 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2015 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2016 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total S3R_S_TECH_DEBT TEXICO SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \$ | - | \$ | $-$ | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| S5NR_S_CAP FLOYD SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | \$ | 22,503 | \$ | - | \$ | 22,446 | \$ | - |
| 2008 |  | 22,647 |  | - |  | 22,591 |  | - |
| 2009 |  | 26,039 |  | - |  | 25,983 |  | - |
| 2010 |  | 24,926 |  | - |  | 24,870 |  |  |
| 2011 |  | 23,904 |  | - |  | 23,849 |  | - |
| 2012 |  | 24,263 |  | - |  | 24,262 |  | - |
| 2013 |  | 24,854 |  | 4 |  | 24,843 |  | 4 |
| 2014 |  | 24,236 |  | 42 |  | 24,232 |  | 42 |
| 2015 |  | 26,953 |  | 817 |  | 26,939 |  | 817 |
| 2016 |  | 27,222 |  | 26,952 |  | 26,952 |  | 26,952 |
| Total S5NR_S_CAP FLOYD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SCHOOLS | \$ | 247,547 | \$ | 27,815 | \$ | 246,967 | \$ | 27,815 |
| S5NR_S_DEBT FLOYD SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 2008 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2009 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2010 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2011 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2012 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2013 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2014 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2015 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2016 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total S5NR_S_DEBT FLOYD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SCHOOLS | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |

See independent auditors' report.


See independent auditors' report.

# STATE OF NEW MEXICO 

Roosevelt County
County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

| Agency |  | Property Taxes Levied |  | Collected <br> In Current Year |  | Collected To Date |  | ted ent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S5NR_S_OPP FLOYD SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | \$ | 5,623 | \$ | - | \$ | 5,609 | \$ | - |
| 2008 |  | 5,662 |  | - |  | 5,648 |  |  |
| 2009 |  | 6,210 |  | - |  | 6,197 |  | - |
| 2010 |  | 6,219 |  | - |  | 6,205 |  | - |
| 2011 |  | 5,976 |  | - |  | 5,962 |  | - |
| 2012 |  | 6,066 |  | - |  | 6,066 |  | - |
| 2013 |  | 6,214 |  | 1 |  | 6,211 |  | 1 |
| 2014 |  | 6,059 |  | 10 |  | 6,058 |  | 11 |
| 2015 |  | 6,266 |  | 190 |  | 6,263 |  | 190 |
| 2016 |  | 6,330 |  | 6,268 |  | 6,267 |  | 6,267 |
| Total S5NR_S_OPP FLOYD SCHOOLS | \$ | 60,625 | \$ | 6,469 | \$ | 60,486 | \$ | 6,469 |
| S5NR_S_TECH_DEBT FLOYD SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 2008 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2009 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2010 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2011 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2012 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2013 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2014 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2015 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2016 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total S5NR_S_TECH_DEBT FLOYD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SCHOOLS | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| S5R_S_CAP FLOYD SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | \$ | 5,028 | \$ | - | \$ | 5,027 | \$ | - |
| 2008 |  | 5,149 |  | - |  | 5,149 |  | - |
| 2009 |  | 5,379 |  | - |  | 5,379 |  | - |
| 2010 |  | 5,496 |  | - |  | 5,496 |  | - |
| 2011 |  | 5,684 |  | - |  | 5,684 |  | - |
| 2012 |  | 5,791 |  | - |  | 5,791 |  | - |
| 2013 |  | 5,814 |  | 4 |  | 5,814 |  | 4 |
| 2014 |  | 5,836 |  | 103 |  | 5,836 |  | 103 |
| 2015 |  | 6,426 |  | 179 |  | 6,296 |  | 179 |
| 2016 |  | 6,491 |  | 6,204 |  | 6,204 |  | 6,204 |
| Total S5R_S_CAP FLOYD SCH00LS | \$ | 57,094 | \$ | 6,490 | \$ | 56,676 | \$ | 6,490 |
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## STATE OF NEW MEXICO

Roosevelt County
County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017
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# STATE OF NEW MEXICO 

Roosevelt County
County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017


See independent auditors' report.


See independent auditors' report.

## STATE OF NEW MEXICO

Roosevelt County
County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

| Agency |  | Property Taxes Levied |  | Collected <br> In Current Year |  | Collected To Date |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S74NR_S_TCH_DEBT HOUSE SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 2008 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| 2009 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| 2010 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2011 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2012 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2013 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2014 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2015 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| 2016 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total S74NR_S_TCH_DEBT HOUSE SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| S74R_S_CAP HOUSE SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | \$ | 402 | \$ | - | \$ | 402 | \$ | - |
| 2008 |  | 448 |  | - |  | 448 |  | - |
| 2009 |  | 437 |  | - |  | 437 |  | - |
| 2010 |  | 440 |  | - |  | 440 |  | - |
| 2011 |  | 460 |  | - |  | 460 |  | - |
| 2012 |  | 472 |  | - |  | 472 |  | - |
| 2013 |  | 476 |  | - |  | 476 |  | - |
| 2014 |  | 472 |  | - |  | 472 |  | - |
| 2015 |  | 475 |  | 62 |  | 475 |  | 62 |
| 2016 |  | 524 |  | 442 |  | 442 |  | 442 |
| Total S74R_S_CAP HOUSE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SCHOOLS | \$ | 4,606 | \$ | 504 | \$ | 4,524 | \$ | 504 |
| S74R_S_DEBT HOUSE SCHOOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 2008 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 2009 |  | 1,449 |  | - |  | 1,448 |  | - |
| 2010 |  | 1,072 |  | - |  | 1,072 |  | - |
| 2011 |  | 940 |  | - |  | 940 |  | - |
| 2012 |  | 1,312 |  | - |  | 1,312 |  | - |
| 2013 |  | 963 |  | - |  | 963 |  | - |
| 2014 |  | 944 |  | , |  | 945 |  | - |
| 2015 |  | 924 |  | 121 |  | 924 |  | 121 |
| 2016 |  | 932 |  | 787 |  | 787 |  | 787 |
| Total S74R_S_DEBT HOUSE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SCHOOLS | \$ | 8,536 | \$ | 908 | \$ | 8,391 | \$ | 908 |
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## STATE OF NEW MEXICO

Roosevelt County
County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017
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## STATE OF NEW MEXICO

Roosevelt County
County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

| Agency | Property <br> Taxes <br> Levied |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
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Roosevelt County
County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017
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## STATE OF NEW MEXICO

Roosevelt County
County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017
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Roosevelt County
Schedule of Changes in Fiduciary Assets and Liabilities - Agency Funds
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

|  | Balance July 1, 2016 |  | Additions |  | Deductions |  | BalanceJune 30, 2017 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash | \$ | 19,157 | \$ | 4,570,493 | \$ | 4,557,483 | \$ | 32,167 |
| Property taxes receivable |  | 337,918 |  | 4,561,713 |  | 4,549,286 |  | 350,345 |
| Total assets | \$ | 357,075 | \$ | 9,132,206 | \$ | 9,106,769 | \$ | 382,512 |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits held in trust | \$ | 19,157 | \$ | 4,570,493 | \$ | 4,557,483 | \$ | 32,167 |
| Due to other taxing entities |  | 337,918 |  | 4,561,713 |  | 4,549,286 |  | 350,345 |
| Total liabilities | \$ | 357,075 | \$ | 9,132,206 | \$ | 9,106,769 | \$ | 382,512 |
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## COMPLIANCE SECTION

# REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS 

## INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Timothy Keller
New Mexico State Auditor
Roosevelt County Commissioners
Roosevelt County
Portales, New Mexico

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, the aggregate remaining fund information, and the budgetary comparisons of the General Fund and major special revenue fund of Roosevelt County (the County) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 30, 2017.

## Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, of the financial statements, we considered the County's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the County's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

## Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the County's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests did not disclose any instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

We noted a matter that is required to be reported per section 12-6-5 NMSA 1978 that we have described in the accompanying schedule of Section 12-6-5 NMSA 1978 Findings as item NM 2017-001.

## County's Responses to Finding

The County's responses to the finding identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses. The County's responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

## Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the County's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

## Re CPA + Consultants NLP

RPC CPAs + Consultants, LLP
Albuquerque, New Mexico
November 30, 2017

Roosevelt County

## Section I - Summary of Auditors' Results:

## Financial Statements:

1. Type of auditors' report issued Unmodified
2. Internal control over financial reporting:
a. Material weaknesses identified? None Noted
b. Significant deficiencies identified not considered to be material weaknesses? None Noted
c. Noncompliance material to the financial statements noted? None Noted

## Section II - Financial Statement Findings

None

## Section III - Section 12-6-5 NMSA Findings

NM 2017-001 - Cash Appropriations in Excess of Available Cash Balances - Other noncompliance
Condition: The County maintained a deficit budget in excess of available cash balances in the following fund:

| Special Revenue Funds | Designated <br> Cash |  | Beginning Year <br> Cash \& AR <br> Available | Cash Appropriation <br> in Excess of |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Available Cash |  |  |  |  |

Criteria: Section 2.2.2.10. (P) (1), NMAC, requires all County funds, with the exception of agency funds, to be budgeted by the local governing body and submitted to the Department of Finance and Administration for approval. Cash balances rebudgeted to make up for deficit budgeted revenues that do not cover the budgeted expenditures, cannot exceed the actual cash balance available at the end of the prior year.

Effect: The County will have to supplement the budget deficit with other funds, which may lead to financial difficulties and deplete the budget in other funds.

Cause: County management budgeted a deficit in excess of prior year available cash per the audited financial statements, and did not verify that budgeted cash equaled the cash per the audited financial statements.

Auditors' Recommendations: The County should adjust budget revenues to match actuals, ensure cash per the budget statements tie to the audited financial statements and avoid budgeting deficits in excess of available cash balances.

Agency's Response: The County Manager and Finance Specialist monitor comprehensive fund budget reports monthly at a minimum. After the creation of the initial fiscal year budget, monitoring focuses on actual expenses to ensure the budgeted revenue and expenditure parameters are sustained. In this instance, the budget was created to procure a firetruck in FY17 the County would take delivery of in FY18. The actual expenditures for this fund were only 16 percent of the budgeted amount, however, the budget exceeded the given parameters. The County Manager and Finance Specialist will review the FY2018 Budget and FY17 Balance Sheet to ensure this finding has not been replicated in FY18. These two individuals will also ensure necessary budget adjustments continue to be made to revenues and expenditures and will focus on this quarterly. The initial assessment of the FY18 Budget and FY17 Balance Sheet will be completed by the Financial Specialist and reviewed by the County Manager no later than Feb. 1, 2018, following any necessary FY17 entries required by the auditors.

## Section IV - Prior Year Audit Findings

FS 2015-001- Deficiencies in Internal Control Structure Design, Operation, and Oversight - Resolved
FS 2015-002- Inadequate Documentation for Travel and Per Diem Expenditures - Resolved
FS 2015-012- Untimely Preparation and Submission of PERA Reports - Resolved
FS 2016-001- Accounts Receivable Cutoff - Resolved
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Roosevelt County
Other Disclosures
June 30, 2017

## Exit Conference

An exit conference was held on November 30, 2017. In attendance were the following:

## Representing Roosevelt County:

Amber Hamilton, County Manager
Paul Grider, County Commissioner Chair
Layle Sanchez, County Treasurer
DeAun Searl, County Clerk
Stevin Floyd, Chief Deputy Assessor
Debra Olds, Human Resources Administrator
Toni Williamson, Finance Specialist
Malin Parker, Sheriff

## Representing RPC CPAs + Consultants, LLP:

Danny Martinez, CPA, Partner
Jack Kirkland, CPA, Partner
Zoë Vergas, CPA, Audit Senior

## Auditor Prepared Financial Statements

RPC CPAs + Consultants, LLP prepared the GAAP-basis financial statements and footnotes of Roosevelt County from the original books and records provided to them by the management of the County. The responsibility for the financial statements remains with the County.

