

State of New Mexico Roosevelt County

Annual Financial Report For the Year Ended June 30, 2017



INTRODUCTORY SECTION

Roosevelt County Annual Financial Report June 30, 2017 Table of Contents

INTRODUCTORY SECTION	Exhibit	Page
Table of Contents		4-5
Official Roster		7
FINANCIAL SECTION		
Independent Auditors' Report		10-12
BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS		
Government-wide Financial Statements:		
Statement of Net Position	A-1	14-15
Statement of Activities	A-2	17
Fund Financial Statements:		
Balance Sheet - Governmental Funds	B-1	18-19
Reconciliation of the Balance Sheet to the Statement of Net Position		21
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund		
Balances - Governmental Funds	B-2	22-23
Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities		25
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget (Non-GAAP Budgetary Basis) and Actual:		
General Fund	C-1	26
Road Special Revenue Fund	C-2	27
Statement of Fiduciary Assets and Liabilities - Agency Funds	D-1	29
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS		31-68
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	Schedule	
Schedule of the County's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability	I	70-71
Schedule of the County's Contributions	II	72-73
Notes to Required Supplementary Information		74
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	Statement	
Nonmajor Governmental Fund Descriptions		78-79
Combining and Individual Fund Statements:		
Combining Balance Sheet - Nonmajor Governmental Funds	A-1	80-83
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund		
Balances - Nonmajor Governmental Funds	A-2	84-87

Roosevelt County Annual Financial Report June 30, 2017 Table of Contents

SUPPORTING SCHEDULES	Schedule	Page
Schedule of Collateral Pledged by Depository For Public Funds	III	91
Schedule of Deposit and Investment Accounts	IV	92
Reconciliation of Property Tax Rolls	V	93
County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule	VI	94-173
Schedule of Changes in Fiduciary Assets and Liabilities - Agency Funds	VII	175
COMPLIANCE SECTION		
Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance		
and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements		450 450
Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards		178-179
Schedule of Findings and Responses	VIII	180-181
OTHER DISCLOSURES		183

Roosevelt County Official Roster June 30, 2017

<u>Name</u>	Elected Officials	<u>Title</u>
Paul Grider		County Commissioner - Chairman
Gene Creighton		County Commissioner - Vice Chairman
Dennis Lopez		County Commissioner
Matthew Hunton		County Commissioner
Shane Lee		County Commissioner
DeAun Searl		County Clerk
Layle Sanchez		County Treasurer
George Beggs		County Assessor
Malin Parker		County Sheriff
Michelle Bargas		County Probate Judge

Administrative Officials

Amber Hamilton County Manager

Mickie Algire County Deputy Treasurer

Toni Williamson Finance Specialist

Debra Olds Human Resources Administrator

FINANCIAL SECTION



Alamogordo | Albuquerque | Carlsbad | Clovis | El Paso | Hobbs | Lubbock | Roswell | Santa Fe

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Timothy Keller New Mexico State Auditor Roosevelt County Commissioners Roosevelt County Portales, New Mexico

Report on Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, the aggregate remaining fund information, and the budgetary comparisons for the General Fund and major special revenue fund of Roosevelt County, New Mexico (the "County"), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatements, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditors' judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the County's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Roosevelt County, as of June 30, 2017, and the respective changes in financial position thereof and the respective budgetary comparisons for the General Fund and major special revenue fund for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

Management has omitted the Management's Discussion and Analysis that accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require to be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such missing information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. Our opinion on the basic financial statements is not affected by this missing information.

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require Schedules I and II, and the Notes to the Required Supplementary Information on pages 70 through 74 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements. The introductory section, combining and individual nonmajor fund financial statements, and Supporting Schedules III through VII required by 2.2.2 NMAC are presented for the purpose of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The combining and individual nonmajor fund financial statements and Supporting Schedules III through VII required by 2.2.2 NMAC are the responsibility of management and were derived from and relate directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the combining and individual nonmajor fund financial statements and Supporting Schedules III through VII required by 2.2.2 NMAC are fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

The introductory section has not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements, and accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on it.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated November 30, 2017 on our consideration of the County's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the County's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

RPC CPAs + Consultants, LLP Albuquerque, New Mexico

RPC CPAS + Consultants NLP

November 30, 2017

BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Roosevelt County Statement of Net Position June 30, 2017

	Governmental Activities
Assets	
Current assets	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 4,024,901
Investments	1,875,019
Receivables:	
Property taxes	288,544
Other taxes	728,526
Other receivables	24,915
Total current assets	6,941,905
Noncurrent assets	
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	521,593
Capital assets	48,208,423
Less: accumulated depreciation	(35,709,823)
Total noncurrent assets	13,020,193
Total assets	19,962,098
Deferred outflows	
Changes in proportion	97,143
Changes of assumption	364,694
Difference between expected and actual experience	347,963
Employer contributions subsequent to the measurement date	375,608
Net difference between projected and actual investment earnings	1,035,457
Total deferred outflows	2,220,865
Total assets and deferred outflows	\$ 22,182,963

	Governmental Activities
Liabilities	
Current liabilities	
Accounts payable	\$ 195,786
Accrued payroll	168,337
Accrued interest	42,403
Accrued compensated absences	178,117
Current portion of loans payable	517,159_
Total current liabilities	1,101,802
Noncurrent liabilities	
Loans payable	8,813,848
Net pension liability	5,935,418
Total noncurrent liabilities	14,749,266
Total liabilities	15,851,068
Deferred inflows	
Changes in proportion	414,789
Changes of assumption	40,156
Difference between expected and actual experience	36,533
Total deferred inflows	491,478
Net position	
Net investment in capital assets	3,167,593
Restricted for:	
Debt service	1,006,644
Other purposes - special revenue	2,778,602
Unrestricted	(1,112,422)
Total net position	5,840,417
Total liabilities, deferred inflows, and net position	\$ 22,182,963

Roosevelt County Statement of Activities For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

		Program Revenues						
Functions/Programs	Expenses		narges for Services	Gı	perating ants and tributions	Capital rants and atributions	R	et (Expense) evenue and anges in Net Position
Primary government								
General government	\$ 2,848,023	\$	254,488	\$	548,145	\$ 57,635	\$	(1,987,755)
Public safety	4,462,943		285,473		324,456	-		(3,853,014)
Public works	1,098,288		-		-	430,014		(668,274)
Culture and recreation	168,974		-		-	-		(168,974)
Health and welfare	1,475,907		-		907	-		(1,475,000)
Interest on long-term debt	231,021					 		(231,021)
Total governmental activities	\$10,285,156	\$	539,961	\$	873,508	\$ 487,649		(8,384,038)
	General revent Taxes: Property Gross receipts Gasoline and to Other Payment in lieu Interest income Miscellaneous in Gain on sale of o	s moto of ta ncom	xes ne					4,102,441 3,478,537 783,705 321,520 469,284 5,319 297,698 4,772
	Total general re	venu	ies					9,463,276
	Change in net p	ositio	on					1,079,238
	Net position - bo	eginr	ning					4,761,179
	Net position - en	ding					\$	5,840,417

Roosevelt County Balance Sheet Governmental Funds June 30, 2017

	<u>Ge</u>	neral Fund	R	oad Fund	Bond I	tion Center Debt Service Fund
Assets Cash and cash equivalents Investments	\$	2,211,141 1,695,080	\$	315,802	\$	19,095 -
Current receivables: Property taxes Other taxes Other		288,544 416,447 23,285		72,839 317		- - -
Total assets	\$	4,634,497	\$	388,958	\$	19,095
Liabilities, deferred inflows of resources, and fund balances						
Liabilities Accounts payable Accrued payroll	\$	20,349 138,767	\$	1,410 28,055	\$	-
Total liabilities		159,116		29,465		-
Deferred inflows of resources Property taxes Total deferred inflows of resources		249,077 249,077		<u> </u>		<u>-</u>
Fund balances Spendable Restricted for: General county operations Maintenance of roads EMS and fire departments Public safety Health and welfare Debt service expenditures Minimum fund balance Committed to: Sheriff's evidence		- - - - - 1,478,852		- 219,811 - - - 139,682		- - - - 19,095 -
EMS and fire departments Unassigned		- 2,747,452		-		-
Total fund balances		4,226,304		359,493		19,095
Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources, and fund balances	\$	4,634,497	\$	388,958	\$	19,095

	lonmajor vernmental Funds		Total
\$	2,000,456	\$	4,546,494
Ψ	179,939	Ψ	1,875,019
	_,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		_, _ , _ , ,
	-		288,544
	239,240		728,526
	1,313		24,915
\$	2,420,948	\$	7,463,498
\$	174,027	\$	195,786
	1,515		168,337
	175,542		364,123
			249,077
	-		249,077
	200 222		200 222
	380,322		380,322 219,811
	467,573		467,573
	114,028		114,028
	68,659		68,659
	1,031,580		1,050,675
	-		1,618,534
	7,581		7,581
	175,663		175,663
			2,747,452
	2,245,406		6,850,298
\$	2,420,948	\$	7,463,498

Exhibit B-1 Page 2 of 2

Roosevelt County

Governmental Funds

Reconciliation of the Balance Sheet to the Statement of Net Position June 30, 2017

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the Statement of Net Position are different because:

Fund balances - total governmental funds	\$ 6,850,298
Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and, therefore, are not reported in the funds	12,498,600
Delinquent property taxes not collected within sixty days after year end are not considered "available" revenues and are considered to be unavailable revenue in the fund financial statements, but are considered revenue in the Statement of Activities	249,077
Deferred outflows of resources related to changes in proportion	97,143
Deferred outflows of resources related to change of assumptions	364,694
Deferred outflows of resources related to difference between expected and	
actual experience	347,963
Deferred outflows of resources related to employer contributions subsequent to	
the measurement date	375,608
Deferred outflows of resources related to net difference between actual	
and projected earnings on investments	1,035,457
Deferred inflows of resources related to changes in proportion	(414,789)
Deferred inflows of resources related to change of assumptions	(40,156)
Deferred inflows of resources related to difference between expected and	
actual experience	(36,533)
Certain liabilities are not due and payable in the current period and, therefore, are not reported in the funds:	
Accrued interest payable	(42,403)
Accrued compensated absences	(178,117)
Bonds and loans payable	(9,331,007)
Net pension liability	(5,935,418)
Net position - governmental activities	\$ 5,840,417

Roosevelt County

Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances Governmental Funds

For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

	General Fund	Road Fund	Detention Center Bond Debt Service Fund
Revenues			
Taxes:			
Property	\$ 4,087,294	\$ -	\$ -
Gross receipts	1,889,512	-	251,633
Gasoline and motor vehicle taxes	179,155	604,550	-
Other	321,520	-	-
Intergovernmental:			
State operating grants	548,145	-	-
State capital grants	57,635	629,134	-
Payment in lieu of taxes	469,284	=	-
Licenses and fees	2,662	-	-
Charges for services	283,936	-	-
Investment income (loss) Miscellaneous	(1,474)	-	466
	32,464	317	
Total revenue	7,870,133	1,234,001	252,099
Expenditures			
Current:			
General government	2,008,530	-	-
Public safety	3,702,470	-	-
Public works	-	918,385	-
Culture and recreation	82,207	-	-
Health and welfare	400,000	-	-
Capital outlay	122,200	757,804	-
Debt service:			2245 (10
Principal Interest	-	-	2,245,619
Total expenditures	5,915,407	1,676,189	35,847 2,281,466
	3,713,407	1,070,107	2,201,400
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over	1.054.726	(442.100)	(2,020,277)
expenditures	1,954,726	(442,188)	(2,029,367)
Other financing sources (uses)			
Loan proceeds	-	-	2,114,395
Loan issuance costs	-	-	(65,933)
Proceeds from sale of equipment	2,037	2,735	-
Transfers in	255,555	723,263	(055 555)
Transfers (out)	(1,025,610)		(255,555)
Total other financing sources (uses)	(768,018)	725,998	1,792,907
Net change in fund balances	1,186,708	283,810	(236,460)
Fund balances - beginning of year	3,039,596	75,683	255,555
Fund balances - end of year	\$ 4,226,304	\$ 359,493	\$ 19,095

Nonmajor vernmental Funds	Total
\$ -	\$ 4,087,294
1,337,392	3,478,537
-	783,705
-	321,520
325,363	873,508
-	686,769
-	469,284
-	2,662
253,363	537,299
6,327	5,319
264,917	297,698
2,187,362	11,543,595
493,208	2,501,738
309,734	4,012,204
-	918,385
-	82,207
1,474,869	1,474,869
342,856	1,222,860
308,110	2,553,729
249,839	 285,686
 3,178,616	13,051,678
 (991,254)	 (1,508,083)
_	2,114,395
_	(65,933)
_	4,772
302,347	1,281,165
-	(1,281,165)
302,347	2,053,234
(688,907)	545,151
 2,934,313	 6,305,147
\$ 2,245,406	\$ 6,850,298

Roosevelt County

Exhibit B-2 Page 2 of 2

1,079,238

Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities

For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the Statement of Activities are different because:

Change in net position of governmental activities

545,151
1,222,860 (667,833)
15,147 (199,120)
375,608 (654,612)
(16,115) 5,947 12,871 2,114,395) 2,030,000 523,729
2,

Variances

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

Roosevelt County General Fund

Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance Budget (Non-GAAP Budgetary Basis) and Actual For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

						ariances Savorable
	Budgeted Amounts			(Uı	nfavorable)	
	(Original	Final	Actual	Fin	al to Actual
Revenues						
Taxes:						
Property	\$	3,900,000	\$ 3,900,000	\$ 4,089,456	\$	189,456
Gross receipts		2,100,000	1,837,955	1,857,426		19,471
Gasoline and motor vehicle		200,000	200,000	187,305		(12,695)
Other		314,500	314,500	304,203		(10,297)
Intergovernmental income:		(4 (0 (0	E 4 E 000	E4044E		450
State operating grants		616,068	547,992	548,145		153
State capital grants		60,000	60,000	57,635		(2,365)
Payment in lieu of taxes Local sources		466,000	466,000	469,284		3,284
Charges for services		246,400	246,400	305,121		58,721
Licenses and fees		1,200	1,200	2,662		1,462
Investment income		-	-	(1,474)		(1,474)
Miscellaneous		8,650	8,650	11,974		3,324
Total revenues		7,912,818	 7,582,697	 7,831,737		249,040
Expenditures						
Current:						
General government		2,171,903	2,223,082	2,013,449		209,633
Public safety		4,035,325	3,508,690	3,664,909		(156,219)
Public works		-	-	-		-
Culture and recreation		87,800	87,800	81,252		6,548
Health and welfare		-	-	-		-
Capital outlay		61,200	156,700	138,855		17,845
Debt service:						
Principal		-	-	-		-
Interest		-	 -	 -		-
Total expenditures		6,356,228	 5,976,272	 5,898,465		77,807
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over						
expenditures		1,556,590	 1,606,425	 1,933,272		326,847
Other financing sources (uses)						
Designated cash (budgeted increase in cash)		(488,366)	(836,370)	-		836,370
Proceeds from sale of equipment		-	-	2,037		2,037
Transfers in		-	255,555	255,555		-
Transfers (out)		(1,068,224)	 (1,025,610)	 (1,025,610)		- 020 407
Total other financing sources (uses)		(1,556,590)	 (1,606,425)	 (768,018)	-	838,407
Net change in fund balance		-	-	1,165,254		1,165,254
Fund balance - beginning of year			 	 2,740,968		2,740,968
Fund balance - end of year	\$	-	\$ 	\$ 3,906,221	\$	3,906,221
Net change in fund balance (non-GAAP budgeta	ry ba	asis)			\$	1,165,254
Adjustments to revenues for taxes, fees and cha	rges	for service				38,396
Adjustments to expenditures for operating expe	enses	;				(16,942)
Net change in fund balance (GAAP)					\$	1,186,708

Variances

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

Roosevelt County

Road Special Revenue Fund

Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance Budget (Non-GAAP Budgetary Basis) and Actual For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

				Favorable	
		Amounts	A 1	(Unfavorable)	
Dougnuss	Original	Final	Actual	Final to Actual	
Revenues					
Taxes:	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
Property Gross receipts	.	Ф -	-	.	
Gasoline and motor vehicle	560,000	580,000	599,869	19,869	
Other	300,000	300,000	399,009	19,009	
Intergovernmental income:	-	-	-	-	
Federal operating grants	_	_	_	_	
State operating grants	-	_	-	_	
State capital grants	782,574	629,134	415,248	(213,886)	
Payment in lieu of taxes	-	, -	-	-	
Charges for services	-	-	-	-	
Investment income	-	-	-	-	
Miscellaneous		94,477		(94,477)	
Total revenues	1,342,574	1,303,611	1,015,117	(288,494)	
Expenditures					
Current:					
General government	-	-	-	-	
Public safety	-	-	-	-	
Public works	1,249,263	1,329,629	1,100,262	229,367	
Culture and recreation	-	-	-	-	
Health and welfare	-	-	-	-	
Capital outlay	605,574	588,134	589,003	(869)	
Debt service:					
Principal Interest	-	-	-	-	
Total expenditures	1,854,837	1,917,763	1,689,265	228,498	
	1,054,057	1,717,703	1,007,203	220,470	
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over	(512.262)	((14.152)	((74 140)	(50,006)	
expenditures	(512,263)	(614,152)	(674,148)	(59,996)	
Other financing sources (uses)					
Designated cash (budgeted increase in cash)	-	(109,111)	-	109,111	
Proceeds from sale of equipment	- 512.262	722.262	2,735	2,735	
Transfers in	512,263	723,263	723,263	-	
Transfers (out) Total other financing sources (uses)	512,263	614,152	725,998	111,846	
Net change in fund balance	-	<u> </u>	51,850	51,850	
Fund balance - beginning of year	-	-	263,952	263,952	
Fund balance - end of year	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 315,802	\$ 315,802	
Net change in fund balance (non-GAAP budgeta				\$ 51,850	
Adjustments to revenues for taxes and charges	-			218,884	
Adjustments to expenditures for maintenance a		enses		13,076	
Net change in fund balance (GAAP)				\$ 283,810	

Roosevelt County Statement of Fiduciary Assets and Liabilities Agency Funds June 30, 2017

Assets	
Cash	\$ 32,167
Property taxes receivable	350,345
Total assets	\$ 382,512
Liabilities	
Deposits held in trust	\$ 32,167
Due to other taxing entities	 350,345
Total liabilities	\$ 382,512

Roosevelt County
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2017

NOTE 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Roosevelt County (the "County") is a political sub-division of the State of New Mexico established under the provisions of Section 22-22-1 of NMSA, 1978 compilation and regulated by the constitution of the State of New Mexico. The County operates under a Board of County Commissions-manager form of government and provides the following services as authorized by public law: public safety (sheriff, fire, emergency medical, etc.), roads, health and social services, recreation, sanitation, planning and zoning, property assessment, tax collection and general administrative services.

Roosevelt County is a body politic and corporate under the name and form of government selected by its qualified electors. The County may:

- 1. Sue or be sued;
- 2. Enter into contracts and leases;
- 3. Acquire and hold property, both real and personal;
- 4. Have common seal, which may be altered at pleasure:
- 5. Exercise such other privileges that are incident to corporations of like character or degree that are not inconsistent with the laws of New Mexico.
- 6. Protect generally the property of its county and its inhabitants;
- 7. Preserve peace and order within the county; and
- 8. Establish rates for revenue-producing projects, including amounts which the governing body determines to be reasonable in the operation of similar facilities.

This summary of significant accounting policies of Roosevelt County is presented to assist in the understanding of Roosevelt County's financial statements. The financial statements and notes are the representation of Roosevelt County's management who is responsible for their integrity and objectivity. The financial statements of the County have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as applied to governmental entities.

During the year ended June 30, 2017, the County adopted GASB Statements No. 73, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions and Related Assets that are not within the Scope of GASB Statement 68, and Amendments to Certain Provisions of GASB Statements 67 and 68 (partial), No. 74, Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other Than Pension Plans, No. 77, Tax Abatement Disclosures, No. 78, Pensions Provided through Certain Multiple-Employer Defined Benefit Pension Plans, No. 80, Blending Requirements for Certain Component Units – an amendment of GASB Statement No. 14, and No. 82, Pension Issues – an amendment of GASB Statements No. 67, No. 68, and No. 73. These six Statements are required to be implemented as of June 30, 2017, if applicable.

GASB Statement No. 73 establishes accounting and financial reporting standards for defined benefit pensions and defined contribution pensions that are not provided to employees of state and local government employers and are not within the scope of Statement 68. A portion of this pronouncement was effective and was implemented for the June 30, 2016 year end, and a portion is effective for June 30, 2017 year end. Effective for June 30, 2017 are the provisions of the statement that address accounting and financial reporting by employers and governmental nonemployer contributing entities for pensions that are not within the scope of Statement 68. This does not have a material effect on the financial statements of the County, as its pension plan is within the scope of Statement 68.

Roosevelt County
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2017

NOTE 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

The objective of GASB Statement No. 74 is to improve the usefulness of information about postemployment benefits other than pensions (other postemployment benefits or OPEB) included in the general purpose external financial reports of state and local government OPEB plans for making decisions and assessing accountability. This Statement results from a comprehensive review of the effectiveness of existing standards of accounting and financial reporting for all postemployment benefits (pensions and OPEB) with regard to providing decision-useful information, supporting assessments of accountability and interperiod equity, and creating additional transparency. This Statement does not affect the County's financial statements directly, however, the effects on the County's OPEB plan, administered through the New Mexico Retiree Health Care Authority, will be seen in future periods.

GASB Statement No. 77 is intended to improve the usefulness of financial statements prepared by state and local governments – which are intended, among other things, to assist users of financial statements in assessing (1) whether a government's current-year revenues were sufficient to pay for current-year services (interperiod equity), (2) whether a government complied with finance-related legal and contractual obligations, (3) where a government's financial resources came from and how it uses them, and (4) a government's financial position and economic condition and how they have changed after time – by including information about certain limitations on a government's ability to raise resources. This includes limitations on revenue-raising capacity resulting from government programs that use tax abatements to induce behavior by individuals and entities that is beneficial to the government or its citizens – such as the encouragement of economic development. For financial reporting purposes, this Statement defines a tax abatement as resulting from an agreement between a government and an individual or entity in which the government promises to forgo tax revenues and the individual or entity promises to subsequently take a specific action that contributes to economic development or otherwise benefits the government or its citizens.

These tax abatements may affect the financial position of the government and its results of operations, including its ability to raise resources in the future. Statement No. 77 requires disclosure of tax abatement information about (1) a reporting government's own tax abatement agreements and (2) those that are entered into by other governments that reduce the reporting government's tax revenues.

This Statement requires governments that enter into tax abatement agreements to disclose the following information about the agreements:

- Brief descriptive information, such as the tax being abated, the authority under which tax
 abatements are provided, eligibility criteria, the mechanism by which taxes are abated,
 provisions for recapturing abated taxes, and the types of commitments made by tax abatement
 recipients;
- The gross dollar amount of taxes abated during the period;
- Commitments made by a government, other than to abate taxes, as part of a tax abatement agreement.

For tax abatement agreements entered into by other governments, the following should be disclosed:

- The names of the governments that entered into the agreements;
- The specific taxes being abated; and
- The gross dollar amount of taxes abated during the period.

Roosevelt County
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2017

NOTE 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

The objective of GASB Statement No. 78 is to address a practice issue regarding the scope and applicability of Statement No. 68, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions*. This issue is associated with pensions provided through certain multiple-employer defined benefit pension plans and to state and local governmental employers whose employees are provided with such pensions. This Statement amends the scope and applicability of Statement 68 to exclude certain pensions provided to employees of state or local governmental employers. The County's pension plan does not meet the criteria for exclusion.

The objective of GASB Statement No. 80 is to improve financial reporting by clarifying the financial statement presentation requirements for certain component units. This Statement amends the blending requirements established in paragraph 53 of Statement No. 14, *The Financial Reporting Entity, as amended.* This Statement amends the blending requirements for the financial statement presentation of component units of all state and local governments. The additional criterion requires blending of a component unit incorporated as a not-for-profit corporation in which the primary government is the sole corporate member. The additional criterion does not apply to component units included in the financial reporting entity pursuant to the provisions of Statement No. 39, *Determining Whether Certain Organizations Are Component Units.*

GASB Statement No. 82 clarified and adjusted several items from GASB 67 and 68, including the definition of covered payroll, the presentation of payroll-related measures in required supplementary information, the selection of assumptions and the treatment of deviations from the guidance in an Actuarial Standard of Practice for financial reporting purposes, and the classification of payments made by employers to satisfy employee contribution requirements.

A. Financial Reporting Entity

In evaluating how to define the County for financial reporting purposes, management has considered all potential component units. The decision to include any potential component units in the financial reporting entity was made by applying the criteria set forth in GASB Statement No. 14, as amended by GASB Statement No. 39, GASB Statement No. 61, and GASB Statement No. 80. Blended component units, though legally separate entities, are, in substance, part of the government's operations. Each discretely presented component unit is reported in a separate column in the government-wide financial statements to emphasize that it is legally separate from the government.

The basic, but not the only, criterion for including a potential component unit within the reporting entity is the governing body's ability to exercise oversight responsibility. The most significant manifestation of this ability is financial interdependency. Other manifestations of the ability to exercise oversight responsibility include, but are not limited to, the selection of governing authority, the designation of management, the ability to significantly influence operations, and accountability for fiscal matters.

A second criterion used in evaluating potential component units is the scope of public service. Application of this criterion involves considering whether the activity benefits the government and/or its citizens.

A third criterion used to evaluate potential component units for inclusion or exclusion from the reporting entity is the existence of special financing relationships, regardless of whether the government is able to exercise oversight responsibilities. Finally, the nature and significance of a potential component unit to the primary government could warrant its inclusion within the reporting entity.

Roosevelt County
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2017

NOTE 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

A. Financial Reporting Entity (continued)

Based upon the application of these criteria, the County has no component units, and is not a component unit of another governmental agency.

B. Government-wide and fund financial statements

The government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net position and the statement of activities) report information on all of the nonfiduciary activities of the primary government. For the most part, the effect of interfund activity has been removed from these statements. *Governmental activities*, which normally are supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues, are reported separately from *business-type activities*, which rely to a significant extent on fees and charges for support. The County does not have any *business-type activities*.

The Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities were prepared using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues, expenses, gains, losses, assets and liabilities resulting from exchange-like transactions are recognized when the exchange takes place.

Revenues, expenses, gains, losses, assets and liabilities resulting from non-exchange transactions are recognized in accordance with the requirements of GASB Statement No. 33, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Non-exchange Transactions*.

In the government-wide Statement of Net Position, the governmental activities column is presented on a consolidated basis by column, and is reported on a full accrual, economic resource basis, which recognizes all long-term assets and receivables as well as long-term debt obligations. The County's net position are reported in three parts – invested in capital assets net of related debt; restricted net position; and unrestricted net position.

The government-wide Statement of Activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function or segment are offset by program revenues. *Direct expenses* are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function or segment. *Program revenues* include 1) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use, or directly benefit from goods, services, or privileges provided by a given function or segment and 2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or segment. Taxes and other items not properly included among program revenues are reported instead as *general revenues*.

Separate financial statements are provided for governmental funds and fiduciary funds, even though the latter are excluded from the government-wide financial statements. Major individual governmental funds are reported as separate columns in the fund financial statements.

Roosevelt County
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2017

NOTE 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

C. Measurement focus, basis of accounting, and financial statement presentation

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the *economic resources measurement focus* and the *accrual basis of accounting,* as are the fiduciary fund financial statements. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements of time, reimbursement and contingencies imposed by the provider are met.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the *current financial resources measurement focus* and the *modified accrual basis of accounting.* Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be *available* when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, the government considers revenues to be available if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the current fiscal period. Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting. However, debt service expenditures, as well as expenditures related to compensated absences and claims and judgments, are recorded only when payment is due.

Property taxes, franchise taxes, licenses and interest associated with the current fiscal period are all considered to be susceptible to accrual and so have been recognized as revenues of the current fiscal period. Sales and use taxes are classified as derived tax revenues and are recognized as revenue when the underlying exchange takes place and the revenues are measurable and available. Expenditure-driven grants are recognized as revenue when the qualifying expenditures have been incurred and all other grant requirements have been met and the susceptible to accrual criteria have been met. All other revenue items are considered to be measurable and available only when cash is received by the government.

Program revenues included in the Statement of Activities are derived directly from the program itself or from parties outside the County's taxpayer or citizenry, as a whole; program revenues reduce the cost of the function to be financed from the County's general revenues. Program revenues are categorized as (a) charges for services, which include revenues collected for fees and use of County facilities, etc., (b) program-specific operating grants, which includes revenues received from state and federal sources to be used as specified within each program grant agreement, and (c) program-specific capital grants and contributions, which include revenues from state sources to be used for capital projects. Internally dedicated resources are reported as *general revenues* rather than as program revenues. Likewise, general revenues include all taxes.

Roosevelt County
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2017

NOTE 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

C. Measurement focus, basis of accounting, and financial statement presentation (continued)

The County reports all direct expenses by function in the Statement of Activities. Direct expenses are those that are clearly identifiable with a function. The County does not currently employ indirect cost allocation systems. Depreciation expense is specifically identified by function and is included in the direct expense of each function. Interest on general long-term debt is considered an indirect expense and is reported separately on the Statement of Activities.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the County's policy to use restricted resources first, then unrestricted resources as they are needed.

Under the requirements of GASB Statement No. 34, the County is required to present certain of its governmental funds as major based upon certain criteria. The major funds presented in the fund financial statements include the following:

The *General Fund* is the government's primary operating fund. It accounts for all financial resources of the general government, except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

The *Road Special Revenue Fund* is used to account for funds used to maintain roads for which the County has accounted for in this fund, including but not limited to administration, operation, maintenance, and capital outlay. Revenues are provided by motor vehicle fees, gas taxes, state appropriations, and state severance tax bonds. It was approved by the governing body by default upon approval of the budget.

The *Detention Center Bond Debt Service Fund* is used to account for funds provided from the County's bond issue for the purpose of remodeling, making additions to, or improving the grounds of the Detention Center. Authority for the creation of the fund is by County Commission Ordinance and the County Commission budget adoption and approval. In August 2016, by County Commission Ordinance, the Gross Receipts Tax Revenue Bond, Series 2006 was refunded and refinanced under a Loan Agreement and Intercept Agreement with New Mexico Finance Authority.

Additionally, the government reports the following agency fund:

Fiduciary funds are purely custodial (assets equal liabilities) and do not involve measurement of results of operations. The County's fiduciary funds are used to account for the collection and payment of property taxes and special fees to other governmental agencies. The agency fund is used to account for collection and disbursement of other trust accounts for the Roosevelt County.

As a general rule the effect of interfund activity has been eliminated from the government-wide financial statements. Exceptions to this general rule are payments-in-lieu of taxes and various other functions of the government. Elimination of these charges would distort the direct costs and program revenues reported for the various functions concerned.

Roosevelt County
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2017

NOTE 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

D. Assets, Deferred Outflows of Resources Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position or Fund Equity

Deposits and Investments: The County's cash and cash equivalents are considered to be cash on hand, demand deposits and short-term investments with original maturities of three months or less from the date of acquisition.

State statutes authorize the County to invest in Certificates of Deposit, obligations of the U.S. Government, and the State Treasurer's Investment Pool.

Investments for the County are reported at fair value. The State Treasurer's Pool operates in accordance with appropriate state laws and regulations. The reported value of the pool is the same as the fair value of the pool shares.

Receivables and Payables: Interfund activity is reported as loans, services provided, reimbursements, or transfers. Loans are reported as interfund receivables and payables as appropriate and are subject to elimination upon consolidation. Services provided, deemed to be at market or near market rates, are treated as revenues and expenditures/expenses. Reimbursements are when one fund incurs a cost, charges the appropriate benefiting funds and reduces its related cost as a reimbursement. All other interfund transactions are treated as transfers. Transfers between governmental funds are netted as part of the reconciliation to the government-wide financial statements. The period of availability is deemed to be sixty days subsequent to year end.

Advances between funds, as reported in the fund financial statements, are offset by a fund balance reserve account in applicable governmental funds to indicate that they are not available for appropriation and are not expendable available financial resources.

All receivables are reported at their gross value and, where appropriate, are reduced by the estimated portion that is expected to be uncollectible. In the government-wide and governmental fund financial statements, delinquent property taxes are recorded as revenue when levied net of estimated refunds and uncollectable amounts.

Property taxes are levied on November 1 based on the assessed value of property as listed on the previous January 1 and are due in two payments by November 10th and April 10th. Property taxes uncollected after May 10th are considered delinquent. The taxes attach as an enforceable lien on property as of January 1st.

Restricted Assets: Restricted assets consist of those funds expendable for operating purposes but restricted by donors or other outside agencies as to the specific purpose for which they may be expended.

Roosevelt County
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2017

NOTE 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

D. Assets, Deferred Outflows of Resources Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position or Fund Equity (continued)

Capital Assets: Capital assets, which are property, plant, equipment, and infrastructure assets, (e.g., roads, bridges, sidewalks, and similar items) are reported in the applicable governmental activities columns in the government-wide financial statements. Capital assets are defined by the government as assets with an initial, individual cost of more than \$5,000 (amount not rounded) and an estimated useful life in excess of one year. Such assets are recorded at historical cost or estimated historical cost if purchased or constructed. Roosevelt County was a phase II government for purposes of implementing GASB 34. Therefore, the County was required to report its major general infrastructure assets retroactively to June 30, 1980. The County has made the required restatement for infrastructure assets retroactive to June 30, 1980, in order to properly implement GASB 34. Information Technology Equipment including software is being capitalized and included in furniture, fixtures and equipment in accordance with NMAC 2.20.1.9 C (5).

The costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the asset or materially extend assets lives are not capitalized.

Major outlays for capital assets and improvements are capitalized as projects are constructed. No interest was included as part of the cost of capital assets under construction.

Property, plant, and equipment of the primary government are depreciated using the straight line method over the following estimated useful lives:

<u>Assets</u>	<u>Years</u>
Buildings and improvements	20-40
Equipment and vehicles	5
Infrastructure	40

Deferred Outflow of Resources: In addition to assets, the balance sheet reports a separate section for deferred outflows of resources. This separate financial statement element represents a use of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will not be recognized as an outflow of resources (expenditure) until that time. The County has five types of items that qualify for reporting in this category: changes in proportion in the amount of \$97,143, changes in assumption in the amount of \$364,694, difference between expected and actual experience in the amount of \$347,963, net difference between projected and actual investment earnings in the amount of \$1,035,457, and employer contributions subsequent to the measurement date in the amount of \$375,608. These amounts are reported in the Statement of Net Position. These amounts are deferred and will be recognized in pension expense in future periods.

Accrued Expenses: Accrued expenses are comprised of the payroll expenditures based on amounts earned by the employees through June 30, 2017, along with applicable PERA, FICA, and Medicare payables.

Roosevelt County
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2017

NOTE 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

D. Assets, Deferred Outflows of Resources Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position or Fund Equity (continued)

Deferred Inflows of Resources: In addition to liabilities, the balance sheet reports a separate section for deferred inflows of resources. This separate financial statement element represents an acquisition of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will not be recognized as an inflow of resources (revenue) until that time. Revenue must be susceptible to accrual (measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current fiscal period) to be recognized. If assets are recognized in connection with a transaction, but those assets are not yet available to finance expenditures of the current fiscal period, then the assets must be offset by a corresponding liability for deferred inflows of resources. The County has one type of deferred inflow which arises under the modified accrual basis of accounting that qualify for reporting in this category. Accordingly, the item, unavailable revenue - property taxes revenue not received in the period of availability are reported only in the governmental funds balance sheet. This amount is deferred and recognized as an inflow of resources in the period that the amount becomes available. The County has recorded \$249,077 related to property taxes revenue considered "unavailable." The County has three types of items present on the Statement of Net Position which arise due to the implementation of GASB 68 and the related net pension liability. Accordingly, the items, changes in proportion in the amount of \$414,789, changes of assumption in the amount of \$40,156, and difference between expected and actual experience in the amount of \$36.533 are reported on the Statement of Net Position. These amounts are deferred and recognized as an inflow of resources in the period that the amounts become available.

Compensated Absences: Qualified employees are entitled to accumulate annual leave and compensated time according to a graduated leave schedule of depending on length of service. No more than thirty (30) working days, or two hundred and forty (240) hours of annual leave, may be carried forward from one fiscal year to the next. All excess annual leave shall be forfeited, if not used, unless extenuating circumstances warrant approval of an extension by the County Commissioners of Roosevelt County. In addition upon approval, the employee is entitled to unused holiday time if not used 6 months from date of holiday.

Qualified employees are entitled to accumulate sick leave at a rate of twelve days per year. Sick leave can be carried over from year to year up to 1,040 hours (130 days). Upon termination employees receive one-sixth (1/6) pay for sick time accumulated up to a limit of 174 hours, which is one-sixth of the maximum accumulation allowed.

Vested or accumulated vacation leave that is expected to be liquidated with expendable available financial resources is reported as an expenditure and a fund liability of the governmental fund that will pay it. In prior years, substantially all of the related expenditures have been liquidated by the general fund. Amounts of vested or accumulated vacation leave that are not expected to be liquidated with expendable available financial resources are reported in the government-wide statement of net position.

Roosevelt County
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2017

NOTE 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

D. Assets, Deferred Outflows of Resources Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position or Fund Equity (continued)

Long-term Obligations: In the government-wide financial statements, long-term debt and other long-term obligations are reported as liabilities in the governmental activities statement of net position. For bonds issued after GASB 34 was implemented, bond premiums and discounts are deferred and amortized over the life of the bonds using the effective interest method or on a straight-line basis if the difference is inconsequential. For fund financial reporting, bond premiums, discounts as well as issuance costs, are recognized in the period the bonds are issued. Bond proceeds are reported as another financing source, net of the applicable premium or discount. Issuance costs, even if withheld from the actual net proceeds received, are reported as debt service expenditures.

Fund Balance Classification Policies and Procedures: For restricted fund balance, this classification includes amounts that are restricted to a specific purpose. Fund balances are restricted when constraints placed on the use of resources are either: (a) Externally imposed by creditors (such as debt covenants), grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments; (b) Enabling legislation, as the term is used authorizes the County to assess, levy, charge or otherwise mandate payment of resources and includes a *legally enforceable* requirement that those resources be used only for the specific purposes stipulated in the legislation.

For committed fund balance, the County's highest level of decision-making authority is the County Commission. The formal action that is required to be taken to establish a fund balance commitment is the County Commission.

For assigned fund balance, the County Commission or an official or body to which the County Commission delegates the authority is authorized to assign amounts to a specific purpose. The authorization policy is in governmental funds other than the general fund, assigned fund balance represents the amount that is not restricted or committed. This indicates that resources in other governmental funds are, at a minimum, intended to be used for the purpose of that fund.

Nonspendable Fund Balance: At June 30, 2017, the County does not have any amounts in the form of nonspendable fund balance.

Restricted and Committed Fund Balance: At June 30, 2017, the County has presented restricted fund balance on the governmental funds balance sheet in the amount of \$3,919,602 for various County operations as restricted by enabling legislation. The County has also presented committed fund balance on the governmental funds balance sheet in the amount of \$183,244 in order to provide services throughout the County. The details of these fund balance items are located on the governmental funds balance sheet as detailed on pages 18-19.

Minimum Fund Balance Policy: The County's policy for maintaining a minimum amount of fund balance for operations is to minimize any sudden and unplanned discontinuity to programs and operations and for unforeseen contingencies. At a minimum, the budget shall ensure that the County holds cash reserves of 3/12th the General Fund expenditures and a cash reserve of 1/12th the Road Special Revenue Fund. At June 30, 2017, the County maintains \$1,618,534 as minimum fund balances.

Roosevelt County
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2017

NOTE 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

D. Assets, Deferred Outflows of Resources Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position or Fund Equity (continued)

Net Position: The financial statements net position is reported in three categories: net investment in capital assets, restricted, and unrestricted:

- <u>Net investment in capital assets</u> This component consists of capital assets, net of
 accumulated depreciation and reduced by the outstanding balances of any related debt
 attributable to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of those assets.
- Restricted Net Position Net position is reported as restricted when constraints placed on net position use are either (1) externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributions or laws or regulations of other governments or (2) imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.
- <u>Unrestricted Net Position</u> Net position that do not meet the definition of "restricted" and "Net Investment in Capital Assets."

Estimates: The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates. Significant estimates for the County are management's estimate of depreciation on assets over their estimated useful lives, net pension liability and related deferred inflows and outflows of resources, and the current portion of accrued compensated absences.

NOTE 2. Stewardship, Compliance, and Accountability

Budgetary Information

Annual budgets of the County are prepared prior to June 1 and must be approved by resolution of the County Commissioners, and submitted to the Department of Finance and Administration for State approval. Once the budget has been formally approved, any amendments must also be approved by the County Commissioners and the Department of Finance and Administration. A separate budget is prepared for each fund. Line items within each budget may be over-expended; however, it is not legally permissible to over-expend any budget in total at the fund level.

These budgets are prepared on the Non-GAAP cash budgetary basis. Budgetary basis expenditures exclude encumbrances. The budget secures appropriation of funds for only one year. Carryover funds must be re-appropriated in the budget of the subsequent fiscal year.

Roosevelt County
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2017

NOTE 2. Stewardship, Compliance, and Accountability

Budgetary Information (continued)

The budgetary information presented in these financial statements has been properly amended by County Commissioners in accordance with the above procedures. These amendments resulted in the following changes:

	Excess (deficiency) of			
	 revenues over	expe	enditures	
	Original		Final	
	 Budget	Budget		
Budgeted Funds:				
General Fund	\$ 1,556,590	\$	1,606,425	
Road Special Revenue Fund	\$ (512,263)	\$	(614,152)	
Detention Center Bond Debt Service Fund	\$ (255,555)	\$	(2,085,279)	
Other Governmental Funds	\$ (1,398,510)	\$	(1,867,187)	

The accompanying Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance – Budget (Non-GAAP Budgetary Basis) and Actual present comparisons of the legally adopted budget with actual data on a budgetary basis.

Since accounting principles applied for purposes of developing data on a budgetary basis differ significantly from those used to present financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP), a reconciliation of resultant basis, perspective, equity and timing differences in the excess (deficiency) of revenues and other sources of financial resources for the year ended June 30, 2017 is presented. Reconciliations between the Non-GAAP budgetary basis amounts and the financial statements on the GAAP basis by fund can be found on each individual budgetary statement.

NOTE 3. Deposits and Investments

State statutes authorize the investment of County funds in a wide variety of instruments including certificates of deposit and other similar obligations, state investment pool, money market accounts, and United States Government obligations. All invested funds of the County properly followed State investment requirements as of June 30, 2017.

Deposits of funds may be made in interest or non-interest bearing checking accounts in one or more banks or savings and loan associations within the geographical boundaries of the County. Deposits may be made to the extent that they are insured by an agency of the United States or collateralized as required by statute. The financial institution must provide pledged collateral for 50% of the deposit amount in excess of the deposit insurance.

The rate of interest in non-demand interest-bearing accounts shall be set by the State Board of Finance, but in no case shall the rate of interest be less than one hundred percent of the asked price on United States treasury bills of the same maturity on the day of deposit.

Excess funds may be temporarily invested in securities which are issued by the State or by the United States government, or by their departments or agencies, and which are either direct obligations of the State or the United States or are backed by the full faith and credit of those governments.

Roosevelt County
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2017

NOTE 3. Deposits and Investments (continued)

The County's accounts are located at an insured depository institution. All noninterest-bearing transaction accounts, will be insured by the FDIC up to the standard maximum deposit insurance amount of \$250,000 for all deposit accounts out of state and up to \$250,000 for all time and saving accounts plus up to \$250,000 for all demand deposit accounts held at a single institution in state.

Custodial Credit Risk - Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the County's deposits may not be returned to it. The County does not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk, other than following state statutes as set forth in the Public Money Act (Section 6-10-1 to 6-10-63, NMSA 1978). At June 30, 2017, \$4,186,083 of the County's bank balance of \$4,436,083 was exposed to custodial credit risk. Although the \$4,186,083 was uninsured, it was collateralized by collateral held by the pledging bank's trust department, not in the County's name. None of the County's deposits were uninsured and uncollateralized at June 30, 2017.

	James Polk Stone Community Bank		
Amount of deposits FDIC coverage Total uninsured public funds	\$	4,436,083 (250,000) 4,186,083	
Collateralized by securities held by pledging institutions or by its trust department or agent in other than the County's name Uninsured and uncollateralized	\$	4,186,083	
Collateral requirement (50%) Pledged securities Over (under) collateralized	\$	2,093,042 6,736,240 4,643,198	

Custodial Credit Risk - Deposits

The collateral pledged is listed on Schedule III of this report. The types of collateral are limited to direct obligations of the United States Government and all bonds issued by any agency, district, or political subdivision of the State of New Mexico.

The New Mexico Finance Authority (NMFA) holds and invests cash related to debt service and reserve requirements on behalf of the County and in the County's name pursuant to loan agreements with the County. As of June 30, 2017 the County had \$502,453 held at New Mexico Finance Authority (NMFA).

Investments

Credit Risk

The New Mexico State Treasurer is authorized to invest the short-term investment funds, with the advice and consent of the State Board of Finance, in accordance with Sections 6-10-10(I) through 6-10-10 (P) and Sections 6-10-10.1(A) and (E), NMSA 1978. Per Section 6-10-10.1(F), NMSA 1978, at the end of each month all interest earned is distributed by the State Treasurer to the contributing entities in amounts directly proportionate to the respective amounts deposited in the fund and the length of time the fund amounts were invested.

Roosevelt County
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2017

NOTE 3. Deposits and Investments (continued)

As of June 30, 2017, the County had the following investments and maturities:

Weighted Average

Investment Type	Maturities	_ <u>_ F</u>	Fair Value	Rating
U.S. Treasury & Agency Notes	<1 year	\$	1,875,019	AA+***
U.S. Treasury MM Mutual Fund	<1 year		521,593 *	AAA **
		\$	2,396,612	

^{*}Restricted cash and cash equivalents per Exhibit A-1

The investments are listed on Schedule IV of this report. The types of investment, interest rate, maturity date and fair value per security are included in the schedule.

Interest Rate Risk – Investments. The County's formal investment policy limits interest rates to be less than one hundred percent of the asked price on the US Treasury bills or notes for the same maturity on the day of deposit.

Concentration of Credit Risk – Investments. For an investment, concentration of credit risk is when any one issuer is 5% or more of the investment portfolio of the County. The investments in U.S. Agency Notes, consisting of notes held in Federal Farm Credit Banks and Federal Home Loan Banks, U.S. Treasury Notes, and U.S. Treasury Money Market Mutual Funds represent 100% of the investment portfolio. Since the County only purchases investments with high grade credit ratings, the concentration is not viewed to be an additional risk by the County. The County's policy related to concentration of credit risk is to comply with the state statute as put forth in the Public Money Act (Section 6-10-1 to 6-10-63, NMSA 1978).

The County utilizes pooled accounts for their funds. The General, Special Revenue, Capital Projects, and Agency Funds are all in multiple accounts. Separate accounts also exist for Sheriff's Office confiscation related funds.

Fair Value Measurement

The fair value framework uses a hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to the valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (level 1 measurement) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are described below:

Level 1 Inputs to the valuation methodology are unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the County has the ability to access.

^{**} Based off Moody's Rating

^{***} Based off Standard & Poor's rating

Roosevelt County
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2017

NOTE 3. Deposits and Investments (continued)

Level 2 Inputs to the valuation methodology include:

- Quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets.
- Quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in inactive markets.
- Inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability.
- Inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means.

If the asset or liability has a specified (contractual) term, the Level 2 input must be observable for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

Level 3 Inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement.

The asset's or liability's fair value measurement level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of any input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Valuation techniques used need to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. See above for discussion of valuation methodologies used to measure fair value of investments.

The valuation methodologies described above may produce a fair value calculation that may not be indicative of net realizable value or reflective of future fair values. Furthermore, while the County believes its valuation methods are appropriate and consistent with other market participants, the use of different methodologies or assumptions to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in a different fair value measurement at the reporting date.

The following table sets forth by level within the fair value hierarchy, the County's assets at fair value as of June 30, 2017:

	Total		Level 1	Lev	rel 2	Level 3	
	\$ 2,396,612	\$	2,396,612	\$	-	\$ -	
Reconciliation of C	ash and Cash Ec	quiv	alents				
Cash and cash equiv	alents per Exhibit	A-1			\$ 4,024	4,901	
Restricted cash and	•		xhibit A-1			1,593	
Cash per Exhibit D-1	• •					2,167	
Investments per Exh	ibit A-1				1,875,019		
_							
Total cash, cash equi	valents, and inves	tme	nts		6,453,680		
Add: outstanding	checks and depos	sits i	n transit		379	9,415	
Less: restricted c	ash with NMFA				(52)	1,593)	
Less: investments	(1,875	5,019)					
Less: petty cash						(400)	
Bank balance of dep		\$ 4,436	6,083				

Roosevelt County Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2017

NOTE 4. Receivables

Receivables as of June 30, 2017, are as follows:

	General	Road	onmajor vernmental	
	 Fund	 Fund	Funds	Total
Current receivables:			_	
Property taxes	\$ 288,544	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 288,544
Other taxes:				
Gross receipts taxes	381,736	-	239,240	620,976
Gasoline and oil taxes	21,908	39,079	-	60,987
Other taxes	12,803	33,760	-	46,563
Other receivables:				
Charges for services	2,795	-	1,313	4,108
Miscellaneous	 20,490	317	 	20,807
Totals	\$ 728,276	\$ 73,156	\$ 240,553	\$ 1,041,985

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 33, property tax revenues in the amount of \$249,077 that were not collected within the period of availability have been reclassified as deferred inflow in the governmental fund financial statements.

All of the above receivables are deemed to be fully collectible.

NOTE 5. Interfund Receivables, Payables, and Transfers

Net operating transfers, made to close out funds and to supplement other funding sources in the normal course of operations, were as follows:

Transfers Out	Transfers In	Amount		
Primary Government			_	
Detention Center Bond Debt Service Fund	General Fund	\$	255,555	
General Fund	Road Special Revenue Fund		723,263	
General Fund	Indoor Arena Debt Service Fund		115,864	
General Fund	Courthouse Renovation Debt Service Fund		179,483	
General Fund	Milnesand Fire/EMS Special Revenue Fund		3,500	
General Fund	Arch Fire/EMS Special Revenue Fund		3,500	
	Total	\$	1,281,165	

There were no interfund balances at June 30, 2017.

Roosevelt County Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2017

NOTE 6. Capital Assets

The following is a summary of capital assets and changes occurring during the year ended June 30, 2017. Land and construction in progress are not subject to depreciation:

	Balance June 30, 2016 Additions			Deletions	Balance June 30, 2017			
Governmental activities: Capital assets not being depreciated:		,						,
Land	\$	911,731	\$	-	\$	-	\$	911,731
Construction in progress		2,248,132		322,551		(2,570,683)		-
Total capital assets not being								
depreciated		3,159,863		322,551		(2,570,683)		911,731
Capital assets being depreciated:								
Buildings and improvements		19,375,440		2,570,683		_		21,946,123
Equipment and vehicles		6,503,137		174,105		(845,390)		5,831,852
Infrastructure		18,792,513		726,204		-		19,518,717
Total capital assets being								
depreciated		44,671,090		3,470,992		(845,390)		47,296,692
Less accumulated depreciation:								
Buildings and improvements		11,153,158		444,134		-		11,597,292
Equipment and vehicles		5,941,709		222,956		845,390		5,319,275
Infrastructure		18,792,513		743		-		18,793,256
Total accumulated depreciation		35,887,380		667,833		845,390		35,709,823
Total capital assets, net of								
depreciation	\$	11,943,573	\$	3,125,710	\$	(2,570,683)	\$	12,498,600
Depreciation expense for the	yea	r ended June	e 30), 2017 wa	as c	harged to th	e fu	inctions of th

Depreciation expense for the year ended June 30, 2017 was charged to the functions of the governmental activities as follows:

General Government	\$ 139,004
Public Safety	295,240
Public Works	146,822
Culture and Recreation	86,767
Total	\$ 667,833

Roosevelt County
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2017

NOTE 7. Long-term Debt

During the year ended June 30, 2017, the following changes occurred in the liabilities reported in the government-wide statement of net position:

	Balance ne 30, 2016	Addi	tions	Retirement	s J	Balance une 30, 2017	e Within ne Year
Gross Receipts Revenue Bonds NMFA Loans Compensated Absences	\$ 2,030,000 7,740,341 162,002		- 14,395 34,267	\$ 2,030,000 523,729 218,152)	9,331,007 178,117	- 517,159 178,117
Total long-term debt	\$ 9,932,343	\$ 2,34	18,662	\$ 2,771,881	<u> </u>	9,509,124	\$ 695,276

Gross Receipts Revenue Bonds

In August 2016 the County's Gross Receipts Revenue Bond, Series 2006 was refunded and refinanced under a Loan Agreement and Intercept Agreement with the New Mexico Finance Authority. County Hold Harmless Gross Receipts Taxes collected for the purpose of the debt service of the bond are now lawfully pledged for the payment of amounts due under the new Loan Agreement. As of June 30, 2017 there are no liabilities due under this bond.

Loans

The County has entered into several loan agreements with the New Mexico Finance Authority, wherein the County pledged revenue derived from New Mexico Gross Receipts taxes to cover debt service. This revenue is subject to intercept agreements. The NMFA loans are as follows:

NMFA Loans:

				Original	
	Date of	Maturity	Interest	Amount	Balance
Description	Issue	Date	Rate	of Issue	June 30, 2017
NMFA- Arena- 2561-PP	Mar-11	May-36	4.22%	\$ 1,638,201	\$ 1,430,800
NMFA- New Magistrate Court- 3115-PP	Jun-14	May-34	3.28%	3,668,741	3,372,431
NMFA- HVAC System- 3147-PP	Aug-14	May-34	3.39%	2,875,000	2,629,000
NMFA- 3503- PP	Aug-16	Jun-26	1.19%	2,114,395	1,898,776
Total NMFA Loans					\$ 9,331,007

Roosevelt County
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2017

NOTE 7. Long-term Debt (continued)

The annual requirements to amortize the Loans Payable as of June 30, 2017, including interest payments are as follows:

Loans Payable

Fiscal Year Ending June 30,	Principal	Interest	Total Debt Service
2018 2019	\$ 517,159 519,987	\$ 264,748 258,866	\$ 781,907 778,853
2020	530,320	251,674	781,994
2021 2022	537,426 548,879	243,188 233,394	780,614 782,273
2023-2027 2028-2032	2,776,139 2,543,064	975,978 560,938	3,752,117 3,104,002
2033-2037	1,358,033	86,659	1,444,692
	\$ 9,331,007	\$ 2,875,445	\$ 12,206,452

Loans have been liquidated by the Indoor Arena, Courthouse Renovation, Magistrate Court, and Detention Center Bond Debt Service Funds in prior years.

<u>Compensated Absences</u> – Employees of the County are able to accrue a limited amount of vacation and other compensatory time during the year. During fiscal year June 30, 2017, compensated absences increased \$16,115 from the prior year accrual. In prior years, the general fund was typically used to liquidate such long-term liabilities.

NOTE 8. Operating Leases

The County leases equipment under operating leases expiring during the next two years. Although renewal and purchase options are available on these leases, the County considers these to be operating leases as they contain a non-appropriation termination clause.

At June 30, 2017, future minimum lease payments applicable to the operating leases are as follows:

Fiscal Year Ending June 30,	 Amount
2018 2019	\$ 79,488 39,744
	\$ 119,232

Roosevelt County
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2017

NOTE 9. Risk Management

The County is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts, theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries and natural disasters.

Roosevelt County is a member and is insured through the New Mexico County Insurance Authority. The Authority was created to provide comprehensive core insurance programs by expanding the pool of subscribers to maximize cost containment opportunities for required insurance coverage. The Authority acts as the common carrier for the State of New Mexico counties. The County pays an annual premium to the Authority based on claim experience and the status of the pool. The Risk Management Program includes Workers Compensation, General and Automobile Liability, Automobile Physical Damage, and Property and Crime coverage. The County is not liable for more than the premiums paid.

NOTE 10. Other Required Individual Fund Disclosures

Generally accepted accounting principles require disclosures of certain information concerning individual funds including:

- A. Deficit fund balance of individual funds. There were no individual funds that had deficit fund balances for the year ended June 30, 2017.
- B. Excess of expenditures over appropriations. There were not any funds with expenditures in excess of the budgeted appropriations.
- C. Designated cash appropriations in excess of available balance. The following fund had designated cash appropriations in excess of available balances as of June 30, 2017:

Milnesand Fire Protection – 472 \$57,152

NOTE 11. Pension Plan - Public Employees Retirement Association

Plan Description: The Public Employees Retirement Fund (PERA Fund) is a cost-sharing, multiple employer defined benefit pension plan. This fund has six divisions of members, including State General, State Police/Adult Correction Officer, Municipal General, Municipal Police/Detention Officers, Municipal fire, and State Legislative Divisions, and offers 24 different types of coverage within the PERA plan. All assets accumulated may be used to pay benefits, including refunds of member contributions, to any of the plan members or beneficiaries, as defined by the terms of this plan. Certain coverage plans are only applicable to a specific division. Eligibility for membership in the PERA Fund is set forth in the Public Employees Retirement Act (Chapter 10, Article 11, NMSA 1978). Except as provided for in the Volunteer Firefighters Retirement Act (10-11A-1 to 10-11A-7, NMSA 1978), the Judicial Retirement Act (10-12B-1 to 10-12B-19, NMSA 1978), the Magistrate Retirement Act (10-12C-1 to 10-12C-18, NMSA 1978), and the Educational Retirement Act (Chapter 22, Article 11, NMSA 1978), and the provisions of Sections 29-4-1 through 29-4-11, NMSA 1978 governing the State Police Pension Fund, each employee and elected official of every affiliated public employer is required to be a member in the PERA fund.

PERA issues a publicly available financial report and a comprehensive annual financial report that can be obtained at http://saonm.org/ using the Audit Report Search function for agency 366.

Benefits provided. For a description of the benefits provided and recent changes to the benefits see Note 1 in the PERA audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016 available at http://www.nmpera.org/assets/uploads/downloads/comprehensive-annual-financial-reports/2016-CAFR 12.22.2016 FINAL-with-corrections.pdf.

Roosevelt County
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2017

NOTE 11. Pension Plan - Public Employees Retirement Association (continued)

Contributions. The contribution requirements of defined benefit plan members and Roosevelt County are established in State Statute under Chapter 10, Article 11 NMSA 1978. The contribution requirements may be amended by acts of the legislature. For the employer and employee contribution rates in effect for FY16 for the various PERA coverage options, for both Tier I and Tier II, see the tables available in the note disclosures on page 43 of the PERA FY16 annual audit report at http://www.nmpera.org/assets/uploads/downloads/comprehensive-annual-financial-reports/2016-CAFR 12.22.2016 FINAL-with-corrections.pdf. The PERA coverage options that apply to Roosevelt County are Municipal General Division and Municipal Police Division. Statutorily required contributions to the pension plan from Roosevelt County were \$375,608 for the year ended June 30, 2017.

Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense, and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions: The PERA pension liability amounts, net pension liability amounts, and sensitivity information were based on an annual actuarial valuation performed as of June 30, 2015. The PERA pension liability amounts for each division were rolled forward from the valuation date to the Plan year ending June 30, 2016, using generally accepted actuarial principles. Therefore, the employer's portion was established as of the measurement date June 30, 2016.

The assets of the PERA fund are held in one trust, but there are six distinct membership groups (municipal general members, municipal police members, municipal fire members, state general members, state police members and legislative members) for whom separate contribution rates are determined each year pursuant to Chapter 10, Article 11 NMSA 1978. Therefore, the calculations of the net pension liability, pension expense and deferred inflows and outflows were performed separately for each of the membership groups: municipal general members; municipal police members; municipal fire members; state general members; state police members and legislative members.

Roosevelt County's proportion of the net pension liability for each membership group that the employer participates in is based on the employer contributing entity's percentage of that membership group's total employer contributions for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016. Only employer contributions for the pay period end dates that fell within the period of July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2016 were included in the total contributions for a specific employer. Regular and any adjustment contributions that applied to FY 2016 are included in the total contribution amounts. In the event that an employer is behind in reporting to PERA its required contributions, an estimate (receivable) was used to project the unremitted employer contributions. This allowed for fair and consistent measurement of the contributions with the total population. This methodology was used to maintain consistent measurement each year in determining the percentages to be allocated among all the participating employers.

For PERA Fund Municipal General Division, at June 30, 2017, Roosevelt County reported a liability of \$3,743,326 for its proportionate share of the net pension liability. At June 30, 2017, Roosevelt County's proportion was 0.2343 percent, which was a decrease of .0418 percent from its proportion measured as of June 30, 2016.

Roosevelt County
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2017

NOTE 11. Pension Plan - Public Employees Retirement Association (continued)

For PERA Fund Municipal General Division (continued)

For the year ended June 30, 2017, Roosevelt County recognized PERA Fund Municipal General Division pension expense of \$341,618. At June 30, 2017, Roosevelt County reported PERA Fund Municipal General Division deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

		Deferred Outflow of Resources		Deferred Inflow of Resources		
Changes of assumptions	\$	219,502	\$	622		
Changes in proportion		-		390,367		
Differences between expected and actual experience		187,032		36,533		
Net difference between projected and actual earnings on						
pension plan investments		688,765		-		
County's contributions subsequent to the measurement date		262,618		-		
Total	\$	1,357,917	\$	427,522		

\$262,618 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to pensions resulting from Roosevelt County's contributions subsequent to the measurement date June 30, 2016 will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ended June 30, 2018.

Other amounts reported as deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

Year ended June 30:

2017	\$ (96,894)
2018	(96,894)
2019	(309,334)
2020	(164,655)
2021	_

For PERA Fund Municipal Police Division, at June 30, 2017, Roosevelt County reported a liability of \$2,192,092 for its proportionate share of the net pension liability. At June 30, 2017, Roosevelt County's proportion was 0.2971 percent, which was a decrease of .0069 percent from its proportion measured as of June 30, 2016.

Roosevelt County
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2017

NOTE 11. Pension Plan - Public Employees Retirement Association (continued)

For PERA Fund Municipal Police Division (continued)

For the year ended June 30, 2017, Roosevelt County recognized PERA Fund Municipal Police Division pension expense of \$312,994. At June 30, 2017, Roosevelt County reported PERA Fund Municipal Police Division deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

	red Outflow Resources	red Inflow esources
Changes of assumptions	\$ 145,192	\$ 39,534
Changes in proportion	97,143	24,422
Differences between expected and actual experience Net difference between projected and actual earnings on	160,931	-
pension plan investments	346,692	-
County's contributions subsequent to the measurement date	 112,990	
Total	\$ 862,948	\$ 63,956

\$112,990 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to pensions resulting from Roosevelt County's contributions subsequent to the measurement date June 30, 2016 will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ended June 30, 2018.

Other amounts reported as deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

Year	ended	June	30:
------	-------	------	-----

2017	\$ (182,046)
2018	(182,046)
2019	(233,922)
2020	(87,988)
2021	-

Actuarial assumptions. As described above, the PERA Fund member group pension liabilities and net pension liabilities are based on actuarial valuations performed as of June 30, 2015 for each of the membership groups. Then each PERA Fund member group pension liability was rolled forward from the valuation date to the Plan year ending June 30, 2016 using generally accepted actuarial principles. There were no significant events or changes in benefit provisions that required an adjustment to the roll-forward liabilities as of June 30, 2016. These actuarial methods and assumptions were adopted by the Board for use in the June 30, 2015 actuarial valuation.

Roosevelt County
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2017

NOTE 11. Pension Plan - Public Employees Retirement Association (continued)

Actuarial valuation date Actuarial cost method Amortization method Amortization period Asset valuation method Actuarial assumptions:	June 30, 2015 Entry age normal Level percentage of pay, open Solved for based on statutory rates Fair value			
Investment rate of return	7.48% annual rate, net of investment experience			
Projected benefit payment	100 years			
Payroll growth	2.75% for the first 10 years, then 3.25% all other years			
Projected salary increases	2.75% to 14.25% annual rate			
Includes inflation at	2.25% annual rate first 10 years, 2.75% all other years			
Mortality assumption	RP-2000 Mortality Tables (Combined table for healthy post-retirement, Employee table for active members, and Disabled table for disabled retirees before retirement age) with projection to 2018 using Scale AA.			
Experience study dates	July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2013 (demographic) and July 1, 2010 through June 20, 2015 (economic)			

The long term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a statistical analysis in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation. The target asset allocation and most recent best estimates of arithmetic real rates of return for each major asset class are summarized in the following table:

ALL FUNDS - Asset Class	Target Allocation	Long-Term Expected Real Rate of Return
Global Equity	43.50%	7.39%
Risk Reduction & Mitigation	21.50	1.79
Credit Oriented Fixed Income	15.00	5.77
Real Assets	20.00	7.35
Total	100.00%	

Discount Rate. The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 7.48 percent. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that future contributions will be made in accordance with statutory rates. On this basis, the pension plan's fiduciary net position together with the expected future contributions are sufficient to provide all projected future benefit payments of current plan members as determined in accordance with GASBS 67.

Roosevelt County
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2017

NOTE 11. Pension Plan - Public Employees Retirement Association (continued)

Therefore, the 7.48% assumed long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

Sensitivity of the Employer's proportionate share of the net pension liability to changes in the discount rate. The following tables show the sensitivity of the net pension liability to changes in the discount rate. In particular, the tables present Roosevelt County's net pension liability in each PERA Fund Division that Roosevelt County participates in, under the current single rate assumption, as if it were calculated using a discount rate one percentage point lower (6.48%) or one percentage point higher (8.48%) than the single discount rate.

Cumont

PERA Fund Municipal General Division	1%	% Decrease (6.48%)	Dis	current scount Rate (7.48%)	19	% Increase (8.48%)
County's proportionate share of the net pension liability	\$	5,580,965	\$	3,743,326	\$	2,219,095

PERA Fund Municipal Police Division	Current					
		% Decrease (6.48%)	Dis	count Rate (7.48%)		% Increase (8.48%)
County's proportionate share of the						
net pension liability	\$	3,225,112	\$	2,192,092	\$	1,347,203

Pension plan fiduciary net position. Detailed information about the pension plan's fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued FY16 PERA financial report. The report is available at http://www.pera.state.nm.us/publications.html.

Payables to the pension plan. At June 30, 2017 there were no contributions due and payable to PERA for the County.

NOTE 12. Post-Employment Benefits – State Retiree Health Care Plan

Plan Description. Roosevelt County contributes to the New Mexico Retiree Health Care Fund, a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit postemployment healthcare plan administered by the New Mexico Retiree Health Care Authority (RHCA). The RHCA provides health care insurance and prescription drug benefits to retired employees of participating New Mexico government agencies, their spouses, dependents, and surviving spouses and dependents. The RHCA Board was established by the Retiree Health Care Act (Chapter 10, Article 7C, NMSA 1978). The Board is responsible for establishing and amending benefit provisions of the healthcare plan and is also authorized to designate optional and/or voluntary benefits like dental, vision, supplemental life insurance, and long-term care policies.

Roosevelt County
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2017

NOTE 12. Post-Employment Benefits – State Retiree Health Care Plan (continued)

Eligible retirees are: 1) retirees who make contributions to the fund for at least five years prior to retirement and whose eligible employer during that period of time made contributions as a participant in the RHCA plan on the person's behalf unless that person retires before the employer's RHCA effective date, in which event the time period required for employee and employer contributions shall become the period of time between the employer's effective date and the date of retirement; 2) retirees defined by the Act who retired prior to July 1, 1990; 3) former legislators who served at least two years; and 4) former governing authority members who served at least four years.

The RHCA issues a publicly available stand-alone financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for the postemployment healthcare plan. That report and further information can be obtained by writing to the Retiree Health Care Authority at 4308 Carlisle NE, Suite 104, Albuquerque, NM 87107.

Funding Policy. The Retiree Health Care Act (Section 10-7C-13 NMSA 1978) authorizes the RHCA Board to establish the monthly premium contributions that retirees are required to pay for healthcare benefits. Each participating retiree pays a monthly premium according to a service based subsidy rate schedule for the medical plus basic life plan plus an additional participation fee of five dollars if the eligible participant retired prior to the employer's RHCA effective date or is a former legislator or former governing authority member. Former legislators and governing authority members are required to pay 100% of the insurance premium to cover their claims and the administrative expenses of the plan. The monthly premium rate schedule can be obtained from the RHCA or viewed on their website at www.nmrhca.state.nm.us.

The employer, employee and retiree contributions are required to be remitted to the RHCA on a monthly basis. The statutory requirements for the employer and employee contributions can be changed by the New Mexico State Legislature. Employers that choose to become participating employers after January 1, 1998, are required to make contributions to the RHCA fund in the amount determined to be appropriate by the board.

The Retiree Health Care Act (Section 10-7C-15 NMSA 1978) is the statutory authority that establishes the required contributions of participating employers and their employees. For employees that were members of an enhanced retirement plan (state police and adult correctional officer member coverage plan 1; municipal police member coverage plans 3, 4 or 5; municipal fire member coverage plan 3, 4 or 5; municipal detention officer member coverage plan 1; and members pursuant to the Judicial Retirement Act) during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, the statute required each participating employer to contribute 2.5% of each participating employee's annual salary; and each participating employee was required to contribute 1.25% of their salary. For employees that were not members of an enhanced retirement plan during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, the statute required each participating employer to contribute 2.0% of each participating employee's annual salary; each participating employee was required to contribute 1.0% of their salary. In addition, pursuant to Section 10-7C-15(G) NMSA 1978, at the first session of the Legislature following July 1, 2013, the legislature shall review and adjust the distributions pursuant to Section 7-1-6.1 NMSA 1978 and the employer and employee contributions to the authority in order to ensure the actuarial soundness of the benefits provided under the Retiree Health Care Act.

Roosevelt County's contributions to the RHCA for the years ended June 30, 2017, 2016, and 2015, were \$61,524, \$55,051, and \$60,035, respectively, which equal the required contributions for each year.

Roosevelt County
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2017

NOTE 13. Joint Powers Agreements

Iail Services

Participants Roosevelt County and City of Portales

Responsible party Roosevelt County and City of Portales

Description Jail services provided for municipal prisoners and offenders

by the County. Jail services shall include but shall not be limited to booking, care, housing, feeding, administration of prisoners, and all other mandated, necessary and common

functions of a constitutional and statutory jail.

Term of agreement December 3, 2002 until cancelled

Audit responsibility Roosevelt County

NOTE 14. Contingent Liabilities

The County is party to various claims and lawsuits arising in the normal course of business. The County is insured through the New Mexico County Insurance Authority. In the opinion of management, the outcome of these matters will not have a material effect on the financial position of the County.

NOTE 15. Commitments

The County has no commitments as of June 30, 2017.

NOTE 16. Restricted Net Position

The government-wide statement of net position reports \$4,396,587 of restricted net position, all of which is restricted by enabling legislation. For descriptions of the related enabling legislation for special revenue, debt service and capital project funds, see pages 36 and 78-79.

NOTE 17. Subsequent Events

The date to which events occurring after June 30, 2017, the date of the most recent balance sheet, have been evaluated for possible adjustment to the financial statement or disclosures is November 30, 2017, which is the date on which the financial statements were issued. There were no events noted as of this date.

Roosevelt County Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2017

NOTE 18. Tax Abatements

The County has the following tax abatement agreements:

Agency Number	5022	5022	5022
Agency Name	Roosevelt County, New Mexico	Roosevelt County, New Mexico	Roosevelt County, New Mexico
Agency Type	County Government - Political Subdivision of the State of New Mexico	County Government - Political Subdivision of the State of New Mexico	County Government - Political Subdivision of the State of New Mexico
Tax Abatement Agreement Name	\$112,000,000 Roosevelt County, New Mexico Taxable Industrial Revenue Bond (San Juan Mesa Wind Project, LLC Project) Series 2005	\$358,000,000 Roosevelt County, New Mexico Amended and Restated Taxable Industrial Revenue Bonds (Roosevelt Wind Project) Series 2014	\$72,000,000 Roosevelt County, New Mexico Taxable Industrial Revenue Bonds (Milo Wind Project, LLC Project) Series 2015)
Recipient(s) of tax abatement	San Juan Mesa Wind Project, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company	Roosevelt Wind Project, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company	Milo Wind Project, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company
Parent company(ies) of recipient(s) of tax abatement	Padoma Project Holdings, LLC (Sole Member)	Roosevelt Holdco, LLC (Manager); EDF-RE US Development, LLC (Manager); EDF Renewable Development, LLC (Managing Member)	Roosevelt Holdco, LLC (Manager); EDF-RE US Development, LLC (Manager); EDF Renewable Development, LLC (Managing Member)
Tax abatement program (name and brief description)	New Mexico County Industrial Revenue Bonds ("IRB") - At the closing of a New Mexico County IRB transaction, the IRB project property, which may be any combination of real and personal property, is conveyed from the Company (a private entity) to a New Mexico county, which immediately leases the project property back to the Company. The county retains ownership, and the lease continues, for the term of the bonds, which may be any period specified by the county, up to 30 years. Under the New Mexico Constitution and applicable state statues, this arrangement results in two separate tax subsidies. First, the project property is exempt from ad valorem taxation for the term of the bonds, and, second, purchases of project property that is tangible, depreciable, personal property are deductible or exempt, as the case may be, from gross receipts and compensating taxes.	New Mexico County Industrial Revenue Bonds ("IRB") - At the closing of a New Mexico County IRB transaction, the IRB project property, which may be any combination of real and personal property, is conveyed from the Company (a private entity) to a New Mexico county, which immediately leases the project property back to the Company. The county retains ownership, and the lease continues, for the term of the bonds, which may be any period specified by the county, up to 30 years. Under the New Mexico Constitution and applicable state statues, this arrangement results in two separate tax subsidies. First, the project property is exempt from ad valorem taxation for the term of the bonds, and, second, purchases of project property that is tangible, depreciable, personal property are deductible or exempt, as the case may be, from gross receipts and compensating taxes.	New Mexico County Industrial Revenue Bonds ("IRB") - At the closing of a New Mexico County IRB transaction, the IRB project property, which may be any combination of real and personal property, is conveyed from the Company (a private entity) to a New Mexico county, which immediately leases the project property back to the Company. The county retains ownership, and the lease continues, for the term of the bonds, which may be any period specified by the county, up to 30 years. Under the New Mexico Constitution and applicable state statues, this arrangement results in two separate tax subsidies. First, the project property is exempt from ad valorem taxation for the term of the bonds, and, second, purchases of project property that is tangible, depreciable, personal property are deductible or exempt, as the case may be, from gross receipts and compensating taxes.

Roosevelt County
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2017

Specific	(i) Ad valorem property tax (all	(i) Ad valorem property tax (all	(i) Ad valorem property tax (all
Tax(es) Being	project property); (ii) gross receipts	project property); (ii) gross receipts	project property); (ii) gross receipts
Abated	tax (tangible, depreciable, personal	tax (tangible, depreciable, personal	tax (tangible, depreciable, personal
	project property purchased from	project property purchased from	project property purchased from
	New Mexico vendors); and, (iii)	New Mexico vendors); and, (iii)	New Mexico vendors); and, (iii)
	compensating tax (tangible,	compensating tax (tangible,	compensating tax (tangible,
	depreciable, personal project	depreciable, personal project	depreciable, personal project
	property purchased from out-of-	property purchased from out-of-	property purchased from out-of-
	state vendors. Pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 4-59-12 (1975),	state vendors. Pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 4-59-12 (1975),	state vendors. Pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 4-59-12 (1975),
	interest on the bond is also exempt	interest on the bond is also exempt	interest on the bond is also exempt
	from New Mexico income tax. Since,	from New Mexico income tax. Since,	from New Mexico income tax. Since,
	however, most modern IRBs are sold	however, most modern IRBs are sold	however, most modern IRBs are sold
	to affiliates of the Company, on a	to affiliates of the Company, on a	to affiliates of the Company, on a
	consolidated basis there is no	consolidated basis there is no	consolidated basis there is no
	income arising from the interest, and	income arising from the interest, and	income arising from the interest, and
	the income tax exemption is	the income tax exemption is	the income tax exemption is
	meaningless. (This IRB is held by an	meaningless. (This IRB is held by an	meaningless. (This IRB is held by an
	affiliate of the Company)	affiliate of the Company)	affiliate of the Company)
Legal authority	County Industrial Revenue Bond Act,	County Industrial Revenue Bond Act,	County Industrial Revenue Bond Act,
under which	NMSA 1978, Sections 4-59-1 to -16	NMSA 1978, Sections 4-59-1 to -16	NMSA 1978, Sections 4-59-1 to -16
tax abatement	(1975, as amended through 2015)	(1975, as amended through 2015)	(1975, as amended through 2015)
agreement was entered into			
	D : 11 : 11 :	Description and the second stable to	Durch asses that are all while to
Criteria that make a	Businesses that are eligible to receive the tax abatements	Businesses that are eligible to receive the tax abatements	Businesses that are eligible to receive the tax abatements
recipient	described above include those that	described above include those that	described above include those that
eligible to	are: (1) an industry for the	are: (1) an industry for the	are: (1) an industry for the
receive a tax	manufacturing, processing or	manufacturing, processing or	manufacturing, processing or
abatement	assembling of agricultural or	assembling of agricultural or	assembling of agricultural or
	manufactured products; (2) a	manufactured products; (2) a	manufactured products; (2) a
	commercial enterprise that has	commercial enterprise that has	commercial enterprise that has
	received a permit from the energy,	received a permit from the energy,	received a permit from the energy,
	minerals and natural resources	minerals and natural resources	minerals and natural resources
	department for a mine that has not	department for a mine that has not	department for a mine that has not
	been in operation prior to the	been in operation prior to the issuance of bonds for the project for	been in operation prior to the
	issuance of bonds for the project for which the enterprise will be	which the enterprise will be	issuance of bonds for the project for which the enterprise will be
	involved; (3) a commercial	involved; (3) a commercial	involved; (3) a commercial
	enterprise that has received any	enterprise that has received any	enterprise that has received any
	necessary state permit for a refinery,	necessary state permit for a refinery,	necessary state permit for a refinery,
	treatment plant or processing plant	treatment plant or processing plant	treatment plant or processing plant
	of energy products that was not in	of energy products that was not in	of energy products that was not in
	operation prior to the issuance of	operation prior to the issuance of	operation prior to the issuance of
	bonds for which the enterprise will	bonds for which the enterprise will	bonds for which the enterprise will
	be involved; (4) a commercial	be involved; (4) a commercial	be involved; (4) a commercial
	enterprise in storing, warehousing,	enterprise in storing, warehousing,	enterprise in storing, warehousing,
	distributing or selling products of agriculture, mining or industry, but	distributing or selling products of agriculture, mining or industry, but	distributing or selling products of agriculture, mining or industry, but
	does not include a facility designed	does not include a facility designed	does not include a facility designed
	for the sale or distribution to the	for the sale or distribution to the	for the sale or distribution to the
	public of electricity, gas, telephone	public of electricity, gas, telephone	public of electricity, gas, telephone
	or other services commonly	or other services commonly	or other services commonly
	classified as public utilities, except	classified as public utilities, except	classified as public utilities, except
		classified as public utilities, except for: (a) water utilities and (b) any	classified as public utilities, except for: (a) water utilities and (b) any
	classified as public utilities, except		

Roosevelt County Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2017

	<u> </u>		<u> </u>
Criteria that	approval and a certificate of	approval and a certificate of	approval and a certificate of
make a	convenience and necessity are	convenience and necessity are	convenience and necessity are
recipient	required prior to commencing	required prior to commencing	required prior to commencing
eligible to	construction or operation of the	construction or operation of the	construction or operation of the
receive a tax	facility, pursuant to the Public Utility	facility, pursuant to the Public Utility	facility, pursuant to the Public Utility
abatement	Act; (5) a business in which all or	Act; (5) a business in which all or	Act; (5) a business in which all or
(continued)	part of the activities of the business	part of the activities of the business	part of the activities of the business involve the supplying of services to
	involve the supplying of services to the general public or to	involve the supplying of services to the general public or to	the general public or to
	governmental agencies or to a	governmental agencies or to a	governmental agencies or to a
	specific industry or customer; (6) a	specific industry or customer; (6) a	specific industry or customer; (6) a
	nonprofit corporation engaged in	nonprofit corporation engaged in	nonprofit corporation engaged in
	health care services; (7) a mass	health care services; (7) a mass	health care services; (7) a mass
	transit or other transportation	transit or other transportation	transit or other transportation
	activity involving the movement of	activity involving the movement of	activity involving the movement of
	passengers, an industrial park, an	passengers, an industrial park, an	passengers, an industrial park, an
	office headquarters and a research	office headquarters and a research	office headquarters and a research
	facility; (8) a water distribution or	facility; (8) a water distribution or	facility; (8) a water distribution or
	irrigation system, including without	irrigation system, including without	irrigation system, including without
	limitation, pumps, distribution lines,	limitation, pumps, distribution lines,	limitation, pumps, distribution lines,
	transmission lines, towers, dams and	transmission lines, towers, dams and	transmission lines, towers, dams and
	similar facilities and equipment; and	similar facilities and equipment; and	similar facilities and equipment; and
	(9) a 501(c)(3) corporation.	(9) a 501(c)(3) corporation.	(9) a 501(c)(3) corporation.
How are the	Exemption from Property Tax;	Exemption from Property Tax;	Exemption from Property Tax;
tax abatement	Reduction or Exemption from Gross	Reduction or Exemption from Gross	Reduction or Exemption from Gross
recipient's	Receipts Tax and Compensating Tax;	Receipts Tax and Compensating Tax;	Receipts Tax and Compensating Tax;
taxes reduced?	Exemption from Income Tax	Exemption from Income Tax	Exemption from Income Tax
(For example:			
through a			
reduction of			
assessed			
value)			
How is the	The amount of the tax subsidy is	The amount of the tax subsidy is	The amount of the tax subsidy is
amount of the	primarily determined by the nature	primarily determined by the nature	primarily determined by the nature
tax abatement	and value of the project property,	and value of the project property,	and value of the project property,
determined?	which is generally correlated with	which is generally correlated with	which is generally correlated with
For example,	the principal amount of the IRB	the principal amount of the IRB	the principal amount of the IRB
this could be a	issue. The amount of the tax subsidy	issue. The amount of the tax subsidy	issue. The amount of the tax subsidy
specific dollar	typically varies a great deal between	typically varies a great deal between	typically varies a great deal between
amount, a	the construction phase of the IRB	the construction phase of the IRB	the construction phase of the IRB
percentage of the tax	project and the period following the	project and the period following the	project and the period following the
	construction phase. During the construction phase, the company is	construction phase. During the construction phase, the company is	construction phase. During the construction phase, the company is
liability, etc.	ordinarily purchasing most of the	ordinarily purchasing most of the	ordinarily purchasing most of the
	equipment and other personal	equipment and other personal	equipment and other personal
	property that is eligible for gross	property that is eligible for gross	property that is eligible for gross
	receipts and comensating tax	receipts and comensating tax	receipts and comensating tax
	abatements. Such abatements are	abatements. Such abatements are	abatements. Such abatements are
	effective in full, immediately, and	effective in full, immediately, and	effective in full, immediately, and
	correspond currently to 5.125% of	correspond currently to 5.125% of	correspond currently to 5.125% of
	the price (for the compensating tax)	the price (for the compensating tax)	the price (for the compensating tax)
	and between 6.6250% and 8.1875%	and between 6.6250% and 8.1875%	and between 6.6250% and 8.1875%
	depending on the location in the	depending on the location in the	depending on the location in the
	county (for the gross receipts tax).	county (for the gross receipts tax).	county (for the gross receipts tax).

Roosevelt County
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2017

Have takka	Eventhalian and the IDD subside	Eventhal bour much of the IDD subside	Eve atter beauties of the IDD and aide
How is the	Exactly how much of the IRB subsidy	Exactly how much of the IRB subsidy	Exactly how much of the IRB subsidy
amount of the	takes the form of these excise tax	takes the form of these excise tax	takes the form of these excise tax
tax abatement	abatements depends on how much	abatements depends on how much	abatements depends on how much
determined?	of the project property corresponds	of the project property corresponds	of the project property corresponds
For example,	to eligible tangible depreciable	to eligible tangible depreciable	to eligible tangible depreciable
this could be a	personal property. The IRB	personal property. The IRB	personal property. The IRB
specific dollar	property tax exemption is effective	property tax exemption is effective	property tax exemption is effective
amount, a	starting in the first calendar year	starting in the first calendar year	starting in the first calendar year
percentage of	following the issuance of the bonds,	following the issuance of the bonds,	following the issuance of the bonds,
the tax	and continues until the last calendar	and continues until the last calendar	and continues until the last calendar
liability, etc.	year in which the bonds are	year in which the bonds are	year in which the bonds are
(continued)	outstanding (30 years max.). The	outstanding (30 years max.). The	outstanding (30 years max.). The
	assessed value of most real property	assessed value of most real property	assessed value of most real property
	that is subject to property taxation	that is subject to property taxation	that is subject to property taxation
	in New Mexico is based on the "fair	in New Mexico is based on the "fair	in New Mexico is based on the "fair
	value" of the property (which	value" of the property (which	value" of the property (which
	generally corresponds to its fair	generally corresponds to its fair	generally corresponds to its fair
	market value). The value of the IRB	market value). The value of the IRB	market value). The value of the IRB
	exemption on project real property	exemption on project real property	exemption on project real property
	is therefore also a function of the	is therefore also a function of the	is therefore also a function of the
	real property's fair market value.	real property's fair market value.	real property's fair market value.
	Over the term of the bonds, such fair	Over the term of the bonds, such fair	Over the term of the bonds, such fair
	market value, and the corresponding	market value, and the corresponding	market value, and the corresponding
	value of the tax exemption, may	value of the tax exemption, may	value of the tax exemption, may
	either decrease or increase,	either decrease or increase,	either decrease or increase,
	depending on market conditions,	depending on market conditions,	depending on market conditions,
	wear and tear on real property	wear and tear on real property	wear and tear on real property
	improvements, and other factors.	improvements, and other factors.	improvements, and other factors.
	The assessed value of most personal	The assessed value of most personal	The assessed value of most personal
	property in New Mexico is based on	property in New Mexico is based on	property in New Mexico is based on
	the depreciated value of the	the depreciated value of the	the depreciated value of the
	property, except that so long as the	property, except that so long as the	property, except that so long as the
	personal property is useful and used	personal property is useful and used	personal property is useful and used
	in the taxpayer's business, the	in the taxpayer's business, the	in the taxpayer's business, the
	assessed value may not be less than	assessed value may not be less than	assessed value may not be less than
	12.5% of the original price.	12.5% of the original price.	12.5% of the original price.
	Therefore, the value of the IRB	Therefore, the value of the IRB	Therefore, the value of the IRB
	exemption from property tax of	exemption from property tax of	exemption from property tax of
	persional property will be highest	persional property will be highest	persional property will be highest
	early in the IRB term, but will	early in the IRB term, but will	early in the IRB term, but will
	decline relatively quickly, over the	decline relatively quickly, over the	decline relatively quickly, over the
	depreciation period, to the 12.5%	depreciation period, to the 12.5%	depreciation period, to the 12.5%
	residual value.	residual value.	residual value.
Ano thors	No	Yes	Yes
Are there provisions for	INO	ies	ies
recapturing			
abated taxes?			
(Yes or No)			
(100 01 110)			

Roosevelt County Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2017

NOTE 18.	Tax Abatements (continued)		
If there are provisions for recapturing abated taxes, describe them, including the conditions under which abated taxes become eligible for recapture.	Not Applicable Not Applicable	Company is required to annually report number of full-time equivalent employees or contract service workers (collectively, "employees") employed by company at the facility. If the number of employees is less than 10 on the first December 31 following the Construction Completion Date (Dec. 1, 2017), then the company may be required to pay the County 20% (if less than 10 employees), 50% (if less than 8 employees) or 100% (if less than 6 employees) of the ad valorem taxes on the Project Property that the Company would have been required to pay with respect to the property tax year corresponding to the applicable date of the Company's failure to employ the projected FTE employees if the bonds had not been issued and the Project Property had been subject to ad valorem taxation, calculated using mill levies and actual property tax valuations and rates for each such applicable tax year, and without giving effect to any other tax credits or incentives that may have been available to the company. If the Company fails to complete the project or ceases operations within 5 years of the date of issuance of the bonds, the company must pay to the county, within 90 days of such failure or cessation, 100% (if prior to completion or in years 0-3), 80% (if in year 4), 60% (if in year 5) or 0% (if in year 6 and thereafter) of the ad valorem taxes on real and personal property that the company would have been required to pay if the bonds had not been issued by the county and the project property had been subject to ad valorem taxation, calculated as described above, plus the applicable percentage of the amount of gross receipts tax that would have been payable by vendors of the project property if the bonds had not been issued and receipts from sales of project property had not been deductible form gross receipts of the vendors, plus the applicable percentage of the amount of compensating tax that would have been payable by the company with	Company is required to annually report number of full-time equivalent employees or contract service workers (collectively, "employees") employed by company at the facility. If the number of employees is less than 2 on the first December 31 following the Construction Completion Date (Dec. 1, 2017), then the company may be required to pay the County 40% (if less than 2 employees) or 100% (if less than 1 employee) of the ad valorem taxes on the Project Property that the Company would have been required to pay with respect to the property tax year corresponding to the applicable date of the Company's failure to employ the projected FTE employees if the bonds had not been issued and the Project Property had been subject to ad valorem taxation, calculated using mill levies and actual property tax valuations and rates for each such applicable tax year, and without giving effect to any other tax credits or incentives that may have been available to the company. If the Company fails to complete the project or ceases operations within 5 years of the date of issuance of the bonds, the company must pay to the county, within 90 days of such failure or cessation, 100% (if prior to completion or in years 0-3), 80% (if in year 4), 60% (if in year 5) or 0% (if in year 6 and thereafter) of the ad valorem taxes on real and personal property that the company would have been required to pay if the bonds had not been issued by the county and the project property had been subject to ad valorem taxation, calculated as described above, plus the applicable percentage of the amount of gross receipts tax that would have been payable by vendors of the project property if the bonds had not been issued and receipts from sales of project property had not been issued and receipts from sales of project property had not been issued and receipts from sales of project property had not been issued and receipts from sales of project property had not been issued and receipts from sales of project property had not been deductible form gross receipts o
		respect to project property if the bonds had not been issued.	respect to project property if the bonds had not been issued.

Roosevelt County
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2017

NOTE 18. Tax Abatements (continued)

List each
specific
commitment
made by the
recipient of the
abatement.

- 1) Commitment to pay PILOT to Elida Municipal School District, as described in item 19, below; 2) Commitment to rebuild/restore approximately 13.6 miles of county roads that have deteriorated as a result of heavy truck traffic related to the heavy construction of the project upon completion of construction (expected cost not to exceed \$200,000); 3) Commitment to pay PILOT to county, as described in item 18, below.
- 1) Commitment to pay PILOT to Elida Municipal School District and Dora Consolidated School District, as described in item 19, below; 2) Commitment to pay PILOT to county, as described in item 18, below; 3) Contingent commitment to repay abated taxes, as described in item 14, above; 4) Certain obligations for maintenance and repair of county roads, as descibed in the Road Maintenance Agreement dated as of December 16, 2014 by and between the company and the county.
- 1) Commitment to pay PILOT to Elida Municipal School District and Dora Consolidated School District, as described in item 19, below: 2) Commitment to pay PILOT to county, as described in item 18, below; 3) Contingent commitment to repay abated taxes, as described in item 14, above; 4) Certain obligations for maintenance and repair of county roads (jointly and severally with Roosevelt Wind Project, LLC), as descibed in the Road Maintenance Agreement dated as of December 16, 2014 by and between Roosevelt Wind Project, LLC and the county.

Gross dollar amount, on an accrual basis, by which the government's tax revenues were reduced during the reporting period as a result of the tax abatement agreement.

If the IRB project property were not tax exempt, the amount of the property tax owed to the county on such property would be the county's mill levy for the reporting period, multiplied by 1/3 of the property's assessed value. Although real property is generally assessed equal to its market value, there are important exceptions. Because this IRB is an electrical generation project, most of the project improvements related directly to generation and transmission, such as the solar panels, the wind towers, transmission lines, transformer. inverters and other electrical equipment (collectively, the "Electric Plant"), is assessed at its cost less accumulated straight-line depreciation, but in no event less than 20% of its cost. NMSA 1978, Section 7-36-29 (2016). This rule applies regardless of whether or not a given item of Electric Plant is real property or personal property. The assessed value of the remaining project personal property (the "Other Personalty") is determined similarly, except that the residual depreciated value is set at 12.5% of its original cost, rather than 20%. NMSA 1978, Section 7-36-33(C)(3) (1982).

If the IRB project property were not tax exempt, the amount of the property tax owed to the county on such property would be the county's mill levy for the reporting period, multiplied by 1/3 of the property's assessed value. Although real property is generally assessed equal to its market value, there are important exceptions. Because this IRB is an electrical generation project, most of the project improvements related directly to generation and transmission, such as the solar panels, the wind towers, transmission lines, transformer. inverters and other electrical equipment (collectively, the "Electric Plant"), is assessed at its cost less accumulated straight-line depreciation, but in no event less than 20% of its cost. NMSA 1978, Section 7-36-29 (2016). This rule applies regardless of whether or not a given item of Electric Plant is real property or personal property. The assessed value of the remaining project personal property (the "Other Personalty") is determined similarly, except that the residual depreciated value is set at 12.5% of its original cost, rather than 20%. NMSA 1978, Section 7-36-33(C)(3)

(1982).

If the IRB project property were not tax exempt, the amount of the property tax owed to the county on such property would be the county's mill levy for the reporting period, multiplied by 1/3 of the property's assessed value. Although real property is generally assessed equal to its market value, there are important exceptions. Because this IRB is an electrical generation project, most of the project improvements related directly to generation and transmission, such as the solar panels, the wind towers, transmission lines, transformer. inverters and other electrical equipment (collectively, the "Electric Plant"), is assessed at its cost less accumulated straight-line depreciation, but in no event less than 20% of its cost. NMSA 1978, Section 7-36-29 (2016). This rule applies regardless of whether or not a given item of Electric Plant is real property or personal property. The assessed value of the remaining project personal property (the "Other Personalty") is determined similarly, except that the residual depreciated value is set at 12.5% of its original cost, rather than 20%. NMSA 1978, Section 7-36-33(C)(3) (1982).

Roosevelt County Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2017

NOTE 18. Tax Abatements (continued)

Gross dollar amount, on an accrual basis, by which the government's tax revenues were reduced during the reporting period as a result of the tax abatement agreement. (continued)

In order to determine the foregone property tax for the reporting period on project property, therefore, the county needs to know what the assessed value would be, if it were taxable, of the project real property (the "Project Realty Value"), the Electric Plant (the "Electric Plant Value") and the Other Personalty (the "Project Personalty Value"), and together with the Project Realty Value and the Electric Plant Value, the "Values"). Unfortunately, the county has no satisfactory way of determining any of the Values. If the project property were taxable, then the county assessor would have the duty of assessing the project real property and the Other Personalty. and the State Property Tax Bureau would have the duty of assessing the Electric Plant. Since the project property is not taxable, however, neither of these governmental entities have any duty or reason to perform such assessments. Nor does the company have any legal duty to calculate and report independent estimates of any of the Values. Since neither GASB 77 nor any similar requirement was anticipated at the time the IRB was issued, none of the IRB documents includes any provision requiring the company to estimate the Project Realty Value, either on a year by year basis or otherwise, or to report the cost, acquisition dates and depreciation schedules of the Electric Plant and the Other Personalty. For future IRBs, the county can incorporate provisions requiring companies benefitting from its IRBs to report information to the county, the county assessor and the property tax bureau that is similar to that currently required to be reported for taxable property. For the current reporting period, however, the county is restricted to relatively crude estimates, as described below. The principal amount of an IRB represents the maximum amount that a company may expend on the IRB project. The company is not obligated to spend the maximum sum, and it frequently spends

significantly less.

In order to determine the foregone property tax for the reporting period on project property, therefore, the county needs to know what the assessed value would be, if it were taxable, of the project real property (the "Project Realty Value"), the Electric Plant (the "Electric Plant Value") and the Other Personalty (the "Project Personalty Value"), and together with the Project Realty Value and the Electric Plant Value, the "Values"). Unfortunately, the county has no satisfactory way of determining any of the Values. If the project property were taxable, then the county assessor would have the duty of assessing the project real property and the Other Personalty. and the State Property Tax Bureau would have the duty of assessing the Electric Plant. Since the project property is not taxable, however, neither of these governmental entities have any duty or reason to perform such assessments. Nor does the company have any legal duty to calculate and report independent estimates of any of the Values. Since neither GASB 77 nor any similar requirement was anticipated at the time the IRB was issued, none of the IRB documents includes any provision requiring the company to estimate the Project Realty Value. either on a year by year basis or otherwise, or to report the cost, acquisition dates and depreciation schedules of the Electric Plant and the Other Personalty. For future IRBs, the county can incorporate provisions requiring companies benefitting from its IRBs to report information to the county, the county assessor and the property tax bureau that is similar to that currently required to be reported for taxable property. For the current reporting period, however, the county is restricted to relatively crude estimates, as described below. The principal amount of an IRB represents the maximum amount that a company may expend on the IRB project. The company is not obligated to spend the maximum

In order to determine the foregone property tax for the reporting period on project property, therefore, the county needs to know what the assessed value would be, if it were taxable, of the project real property (the "Project Realty Value"), the Electric Plant (the "Electric Plant Value") and the Other Personalty (the "Project Personalty Value"), and together with the Project Realty Value and the Electric Plant Value, the "Values"). Unfortunately, the county has no satisfactory way of determining any of the Values. If the project property were taxable, then the county assessor would have the duty of assessing the project real property and the Other Personalty, and the State Property Tax Bureau would have the duty of assessing the Electric Plant. Since the project property is not taxable, however. neither of these governmental entities have any duty or reason to perform such assessments. Nor does the company have any legal duty to calculate and report independent estimates of any of the Values. Since neither GASB 77 nor any similar requirement was anticipated at the time the IRB was issued, none of the IRB documents includes any provision requiring the company to estimate the Project Realty Value, either on a year by year basis or otherwise, or to report the cost, acquisition dates and depreciation schedules of the Electric Plant and the Other Personalty. For future IRBs, the county can incorporate provisions requiring companies benefitting from its IRBs to report information to the county, the county assessor and the property tax bureau that is similar to that currently required to be reported for taxable property. For the current reporting period, however, the county is restricted to relatively crude estimates, as described below. The principal amount of an IRB represents the maximum amount that a company may expend on the IRB project. The company is not obligated to spend the maximum sum, and it frequently spends

significantly less.

sum, and it frequently spends

significantly less.

Roosevelt County
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2017

NOTE 18. Tax Abatements (continued)

Gross dollar amount, on an accrual basis, by which the government's tax revenues were reduced during the reporting period as a result of the tax abatement agreement. (continued)

The county assumes that the actual expenditure for the IRB was, or will be, approximately 80% of the maximum principal amount of the bond issue. Of such expenditures. the county assumes that 10% corresponds to the value of the project real property that is not included in the Electric Plant, 85% was spent on the Electric Plant, and 5% was spent on Other Personalty. The County further assumes that the Electric Plant and the Other Personalty for this IRB has been fully depreciated, and that, if it had been taxable, 10% of the Electric Plant and Other Personalty would have been subject to gross receipts tax, and 80% would have been subject to compensating tax (note that certain construction expenditures will have been subject to gross receipts tax notwithstanding the effect of the IRBs). The total County mill levy for the reporting period is 11.765 for non-residential property, and the county gross receipts tax rate is 1.5000%. Based on the foregoing facts and assumptions, the reduction in property and excise taxes received by the county for the IRB may be estimated as follows: Total Project Expenditures = (.8) (112,000,000) = \$89,600,000Project Realty Value = (.1) (89,600,000) = \$8,960,000 Foregone ad valorm tax (real property) -(\$8,960,000/3)(11.765/1000) =\$35,138.13. Electric Plant Value = (.85)(\$89,600,000) = \$76,160,000.Foregone ad valorem tax (Electric Plant) = (\$76,160,000)(.2)(1/3)(11.765/1000) = \$59,734.83. Other Personalty Value = (.05)(89,600,000) = \$4,480,000Foregone ad valorem tax (Other Personalty Value) = (\$4,480,000)(.125)(1/3) (11.765/1000) = \$2.196.13. Foregone gross receipts tax = \$0(county does not receive any portion of the compensating tax, all of which accrues to the state. There is not gross receipts tax subsidy for the reporting period, because that subsidy does not survive the completion of the project [and this project has been completed].

The county assumes that the actual expenditure for the IRB was, or will be, approximately 80% of the maximum principal amount of the bond issue. Of such expenditures. the county assumes that 10% corresponds to the value of the project real property that is not included in the Electric Plant, 85% was spent on the Electric Plant, and 5% was spent on Other Personalty. Because this is a fairly recent IRB transaction, the County further assumes that the Electric Plant and the Other Personalty for this IRB has not depreciated (estimates based on full value), and that, if it had been taxable, 10% of the Electric Plant and Other Personalty would have been subject to gross receipts tax, and 80% would have been subject to compensating tax (note that the county does not receive any portion of the compensating tax, all of which accrues to the state and that certain construction expenditures will have been subject to gross receipts tax notwithstanding the effect of the IRBs). The total County mill levy for the reporting period is 11.765 for non-residential property, and the county gross receipts tax rate is 1.5000%. Based on the foregoing facts and assumptions, the reduction in property and excise taxes received by the county for the IRB may be estimated as follows: Total Project Expenditures = (.8) (358.000.000) = \$286.400.000Project Realty Value = (.1) (286,400,000) = \$28,640,000Foregone ad valorm tax (real property) - (\$28,640,000/3) (11.765/1000) = \$112,316.53. Electric Plant Value = (.85)(\$286,400,000) =\$243,440,000. Foregone ad valorem tax (Electric Plant) = (\$243,400,000)(1/3)(11.765/1000) = \$954,690.53.Other Personalty Value = (.05)(286,400,000) = \$14,320,000Foregone ad valorem tax (Other Personalty Value) = (\$14,320,000)(1/3) (11.765/1000) = \$56,158.27.

The county assumes that the actual expenditure for the IRB was, or will be, approximately 80% of the maximum principal amount of the bond issue. Of such expenditures. the county assumes that 10% corresponds to the value of the project real property that is not included in the Electric Plant, 85% was spent on the Electric Plant, and 5% was spent on Other Personalty. Because this is a fairly recent IRB transaction, the County further assumes that the Electric Plant and the Other Personalty for this IRB has not depreciated (estimates based on full value), and that, if it had been taxable, 10% of the Electric Plant and Other Personalty would have been subject to gross receipts tax, and 80% would have been subject to compensating tax (note that the county does not receive any portion of the compensating tax, all of which accrues to the state and that certain construction expenditures will have been subject to gross receipts tax notwithstanding the effect of the IRBs). The total County mill levy for the reporting period is 11.765 for non-residential property, and the county gross receipts tax rate is 1.5000%. Based on the foregoing facts and assumptions, the reduction in property and excise taxes received by the county for the IRB may be estimated as follows: Total Project Expenditures = (.8) (72,000,000) = \$57,600,000 Project Realty Value = (.1) (57,600,000) = \$5,760,000 Foregone ad valorm tax (real property) - (\$5,760,000/3) (11.765/1000) = \$22,588.80Electric Plant Value = (.85)(\$57,600,000) = \$48,960,000.Foregone ad valorem tax (Electric Plant = (\$48,960,000)(1/3)(11.765/1000) = \$192,004.80. Other Personalty Value = (.05)(57,600,000) = \$2,880,000Foregone ad valorem tax (Other Personalty Value) = (\$2,880,000)(1/3)(11.765/1000) =\$11,294.40. Foregone gross receipts tax = (\$48,960,000 +2,880,000(.1)(.015000) = 77,760. PILOT payment to County = \$75,000.

Roosevelt County
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2017

Gross dollar amount, on an accrual basis, by which the government's tax revenues were reduced during the reporting period as a result of the tax abatement agreement. (continued)	PILOT payment to County = \$144,500. Total reduction (appreciation) in county taxes (revenues) = \$35,138.13 + \$59,734.83 + \$2,196.13 - \$144,500 =\$(47,430.91).	Foregone gross receipts tax =	Total reduction (appreciation) in county taxes (revenues) = \$22,588.80 + \$192,004.80 + \$11,294.40 + \$77,760 - \$75,000 = \$228,648.
For any Payments in Lieu of Taxes (PILOTs) or similar payments receivable by your agency or another agency in association with the foregone tax revenue, list the authority for and describe the payment, including the agency that is supposed to receive the payment	NMSA 1978, Section 4-59-4(A)(2) (2003) ("A county shall not acquire any electricity generation facility facility project unless the acquisition is approved by the local school board of the school district in which a project is located and the board of county commissioners, the local school board and the person proposing the project negotiate and determine the amount of annual inlieu tax payment to be made to the school district by the person proposing the project, for the period that the county owns and leases the project, and provided such approval shall not be unreasonably withheld."); NMSA 1978, Section 4-59-4(B) (2003) (Authorizing county "to sell or lease or otherwise dispose of any or all of its projects upon such terms and conditions as the [county] commission may deem advisable and as shall no conflict with the provisions of the County Industrial Revenue Bond Act[.]").	NMSA 1978, Section 4-59-4(A)(2) (2003) ("A county shall not acquire any electricity generation facility facility project unless the acquisition is approved by the local school board of the school district in which a project is located and the board of county commissioners, the local school board and the person proposing the project negotiate and determine the amount of annual inlieu tax payment to be made to the school district by the person proposing the project, for the period that the county owns and leases the project, and provided such approval shall not be unreasonably withheld."); NMSA 1978, Section 4-59-4(B) (2003) (Authorizing county "to sell or lease or otherwise dispose of any or all of its projects upon such terms and conditions as the [county] commission may deem advisable and as shall no conflict with the provisions of the County Industrial Revenue Bond Act[.]").	NMSA 1978, Section 4-59-4(A)(2) (2003) ("A county shall not acquire any electricity generation facility facility project unless the acquisition is approved by the local school board of the school district in which a project is located and the board of county commissioners, the local school board and the person proposing the project negotiate and determine the amount of annual inlieu tax payment to be made to the school district by the person proposing the project, for the period that the county owns and leases the project, and provided such approval shall not be unreasonably withheld."); NMSA 1978, Section 4-59-4(B) (2003) (Authorizing county "to sell or lease or otherwise dispose of any or all of its projects upon such terms and conditions as the [county] commission may deem advisable and as shall no conflict with the provisions of the County Industrial Revenue Bond Act[.]").
For any Payments in Lieu of Taxes (PILOTs) or similar payments receivable by your agency in association with the foregone tax revenue, list the amount of payments received in the current fiscal year	\$144,500	\$366,000	\$75,000

Roosevelt County Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2017

For any	Elida Municipal School District -	Elida Municipal School District &	Elida Municipal School District &
For any Payments in Lieu of Taxes (PILOTs) or similar payments receivable by a different agency in association with the foregone tax revenue, list the name of the agency and the amount of payments received in the current fiscal year	\$148,000	Dora Consolidated School District & Dora Consolidated School District - \$215,000 (combined amount) - The amount of the PILOT to be paid to each school district shall be prorated based on number of MegaWatts of generation capacity associated with the portion of the facility located within each school district.	Dora Consolidated School District & S44,000 (combined amount) - The amount of the PILOT to be paid to each school district shall be prorated based on number of MegaWatts of generation capacity associated with the portion of the facility located within each school district.
List each specific commitment made by your agency or any other government, other than the tax abatement.	None, other than covenants related to maintenance of the bonds and the related bond agreements during the term of the bonds.	None, other than covenants related to maintenance of the bonds and the related bond agreements during the term of the bonds.	None, other than covenants related to maintenance of the bonds and the related bond agreements during the term of the bonds.
Are any other governments affected by this tax abatement agreement? (Yes or No) If yes, list each affected agency and complete an intergovernme ntal disclosure for each such agency.	Yes: 1) Elida Municipal School District; 2) State of New Mexico	Yes: 1) Elida Municipal School District; 2) Dora Consolidated School District; 3) State of New Mexico	Yes: 1) Elida Municipal School District; 2) Dora Consolidated School District; 3) State of New Mexico
If your agency is omitting any information required in this spreadsheet or by GASB 77, cite the legal basis for such omission.	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

Roosevelt County
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2017

NOTE 19. Concentrations

The County depends on financial resources flowing from, or associated with, property taxes, gross receipts taxes, the Federal Government and the State of New Mexico. Because of this dependency, the County is subject to changes in specific flows of intergovernmental revenues based on modifications to Federal and State laws and Federal and State Appropriations; changes in gross receipts tax rates, collections, tourism, and property values.

NOTE 20. Subsequent Pronouncements

In June 2015, GASB Statement No. 75 *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions* was issued. Effective Date: The provisions of this Statement are effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2017. The standard will be implemented during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2018. The County expects this pronouncement to have a material effect on the financial statements.

In March 2016, GASB Statement No. 81 *Irrevocable Split-Interest Agreements* was issued. Effective Date: The requirements of this Statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016. Earlier application is encouraged. The County is still evaluating how this pronouncement will affect the financial statements.

In November 2016, GASB Statement No. 83, *Certain Asset Retirement Obligations* was issued. Effective Date: The requirements of this Statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2018. Earlier application is encouraged. The County is still evaluating how this pronouncement will affect the financial statements.

In January 2017, GASB Statement No. 84, *Fiduciary Activities* was issued. Effective Date: The requirements of this Statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2018. Earlier application is encouraged. The County is still evaluating how this pronouncement will affect the financial statements.

In March 2017, GASB Statement No. 85 *Omnibus 2017* was issued. Effective Date: The requirements of this Statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2017. Earlier application is encouraged. This Statement addresses a variety of topics including issues related to blending component units, goodwill, fair value measurement and application, and postemployment benefits (pensions and other postemployment benefits [OPEB]). The County is still evaluating how this pronouncement will affect the financial statements.

In May 2017, GASB Statement No. 86 *Certain Debt Extinguishment Issues* was issued. Effective Date: The requirements of this Statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2017. Earlier application is encouraged. The County is still evaluating how this pronouncement will affect the financial statements.

In June 2017, GASB Statement No. 87 *Leases* was issued. Effective Date: The requirements of this Statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2019. Earlier application is encouraged. The County is still evaluating how this pronouncement will affect the financial statements.

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Schedule I Page 1 of 2

Roosevelt County

Schedule of the County's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability of PERA Fund Municipal General Division
Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) Plan
Last 10 Fiscal Years*

		2017 Measurement Date (As of and for the Year Ended June 30, 2016)		2016 Measurement Date (As of and for the Year Ended June 30, 2015)		2015 Measurement Date (As of and for the Year Ended June 30, 2014)	
Roosevelt County's proportion of the net pension liability		0.2343%		0.2761%		0.2866%	
Roosevelt County's proportionate share of the net pension liability	\$	3,743,326	\$	2,815,079	\$	2,235,788	
Roosevelt County's covered payroll	\$	1,937,232	\$	2,312,147	\$	2,521,773	
Roosevelt County's proportionate share of the net pension liability as a percentage of its covered payroll		193.23%		121.75%		88.66%	
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability		69.18%		76.99%		81.29%	

^{*} The amounts presented were determined as of June 30. This schedule is presented to illustrate the requirement to show information for 10 years. However, until a full 10-year trend is compiled, Roosevelt County will present information for those years for which information is available.

Schedule I Page 2 of 2

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

Roosevelt County

Schedule of the County's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability of PERA Fund Municipal Police Division Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) Plan Last 10 Fiscal Years*

	2017 Measurement Date (As of and for the Year Ended June 30, 2016)		2016 Measurement Date (As of and for the Year Ended June 30, 2015)		2015 Measurement Date (As of and for the Year Ended June 30, 2014)	
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Roosevelt County's proportion of the net pension liability		0.2971%		0.3040%		0.2651%
Roosevelt County's proportionate share of the net pension liability	\$	2,192,092	\$	1,461,802	\$	864,197
Roosevelt County's covered payroll	\$	588,749	\$	596,549	\$	499,825
Roosevelt County's proportionate share of the net pension liability as a percentage of its covered payroll		372.33%		245.04%		172.90%
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability		69.18%		76.99%		81.29%

^{*} The amounts presented were determined as of June 30. This schedule is presented to illustrate the requirement to show information for 10 years. However, until a full 10-year trend is compiled, Roosevelt County will present information for those years for which information is available.

Roosevelt County
Schedule of the County's Contributions
Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) Plan
PERA Fund Municipal General Division
Last 10 Fiscal Years*

	As of and for the Year Ended June 30, 2017		As of and for the Year Ended June 30, 2016		As of and for the Year Ended June 30, 2015	
Contractually required contribution	\$	262,618	\$	226,656	\$	270,521
Contributions in relation to the contractually required contribution		(262,618)		(226,656)		(270,521)
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$	_	\$	_	\$	
Roosevelt County's covered payroll	\$	2,244,598	\$	1,937,232	\$	2,312,147
Contributions as a percentage of covered payroll		11.70%		11.70%		11.70%

^{*} The amounts presented were determined as of June 30. This schedule is presented to illustrate the requirement to show information for 10 years. However, until a full 10-year trend is compiled, Roosevelt County will present information for those years for which information is available.

Roosevelt County
Schedule of the County's Contributions
Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) Plan
PERA Fund Municipal Police Division
Last 10 Fiscal Years*

	t	of and for he Year Ended e 30, 2017	t	of and for the Year Ended te 30, 2016	t	of and for the Year Ended te 30, 2015
Contractually required contribution	\$	112,990	\$	106,612	\$	112,748
Contributions in relation to the contractually required contribution		(112,990)		(106,612)		(112,748)
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$		\$		\$	
Roosevelt County's covered payroll	\$	597,831	\$	564,085	\$	596,549
Contributions as a percentage of covered payroll		18.90%		18.90%		18.90%

^{*} The amounts presented were determined as of June 30. This schedule is presented to illustrate the requirement to show information for 10 years. However, until a full 10-year trend is compiled, Roosevelt County will present information for those years for which information is available.

Roosevelt County Notes to Required Supplementary Information For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

Changes of benefit terms. The PERA Fund COLA and retirement eligibility benefits changes in recent years are described in Note 1 of the PERA FY15 audit available at http://www.nmpera.org/assets/uploads/downloads/comprehensive-annual-financial-reports/2016-CAFR 12.22.2016 FINAL-with-corrections.pdf

Changes of assumptions. The Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) of New Mexico Annual Actuarial Valuation as of June 30, 2016 report is available at http://s3.amazonaws.com/boardaudio/Final-Version-2016-PERA-GASB-68-Report.pdf See the notes to the financial statements on the CAFR pages 74-76 which summarizes actuarial assumptions and methods effective with the June 30, 2016 valuation.

See independent auditors' report.
See notes to required supplementary information.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

(This page intentionally left blank.)

NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

Roosevelt County Nonmajor Governmental Fund Descriptions June 30, 2017

Special Revenue Funds

<u>Predatory Animal Control Special Revenue Fund</u> – To account for funds tied directly to the management of the County's population of predatory animals. Financing is provided by Taylor Grazing Act (6-11-5; NMSA 1978 Compilation) and from transfers from General Fund requested and approved by the governing body.

<u>County Healthcare Special Revenue Fund</u> – To account for revenues received from state shared gross receipts taxes for hospital service for indigent citizens of the County. The fund was created by authority of state statute (see Section 7-20E-9, NMSA 1978 Compilation).

Sheriff's Evidence Special Revenue Fund – To account for evidence seized by the Sheriff's Office. Fund was created by authority of the governing body by default upon approval of the budget.

<u>Law Enforcement Protection Special Revenue Fund</u> – To account for revenues and expenditures for maintaining and improving the County's law enforcement department in order to enhance its efficiency and effectiveness. Funding is from the State appropriation authorized by Section 29-13-3, NMSA.

GRT Roosevelt General Hospital Special Revenue Fund – To account for management of GRT collected and distributed for Roosevelt County Special Hospital District. The funds were passing through the General Fund previously. It was approved by the governing body by default upon approval of the budget.

<u>Corrections Special Revenue Fund</u> – To account for funds tied directly to inmate welfare. Fund was created by authority of state statute 33-3-25.

<u>County Clerk's Fees Special Revenue Fund</u> – To account for portion of the filing fee charged by the County Clerk. For each fee of twenty-five dollars (\$25.00) collected by the county clerk pursuant to this section, eighteen dollars (\$18.00) shall be deposited in the county general fund and seven dollars (\$7.00) shall be deposited in the county clerk recording and filing fund. Fund was created by authority of state statute Section 14-8-13 NMSA 1978 and 14-8-15

<u>Misdemeanor Probation Special Revenue Fund</u> – To account for the Misdemeanor Probation Monitoring Officer for Roosevelt County Magistrate Court, a program authorized pursuant to NMSA § 31-20-5.1 NMSA; Roosevelt County's program complies with guidelines established by the Administrative Office of the Courts.

<u>Traffic Grants Special Revenue Fund</u> – To account for grants awarded to Sheriff's Office for traffic enforcement and alcohol monitoring. Fund was created by authority of the governing body by default upon approval of the budget.

<u>Milnesand Fire/EMS Special Revenue Fund</u> – To account for revenues and expenditures of County fire and EMS funds for the community of Milnesand and the surrounding area. Funding is provided by transfers from General Fund and Ambulance Service Fees. Fund was created by authority of the governing body by default upon approval of the budget.

<u>Milnesand Fire Protection Special Revenue Fund</u> – To account for revenues and expenditures of fire protection funds for the community of Milnesand and the surrounding area. Funding is provided by allotments from the New Mexico State Fire Marshall's Office. The funds were created under the authority of state statute (see Section 59A-53-5, NMSA 1978 Compilation).

<u>Milnesand EMS Special Revenue Fund</u> – To account for revenues and expenditures of EMS funds for the community of Milnesand and the surrounding area. Funding is provided by grants from the State of New Mexico Health Department to be utilized for emergency services provided within the County. Funding is authorized by Section 24-10A-6, NMSA 1978.

Roosevelt County Nonmajor Governmental Fund Descriptions June 30, 2017

Special Revenue Funds (continued)

Arch Fire/EMS Special Revenue Fund – To account for revenues and expenditures of County fire and EMS funds for the community of Arch and the surrounding area. Funding is provided by transfers from General Fund and Ambulance Service Fees. Fund was created by authority of the governing body by default upon approval of the budget.

<u>Arch Fire Protection Special Revenue Fund</u> – To account for revenues and expenditures of fire protection funds for the communities of Arch and the surrounding area. Funding is provided by allotments from the New Mexico State Fire Marshall's Office. The funds were created under the authority of state statute (see Section 59A-53-5, NMSA 1978 Compilation).

<u>Arch EMS Special Revenue Fund</u> – To account for revenues and expenditures of EMS funds for the community of Arch and the surrounding area. Funding is provided by grants from the State of New Mexico Health Department to be utilized for emergency services provided within the County. Funding is authorized by Section 24-10A-6, NMSA, 1978.

Reappraisal Special Revenue Fund – To account for funds used to provide valuation services to the County and other local entities. This fund was created by authority of state statute (see Section 7-38-38.1, NMSA 1978 Compilation).

Debt Service Funds

<u>Indoor Arena Debt Service Fund</u> – To account for funds used to accumulate resources to retire bonds issued for the purpose of purchasing and equipping an indoor arena at the fairgrounds. Authority for creation of the fund is by County Commission Ordinance and the County Commission budget adoption and approval.

<u>Courthouse Renovation Debt Service Fund</u> – To account for NMFA loan acquired for the Courthouse mold remediation and HVAC renovation. This fund was approved by the governing body by default upon approval of the budget.

<u>Magistrate Court Debt Service Fund</u> – To account for NMFA loan acquired for the design and construction of the Magistrate Court building. It was approved by the governing body by default upon approval of the budget.

Roosevelt County Nonmajor Governmental Funds Combining Balance Sheet June 30, 2017

		- P	 		
	edatory al Control	County althcare	neriff's ence Fund	Enforc	nw cement on Fund
Assets Cash and cash equivalents Investments Current receivables:	\$ 1,635	\$ 1,472 -	\$ 7,581 -	\$	-
Other taxes Other	 - -	67,233 -	- -		- -
Total assets	\$ 1,635	\$ 68,705	\$ 7,581	\$	-
Liabilities and fund balances					
Liabilities Accounts payable Accrued payroll	\$ - -	\$ 1,012 669	\$ - -	\$	- -
Total liabilities	 	 1,681	 		
Fund balances Spendable Restricted for:					
General county operations EMS and fire departments	-	-	-		-
Public safety	_	_	_		_
Health and welfare	1,635	67,024	-		-
Debt service expenditures Committed to:	-	-	-		-
Sheriff's evidence EMS and fire departments	 -	- -	 7,581 -		- -
Total fund balances	 1,635	 67,024	 7,581		
Total liabilities and fund balances	\$ 1,635	\$ 68,705	\$ 7,581	\$	-

(Roosevelt General pital Fund	Co	rrections Fund	Cou	County Clerk's Fees		demeanor obation	Traf	fic Grants	ilnesand ire/EMS
\$	-	\$	72,708	\$	104,187	\$	39,238	\$	1,149	\$ 149,977
	172,007 -		- 1,313		- - -		- - -		- -	- - -
\$	172,007	\$	74,021	\$	104,187	\$	39,238	\$	1,149	\$ 149,977
\$	172,007	\$	380	\$	- -	\$	- -	\$	- -	\$ -
	172,007		380		-		-		-	
	-		-		104,187		-		-	-
	-		73,641		-		39,238		1,149	-
	-		-		-		-		-	-
	- -		- -		<u>-</u>		- -		- -	- 149,977
			73,641		104,187		39,238		1,149	 149,977
\$	172,007	\$	74,021	\$	104,187	\$	39,238	\$	1,149	\$ 149,977

Roosevelt County Nonmajor Governmental Funds Combining Balance Sheet June 30, 2017

	nesand Fire rotection	Milnesa	and EMS	Arch	Fire/EMS	rch Fire otection
Assets Cash and cash equivalents Investments Current receivables: Other taxes	\$ 391,747	\$	1 -	\$	25,686	\$ 75,937
Other	 <u> </u>		<u> </u>			
Total assets	\$ 391,747	\$	1	\$	25,686	\$ 75,937
Liabilities and fund balances						
Liabilities Accounts payable Accrued payroll	\$ 57 -	\$	<u>-</u>	\$	- -	\$ 157 -
Total liabilities	57					157
Fund balances Spendable Restricted for:						
General county operations EMS and fire departments Public safety	391,690		1		-	75,780 -
Health and welfare Debt service expenditures	-		-		-	-
Committed to: Sheriff's evidence EMS and fire departments	 - -		- -		- 25,686	- -
Total fund balances	391,690		1		25,686	75,780
Total liabilities and fund balances	\$ 391,747	\$	1	\$	25,686	\$ 75,937

	Special	Reven	ue	Debt Service						
Arc	ch EMS	Re	eappraisal	Ind	loor Arena		urthouse enovation	M	agistrate Court	al Nonmajor vernmental Funds
\$	102	\$	97,143 179,939	\$	257,680 -	\$	219,846	\$	554,367 -	\$ 2,000,456 179,939
	- -		- -		-		-		- -	 239,240 1,313
\$	102	\$	277,082	\$	257,680	\$	219,846	\$	554,367	\$ 2,420,948
\$	- -	\$	101 846	\$	- -	\$	- -	\$	313	\$ 174,027 1,515
			947						313	 175,542
	102		276,135		-		-		-	380,322 467,573
	102		-		-		-		-	114,028
	-		-		-		-		-	68,659
	-		-		257,680		219,846		554,054	1,031,580
	-		-		-		-		-	7,581
									-	 175,663
	102		276,135		257,680		219,846		554,054	 2,245,406
\$	102	\$	277,082	\$	257,680	\$	219,846	\$	554,367	\$ 2,420,948

Roosevelt County

Nonmajor Governmental Funds

Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

Special	Revenue
---------	---------

	Predatory		County		Sheriff's	Enf	Law orcement
	Animal Contro	l	Healthcare		dence Fund		Fund
Revenues							
Taxes:							
Gross receipts Intergovernmental:	\$	- :	\$ 512,883	\$	-	\$	-
State operating grants	907	7	-	-	_		27,800
Charges for services		-	-		-		
Investment income (loss)		-	-	-	-		-
Miscellaneous			-		5		-
Total revenues	907	<u> </u>	512,883	<u> </u>	5		27,800
Expenditures							
Current:							
General government Public safety	•	-	-	-	-		37,702
Health and welfare		-	650,360	·)	-		37,702
Capital outlay		-	-	-	_		-
Debt service:							
Principal		-	-	-	-		-
Interest			<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
Total expenditures			650,360	<u> </u>	-		37,702
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over							
expenditures	907	<u> </u>	(137,477	<u>) </u>	5		(9,902)
Other financing sources (uses)							
Transfers in	-	-	-	-	-		-
Transfers (out)	·		-	<u> </u>			
Total other financing sources (uses)			-	<u> </u>	-		-
Net change in fund balances	907	7	(137,477	")	5		(9,902)
Fund balances - beginning of year	728	<u> </u>	204,501	<u> </u>	7,576		9,902
Fund balances - end of year	\$ 1,635	<u> </u>	\$ 67,024	\$	7,581	\$	-

(Roosevelt General pital Fund	Corrections Fund	Co	unty Clerk's Fees	demeanor obation	Traf	fic Grants	ilnesand ire/EMS
\$	824,509	\$	- \$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -
	-	53,01	- 5	- 29,064 61	- 76,405		14,995 -	- 8,467
	- -	2,83	<u> 2</u>	-	<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
	824,509	55,84	7	29,125	 76,405		14,995	 8,467
	- - 824,509 -	39,48	- 8 -	- - - -	- 72,581 - -		- 13,846 - -	- 8,376 - 20,305
	- -		- -	- -	 - -		- -	- -
	824,509	39,48	8	-	72,581		13,846	28,681
		16,35	9	29,125	3,824		1,149	(20,214)
	- -		- -	-	 - -		-	 3,500
	-			-			-	3,500
	-	16,35	9	29,125	3,824		1,149	(16,714)
		57,28	2	75,062	 35,414			 166,691
\$		\$ 73,64	1 \$	104,187	\$ 39,238	\$	1,149	\$ 149,977

Roosevelt County

Nonmajor Governmental Funds

Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

	Milnesand Fire Protection	Milnesand EMS	Arch Fire/EMS	Arch Fire Protection
Revenues				
Taxes:				
Gross receipts	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Intergovernmental:	24= 222			= 0.440
State operating grants	217,088	7,105	-	50,448
Charges for services	-	-	-	-
Investment income (loss) Miscellaneous	-	-	-	-
Total revenues	217,088	7,105		50,448
Expenditures Current: General government				
Public safety	91,162	7,104	2,153	27,384
Health and welfare	71,102	7,104	2,133	27,30 1
Capital outlay	-	-	-	-
Debt service:				
Principal	-	-	-	-
Interest	<u> </u>			
Total expenditures	91,162	7,104	2,153	27,384
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over				
expenditures	125,926	1	(2,153)	23,064
Other financing sources (uses) Transfers in	-	-	3,500	-
Transfers (out)	<u> </u>			
Total other financing sources (uses)	-		3,500	
Net change in fund balances	125,926	1	1,347	23,064
Fund balances - beginning of year	265,764		24,339	52,716
Fund balances - end of year	\$ 391,690	\$ 1	\$ 25,686	\$ 75,780

	Special I	Revenue	!	Debt Service							
Arc	ch EMS	Reap	praisal	Indoor Arena			ourthouse enovation	Magistrate Court			al Nonmajor vernmental Funds
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,337,392
	7,020		-		-		-		-		325,363
	-		86,412		-		-		-		253,363
	-		(1,537)		1,654		392		5,757		6,327
									262,080		264,917
	7,020		84,875		1,654		392		267,837		2,187,362
	_		107,250		_		_		385,958		493,208
	9,938		-		-		-		-		309,734
	-		-		-		-		-		1,474,869
	-		-		-		-		322,551		342,856
	_		-		53,000		94,000		161,110		308,110
	-		-		62,199		84,207		103,433		249,839
	9,938		107,250		115,199		178,207		973,052		3,178,616
	(2,918)		(22,375)		(113,545)		(177,815)		(705,215)		(991,254)
	-		-		115,864		179,483		-		302,347
							-		-		
					115,864		179,483				302,347
	(2,918)		(22,375)		2,319		1,668		(705,215)		(688,907)
	3,020		298,510		255,361		218,178		1,259,269		2,934,313
\$	102	\$	276,135	\$	257,680	\$	219,846	\$	554,054	\$	2,245,406

(This page intentionally left blank.)

SUPPORTING SCHEDULES

(This page intentionally left blank.)

Roosevelt County Schedule of Collateral Pledged by Depository For Public Funds June 30, 2017

Name of Depository	Description of Pledged Collateral	Maturity	CUSIP Number	Market Value e 30, 2017
James Polk Sto	ne Community Bank			
	FNMA Qrtly Call Step	9/29/2031	3130A9B83	\$ 959,085
	FNMA Qrtly Call Step	10/28/2031	3130A9QR5	969,480
	FNMA Qrtly Call Step	11/25/2030	3136G2VJ3	1,993,072
	FNMA Qrtly Call Step	10/28/2031	3130A9RN3	492,167
	FNMA Qrtly Call Step	10/28/2031	3130A9RN3	984,333
	FNMA Qrtly Call Step	10/28/2031	3130A9RN3	984,333
	Roswell NM Sch Dist/Series A Go	8/1/2020	778550JT1	153,082
	UNM Gallup BQ GO	10/15/2017	914684DQ5	 200,688
	Total James Polk Stone Community Ba	nnk		6,736,240
	Name and location of safekeeper for above Independent Bankers bank, Dallas, Texas		:	
	Total Pledged Collateral			\$ 6,736,240

Roosevelt County Schedule of Deposit and Investment Accounts June 30, 2017

Bank Account Type/Name	-	mes Polk one Bank		ew Mexico ink & Trust		ew Mexico ace Authority	Totals
Checking- Detention Account Checkings - Sheriff's Evidence	\$	21,621	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 21,621
Account		7,581		_		-	7,581
Checking- Main Account		4,248,465		-		-	4,248,465
Savings- Clerk user Fees							
Account		79,905		-		-	79,905
Savings- Assessor 1% Account		78,511		-		-	78,511
RSEVLTCTY 6		-		-		24,661	24,661
RSEVLTCTY 6 - Reserve		-		-		117,155	117,155
RSEVLTCTY 7		-		-		44,170	44,170
RSEVLTCTY 7 - Reserve Funds							
Payable		-		-		255,929	255,929
RSEVLTCTY 7 - Program Income		-		-		1,075	1,075
RSEVLTCTY 8		-		-		15,646	15,646
RSEVLTCTY 8 - Program Income		-		-		24,722	24,722
RSEVLTCTY 9		-		-		19,095	19,095
Investment Account		-		1,695,080		-	1,695,080
Investment Account				179,939			 179,939
Total		4,436,083		1,875,019		502,453	6,813,555
Reconciling items		(379,415)		-		19,140	(360,275)
Reconciled balance	\$	4,056,668	\$	1,875,019	\$	521,593	6,453,280
	Petty	cash					400
	Less:	investments pe	er Exh	ibit A-1			(1,875,019)
	Less:	agency funds c	ash pe	er Exhibit D-1			(32,167)
	Less:	restricted cash	and c	ash equivalent	s per Ex	hibit A-1	 (521,593)
	Total	unrestricted ca	sh and	d cash equivaler	nts per l	Exhibit A-1	\$ 4,024,901

Roosevelt County Reconciliation of Property Tax Rolls For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

Uncollected taxes, July 1, 2016 Net taxes charged to treasurer for current year Current year tax collections	\$	613,477 8,652,123 (8,638,756)
Adjustments	-	12,045
Uncollected taxes June 30, 2017	\$	638,889
Schedule of receivables - delinquent property tax by year		
2016	\$	395,661
2015		224,585
2014		11,978
2013		2,971
2012		1,670
2011		1,217
2010		214
2009		420
2008		136
2007		37
Total	\$	638,889
Reconciliation of undistributed taxes		
Undistributed taxes July 1, 2016	\$	-
Current year collections	•	8,638,756
Current year collections distributed		(8,638,756)
Undistributed taxes June 30, 2016	\$	_
Property tax receivables are reported in the financial statements as follows:		
Statement of Net Position - Exhibit A-1	\$	288,544
Statement of Fiduciary Assets and Liabilities - Agency Funds - Exhibit D-1		350,345
Total property taxes receivable	\$	638,889

Roosevelt County County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

Agency		Property Taxes Levied		Collected in Current Year	Collected To Date		istributed n Current Year
951 DFA/ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES							
2007	\$	51,223	\$	-	\$ 50,665	\$	_
2008		42,742		-	42,186		-
2009		41,611		-	41,048		-
2010		38,007		12	37,480		12
2011		38,516		14	37,633		14
2012		48,845		17	48,065		17
2013		46,015		10	44,831		11
2014		41,217		97	41,023		96
2015		46,053		331	45,837		331
2016	-	47,129	-	43,696	43,696	_	43,696
Total 951 DFA/ADMINISTRATIVE							
SERVICES	\$	441,358	\$	44,177	\$ 432,464	\$	44,177
952 DFA/ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES							
2007	\$	32	\$	-	\$ 32	\$	-
2008		25		-	25		-
2009		15		-	15		-
2010		15		-	15		-
2011		26		-	26		-
2012		50		-	50		-
2013		19		-	19		-
2014		15		-	15		-
2015		18		-	18		-
2016		35		33	 33		33
Total 952 DFA/ADMINISTRATIVE							
SERVICES	\$	250	\$	33	\$ 248	\$	33
953 DFA/ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES							
2007	\$	91	\$	-	\$ 91	\$	-
2008		39		-	39		-
2009		21		-	21		-
2010		22		-	22		-
2011		29		-	29		-
2012		49		-	39		-
2013		48		-	35		-
2014		55		17	55		17
2015		63		4	48		4
2016		85		52	 52		52
Total 953 DFA/ADMINISTRATIVE							
SERVICES	\$	502	\$	73	\$ 431	\$	73

See independent auditors' report.

	istributed To Date	U	Current Amount ncollectible	U	To-Date Amount Incollectible		County Receivable at Year End
\$	50,665	\$	-	\$	558	\$	-
	42,185		_		557		-
	41,048		_		563		-
	37,480		_		527		-
	37,633		11		558		325
	48,065		98		780		-
	44,831		-		793		391
	41,023		_		155		38
	45,822		_		-		216
	42,150		_		_		3,433
	,						-,
\$	430,902	\$	109	\$	4,491	\$	4,403
\$	32	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_
•	25	•	_	·	_	·	-
	15		_		_		-
	15		_		_		-
	26		_		_		-
	50		_		_		-
	19		_		_		-
	15		_		_		-
	19		_		_		-
	30				-		2
.	246	4		ф		ф	2
\$	246	\$	-	\$	-	\$	2
\$	91	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	39		-		-		-
	21		-		-		-
	22		-		-		-
	29		-		-		-
	39		-		10		-
	35		-		13		-
	55		-		-		-
	48		-		-		16
	50				-		32
\$	429	\$		\$	23	\$	48
φ	449	Ф	-	Ą	43	Ф	40

Agency		Property Taxes Levied		Collected In Current Year		Collected To Date		Distributed In Current Year
954 DFA/ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES								
2007	\$	2,020	\$	-	\$	2,015	\$	-
2008		-		-		-		-
2009		773		-		771		-
2010		767		-		764		-
2011		675		-		649		-
2012		628		-		625		-
2013		789		-		782		-
2014		730		1		718		1
2015		879		26		860		26
2016		940		852		852		852
Total 954 DFA/ADMINISTRATIVE								
SERVICES		\$8,201.00	\$	879	\$	8,036	\$	879
956 DFA/ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES								
2007	\$	128,795	\$	-	\$	128,795	\$	_
2008	Ψ	138,404	Ψ	_	Ψ	138,404	Ψ	_
2009		145,074		875		145,074		875
2010		121,080		-		121,021		- -
2011		107,359		_		107,293		_
2012		123,274		115		123,030		115
2013		139,684		261		139,641		261
2014		129,654		3,272		129,477		3,272
2015		167,780		7,570		167,780		7,570
2016		158,151		154,070		154,070		154,070
Total 956 DFA/ADMINISTRATIVE								
SERVICES	\$	1,359,255	\$	166,163	\$	1,354,585	\$	166,163
957 DFA/ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES								
2015	\$	12	\$		\$	12	\$	
2015	Ф	13	Ф	13	Ф	13	Ф	13
Total 957 DFA/ADMINISTRATIVE		13		13		15		13
SERVICES	\$	25	\$	13	\$	25	\$	13

Distributed To Date	Curro Amou Uncolle	ınt	To-Date Amount Uncollectible		Re	County ceivable Year End
\$ 2,015	\$	-	\$	5	\$	-
-		-		-		-
771 764		-		2 3		-
649		-		3		23
625		_		3		-
782		-		3		5
718		-		-		12
860		-		-		19
828	1		r			87
\$ 8,012	\$	-	\$	19	\$	146
\$ 128,795	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
138,404		-		-		-
145,074		-		-		-
121,021		-		59 66		-
107,293 123,030		-		21		223
139,641		-		25		18
129,477		_		177		-
167,780		-		-		-
149,991	, 		r	-		4,081
\$ 1,350,506	\$	-	\$	348	\$	4,322
\$ 12 13	\$	- -	\$	- -	\$	-
\$ 25	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-

Agency	Property			Collected			Collected	Distributed		
		Taxes		In Current			To Date		In Current	
		Levied	Year					Year		
958 DFA/ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES										
2012	\$	1	\$	-		\$	1		\$ -	
2013		1		-			1		-	
2014		-		-			-		-	
2015		2		1			2		1	
2016		1		1			1_		1_	
Total 958 DFA/ADMINISTRATIVE										
SERVICES	\$	5	\$	2		\$	5		\$ 2	
		_					_			
Total Assessor Special Assessment										
2007	\$	182,161	\$	-		\$	181,598		\$ -	
2008		181,211		-			180,654		-	
2009		187,494		875			186,929		875	
2010		159,890		12			159,301		12	
2011		146,604		14			145,629		14	
2012		172,847		132			171,810		132	
2013		186,557		271			185,309		271	
2014		171,671		3,386			171,288		3,386	
2015		214,807		7,932			214,557		7,932	
2016		206,354		198,718			198,718		198,718	
					Г		_,,,,	Г	_,,,,	
Total Assessor Special Assessment	\$	1,809,596	\$	211,340		\$	1,795,793		\$ 211,340	

D	Distributed To Date	Ar	urrent nount ollectible	To-Date Amount acollectible	County Receivable at Year End			
\$	1 1	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-		
	-		-	-		-		
	2		-	-		-		
	1			-		-		
\$	5	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-		
\$	181,598	\$	-	\$ 563	\$	-		
	180,654		-	557		-		
	186,929		-	566		-		
	159,301		-	588		-		
	145,629		11	627		348		
	171,810		98	814		223		
	185,309		-	834		414		
	171,288		-	332		51		
	214,542		-	-		250		
	193,064		-	 -		7,636		
\$	1,790,124	\$	109	\$ 4,881	\$	8,922		

Agency		Property Taxes Levied		Collected n Current Year		Collected To Date		istributed n Current Year
C1NR_M CITY OF PORTALES								
2007	\$	97,580	\$	(2)	\$	97,580	\$	(2)
2008		97,025		(2)		97,025		(2)
2009		104,808		(2)		104,808		(2)
2010		105,415		(2)		105,415		(2)
2011		109,916		(2)		109,915		(2)
2012		115,015		(2)		115,015		(2)
2013		122,990		20		122,990		20
2014		121,949		648		121,891		648
2015		137,156		1,928		120,274		1,928
2016		134,554		117,028		117,028	_	117,028
Total C1NR_M CITY OF PORTALES	\$	1,146,408	\$	119,612	\$	1,111,941	\$	119,612
		, -,		. , -		, , ,	<u> </u>	.,-
C1NR_S_CAP PORTALES SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	60,515	\$	(1)	\$	60,515	\$	(1)
2008		60,171		(1)		60,171		(1)
2009		64,867		(1)		64,867		(1)
2010		81,101		(1)		81,101		(1)
2011		89,581		(2)		89,581		(2)
2012		93,783		(2)		93,783		(2)
2013		98,724		16		98,723		16
2014		93,951		499		93,907		499
2015		98,480		1,384		86,359		1,384
2016 Total C1NR_S_CAP PORTALES	$\overline{}$	90,981		79,130		79,130		79,130
SCHOOLS	\$	832,154	\$	81,021	\$	808,137	\$	81,021
C1NR_S_DEBT PORTALES SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	189,260	\$	(4)	\$	189,260	\$	(4)
2008	Ψ	260,509	Ψ	(5)	Ψ	260,509	Ψ	(5)
2009		282,284		(6)		282,284		(6)
2010		389,013		(7)		389,013		(7)
2011		421,566		(7)		421,566		(7)
2012		401,076		(6)		401,076		(6)
2013		356,863		58		356,861		58
2014		332,446		1,766		332,288		1,766
2015		361,692		5,083		317,172		5,083
2016		307,840		267,743		267,743		267,743
Total C1NR_S_DEBT PORTALES								
SCHOOLS	\$	3,302,549	\$	274,615	\$	3,217,772	\$	274,615

D	Distributed To Date	U	Current Amount ncollectible	unt Amount			County Receivable at Year End
\$	97,580	\$	_	\$	_	\$	-
	97,025		-		-		-
	104,808		-		-		-
	105,415		-		-		-
	109,915		-		-		-
	115,015		-		-		-
	122,989		-		-		1
	122,400		-		4		54
	120,217		66		66		16,816
	115,581				-		17,526
\$	1,110,945	\$	66	\$	70	\$	34,397
\$	60,515	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
Ψ	60,171	Ψ	_	Ψ	_	Ψ	_
	64,867		_		_		-
	81,102		_		_		-
	89,580		_		_		-
	93,783		_		_		-
	98,723		-		_		1
	94,298		-		3		41
	86,318		47		47		12,074
	78,152		-		-		11,851
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						,
\$	807,509	\$	47	\$	50	\$	23,967
\$	189,260	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
Ψ	260,509	Ψ	-	Ψ	_	Ψ	-
	282,284		-		_		_
	389,013		-		_		_
	421,567		-		_		_
	401,076		-		_		_
	356,858		_		_		2
	333,675		_		11		147
	317,022		173		173		44,346
	264,432		-		- -		40,097
	,						,
\$	3,215,696	\$	173	\$	184	\$	84,592

Agency		Property		Collected	Collected		stributed
		Taxes	I	n Current	To Date	II	1 Current
		Levied		Year			Year
C1NR_S_OPP PORTALES SCHOOLS							
2007	\$	14,947	\$	-	\$ 14,947	\$	-
2008		15,043		-	15,043		-
2009		16,217		-	16,217		-
2010		20,276		-	20,275		-
2011		22,395		-	22,395		-
2012		23,470		-	23,470		-
2013		24,668		4	24,668		4
2014		23,488		124	23,477		124
2015		24,607		345	21,578		345
2016		22,721		19,761	19,762		19,761
Total C1NR_S_OPP PORTALES						1.	
SCHOOLS	\$	207,832	\$	20,234	\$ 201,832	\$	20,234
C1NR_S_TECH_DEBT PORTALES SCHO	OLS						
2007	\$	47,746	\$	(1)	\$ 47,746	\$	(1)
2008		41,247	·	(1)	41,247		(1)
2009		1,267		-	1,268		-
2010		-		-	-		_
2011		-		-	_		_
2012		-		-	_		-
2013		22,558		4	22,558		4
2014		45,050		239	45,028		239
2015		51,415		723	45,086		723
2016		54,838		47,695	47,695		47,695
Total C1NR_S_TECH_DEBT							
PORTALES SCHOOLS	\$	264,121	\$	48,659	\$ 250,628	\$	48,659
C1R_M CITY OF PORTALES							
2007	\$	209,882	\$	_	\$ 209,882	\$	-
2008		221,957	·	2	221,957		2
2009		232,086		7	232,084		7
2010		248,315		8	248,313		8
2011		260,744		8	260,742		8
2012		274,205		57	274,189		57
2013		284,624		1,106	284,515		1,106
2014		299,367		3,656	298,795		3,656
2015		306,417		9,884	301,250		9,884
2016		308,755		294,624	294,624		294,624
Total C1R_M CITY OF PORTALES	\$	2,646,352	\$	309,352	\$ 2,626,351	\$	309,352

D	istributed To Date	Uı	Current Amount ncollectible	U	To-Date Amount ncollectible		County Receivable at Year End
\$	14,947	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	15,043		-		-		-
	16,217		-		-		-
	20,275		-		-		-
	22,395		-		-		-
	23,470		-		-		-
	24,668		-		-		-
	23,575		-		1		10
	21,568		12		12		3,017
	19,517						2,960
\$	201,675	\$	12	\$	13	\$	5,987
	18816					_	
\$	47,746	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	41,247		-		-		-
	1,268		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	_		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	22,558		-		-		- 20
	45,216		- 2E		1		20
	45,065 47,105		25		25		6,304
	47,105						7,143
\$	250,205	\$	25	\$	26	\$	13,467
			_		_		
\$	209,882	\$		\$		\$	
Ψ	207,002	ψ	_	Ψ		ψ	_
	232,083		_				2
	248,312		_		1		1
	260,741		-		_		2
	274,180		-		5		11
	284,462		-		4		105
	298,524		-		-		572
	298,877		-		1		5,166
	290,077		1		1		14,130
	471,414						17,130
\$	2,620,230	\$	1	\$	12	\$	19,989

Agency		Property Taxes		Collected n Current		Collected To Date		istributed n Current
		Levied		Year				Year
C1R_S_CAP PORTALES SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	130,159	\$	-	\$	130,159	\$	-
2008		137,648		1		137,648		1
2009		143,929		5		143,928		5
2010		153,994		5		153,993		5
2011		163,527		5		163,526		5
2012		172,370		36		172,359		36
2013		178,094		692		178,026		692
2014		188,413		2,301		188,053		2,301
2015		193,715		6,248		190,449		6,248
2016		195,778		186,817		186,817		186,817
Total C1R_S_CAP PORTALES								
SCHOOLS	\$	1,657,627	\$	196,110	\$	1,644,958	\$	196,110
C1R_S_DEBT PORTALES SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	407,073	\$	_	\$	407,073	\$	_
2008	•	595,946	•	5	·	595,946	•	5
2009		625,084		20		625,080		20
2010		697,283		21		697,279		21
2011		769,560		24		769,554		24
2012		726,472		152		726,428		152
2013		643,439		2,501		643,192		2,501
2014		699,215		8,539		697,878		8,539
2015		725,022		23,386		712,797		23,386
2016		689,678		658,112		658,112		658,112
Total C1R_S_DEBT PORTALES								
SCHOOLS	\$	6,578,772	\$	692,760	\$	6,533,339	\$	692,760
C1R_S_OPP PORTALES SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	26,878	\$	_	\$	26,878	\$	_
2008		30,420	•	_	·	30,420	·	_
2009		34,615		1		34,615		1
2010		37,728		1		37,728		1
2011		39,901		1		39,900		1
2012		42,079		9		42,077		9
2013		43,482		169		43,465		169
2014		45,943		561		45,855		561
2015		47,275		1,525		46,478		1,525
2016		47,790		45,603		45,602		45,603
Total C1R_S_OPP PORTALES						·		
SCHOOLS	\$	396,111	\$	47,870	\$	393,018	\$	47,870

D	Distributed To Date		Current Amount Incollectible	U	To-Date Amount ncollectible		County Receivable at Year End
\$	130,159	\$	_	\$	-	\$	-
	137,648		-		-		-
	143,927		-		-		1
	153,992		-		-		1
	163,526		-		-		1
	172,354		-		3		7
	177,993		-		3		65
	187,883		-		-		360
	188,948		-		1		3,266
	184,654		1		1		8,960
\$	1,641,084	\$	1	\$	8	\$	12,661
\$	407,073	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
•	595,946	4	_	*	-	*	_
	625,076		_		-		4
	697,277		_		1		2
	769,551		-		1		5
	726,404		-		14		30
	643,073		-		10		237
	697,245		-		-		1,337
	707,181		-		2		12,223
	650,492		2		2		31,564
\$	6,519,318	\$	2	\$	30	\$	45,402
\$	26,878	\$	-	\$	_	\$	_
+	30,420	7	_	+	_	~	-
	34,615		_		_		_
	37,728		_		-		-
	39,900		-		-		-
	42,075		-		1		2
	43,457		-		1		16
	45,813		-		-		88
	46,112		-		-		797
	45,075						2,187
	000.050	_		_		_	2 222
\$	392,073	\$	-	\$	2	\$	3,090

Roosevelt County County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

Agency		Property Taxes		Collected In Current		Collected To Date		Distributed In Current		
		Levied		Year				Year		
CAD C WEST DEPT DODWAY ES SOUGOI S										
C1R_S_TECH_DEBT PORTALES SCHOO 2007	LS \$	102,696	\$		φ	102 606	¢			
2007	Ф	94,357	Ф	1	\$	102,696 94,357	\$	1		
2009		2,807		1		2,807		1		
2010		2,007				2,007		_		
2010		_		_		_		_		
2012		_		_		_		_		
2013		40,673		158		40,658		158		
2014		94,750		1,157		94,569		1,157		
2015		103,062		3,324		101,324		3,324		
2016		122,858		117,235		117,235		117,235		
Total C1R_S_TECH_DEBT										
PORTALES SCHOOLS	\$	561,203	\$	121,875	\$	553,646	\$	121,875		
CAND M TOWN OF FUIDA										
C2NR_M TOWN OF ELIDA 2007	\$	1,319	\$		\$	1 210	\$			
2007	Ф	1,319 1,355	Ф	-	Ф	1,319 1,355	Ф	-		
2009		1,333 1,436		16		1,333 1,451		16		
2010		1,540		2		1,540		2		
2010		1,576		3		1,576		3		
2012		1,627		3		1,626		3		
2013		1,703		2		1,701		2		
2014		1,748		19		1,742		19		
2015		1,775		80		1,749		80		
2016		1,911		1,811		1,811		1,811		
Total C2NR_M TOWN OF ELIDA	\$	15,990	\$	1,936	\$	15,870	\$	1,936		
C2NR_S_CAP ELIDA SCHOOLS										
2007	\$	1,223	\$	_	\$	1,223	\$	_		
2008	·	1,231	·	-		1,232	·	_		
2009		1,291		15		1,304		14		
2010		1,460		2		1,460		2		
2011		1,483		2		1,483		3		
2012		1,524		3		1,523		3		
2013		1,696		2		1,693		2		
2014		1,798		20		1,793		20		
2015		1,956		88		1,928		88		
2016		2,063		1,955		1,955		1,955		
Total C2NR_S_CAP ELIDA SCHOOLS	\$	15,725	\$	2,087	\$	15,594	\$	2,087		

See independent auditors' report.

Γ	Distributed To Date		Current Amount Uncollectible		To-Date Amount Incollectible	County Receivable at Year End			
\$	102,696	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-		
	94,357		-		-		-		
	2,807		-		-		-		
	-		-		-		-		
	-		-		-		-		
	40.650		-		- 1		- 15		
	40,650 94,483		-		1		15 181		
	100,526		-				1,737		
	115,877		-		-		5,623		
	·						,		
\$	551,396	\$	-	\$	1	\$	7,556		
\$	1,319	\$		\$		\$			
Ф	1,319	Ф	- -	Ф	_	Ф	- -		
	1,451		(15)		(15)		-		
	1,540		-		-		-		
	1,576		-		-		-		
	1,626		-		-		1		
	1,699		-		-		3		
	1,741		-		-		5		
	1,747		-		-		25		
	1,808		-		-		100		
\$	15,862	\$	(15)	\$	(15)	\$	134		
N.									
\$	1,223	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-		
	1,231 1,304		(12)		- (12)		-		
	1,304 1,460		(13)		(13)		-		
	1,483		-		- -		-		
	1,523		-		-		1		
	1,692		-		-		3		
	1,792		-		-		5		
	1,925		-		-		28		
	1,951		- 1				108		
\$	15 504	¢	(13)	\$	(12)	\$	145		
Ф	15,584	\$	(13)	Ф	(13)	Ф	145		

Agency		Property Taxes Levied		Collected In Current Year		Collected To Date		Distributed In Current Year	
C2NR_S_DEBT ELIDA SCHOOLS			<u> </u>		<u> </u>				
2007	\$	2,487	\$	-	\$	2,487	\$	_	
2008	•	2,202	,	_	•	2,203	•	_	
2009		2,250		25		2,273		25	
2010		3,691		4		3,691		4	
2011		3,301		6		3,300		6	
2012		2,970		5		2,968		5	
2013		-		-		-		-	
2014		-		-		-		-	
2015		-		-		-		-	
2016		-				-			
Total C2NR_S_DEBT ELIDA									
SCHOOLS	\$	16,901	\$	40	\$	16,922	\$	40	
C2NR_S_OPP ELIDA SCHOOLS									
2007	\$	306	\$	-	\$	305	\$	-	
2008		308		-		308		-	
2009		323		3		326		4	
2010		365		-		365		-	
2011		371		1		371		1	
2012		381		1		381		1	
2013		424		1		423		1	
2014		450		5		449		5	
2015		428		19		422		19	
2016		451		428		428		428	
Total C2NR_S_OPP ELIDA SCHOOLS	\$	3,807	\$	458	\$	3,778	\$	459	
C2NR_S_TECH_DEBT ELIDA SCHOOLS									
2007	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
2008		-		-		-		-	
2009		-		-		-		-	
2010		-		-		-		-	
2011		-		-		-		-	
2012		-		-		-		-	
2013		-		-		-		-	
2014		-		-		-		-	
2015		-		-		-		-	
2016	_	-	_		_	-		-	
Total C2NR_S_TECH_DEBT ELIDA			ф.		4		<i>*</i>		
SCHOOLS	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	

Γ	Distributed To Date	Α	Current mount collectible	U	To-Date Amount ncollectible		County Receivable at Year End
\$	2,487	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	2,202		-		-		-
	2,273		(23)		(23)		-
	3,691		-		-		-
	3,300		-		-		-
	2,968		-		-		2
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
			-		-	_	-
\$	16,921	\$	(23)	\$	(23)	\$	2
\$	306	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
Ψ	308	Ψ	_	Ψ	_	Ψ	_
	326		(3)		(3)		-
	365		-		-		-
	371		-		-		-
	381		-		-		-
	423		-		-		1
	449		-		-		1
	421		-		-		6
_	427		-		-		24
\$	3,777	\$	(3)	\$	(3)	\$	32
.		.				4	
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		_ [
	- -		-		-		_
	- -		-		-		_
	-		_		-		_
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
\$	_	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-

Roosevelt County County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

Agency		Property Taxes Levied		Collected in Current Year		Collected To Date	Distributed In Current Year	
C2R_M TOWN OF ELIDA								
2007	\$	1,146	\$	-	\$	1,146	\$	-
2008		1,451		-		1,451		-
2009		1,231		-		1,222		-
2010		1,558		12		1,558		12
2011		1,578		20		1,578		20
2012		1,646		21		1,646		21
2013		1,782		17		1,772		17
2014		1,800		18		1,789		18
2015		1,832		142		1,802		142
2016		1,831		1,707		1,707		1,707
Total C2R_M TOWN OF ELIDA	\$	15,855	\$	1,937	\$	15,671	\$	1,937
C2R_S_CAP ELIDA SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	1,547	\$	_	\$	1,547	\$	_
2008	•	1,690	•	_	,	1,690	,	_
2009		1,476		_		1,465		_
2010		1,877		15		1,877		15
2011		1,865		24		1,865		24
2012		1,887		24		1,887		24
2013		2,027		19		2,016		19
2014		2,035		20		2,023		20
2015		2,183		170		2,148		170
2016		2,184		2,035		2,035		2,035
Total C2R_S_CAP ELIDA SCHOOLS	\$	18,771	\$	2,307	\$	18,553	\$	2,307
C2R_S_DEBT ELIDA SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	3,147	\$	-	\$	3,147	\$	-
2008		3,022		-		3,022		-
2009		6,412		-		6,363		-
2010		4,599		36		4,599		36
2011		4,148		53		4,149		53
2012		3,620		46		3,619		46
2013		-		-		-		-
2014		-		-		-		-
2015		-		-		-		-
2016		-		-		-		-
Total C2R_S_DEBT ELIDA SCHOOLS	\$	24,948	\$	135	\$	24,899	\$	135

istributed To Date	Current Amount collectible	Uı	To-Date Amount ncollectible	County Receivable nt Year End
\$ 1,146	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -
1,451	-		-	-
1,222	9		9	-
1,558	-		-	-
1,578	-		-	-
1,646	-		-	-
1,763	-		-	10
1,778	-		-	11
1,788	-		-	30
1,666	-			124
\$ 15,596	\$ 9	\$	9	\$ 175
\$ 1,547	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -
1,690	-		-	-
1,465	11		11	-
1,877	-		-	-
1,865	-		-	-
1,887	-		-	-
2,005	-		-	11
2,011	-		-	12
2,131	-		-	35
 1,986	_		-	149
\$ 18,464	\$ 11	\$	11	\$ 207
\$ 3,147	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -
3,022	-		-	-
6,363	49		49	-
4,599	-		-	-
4,148	-		-	-
3,620	-		-	-
-	-		-	-
-	-		-	-
-	-		-	-
-	-		-	-
\$ 24,899	\$ 49	\$	49	\$ -

Roosevelt County County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

Agency		Property Taxes Levied		Collected In Current Year		Collected To Date	Distributed In Current Year	
C2R_S_OPP ELIDA SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	281	\$	_	\$	281	\$	-
2008		334		-		335		-
2009		306		-		304		-
2010		392		3		392		3
2011		400		5		400		5
2012		410		5		410		5
2013		440		4		437		4
2014		442		4		439		4
2015		459		36		452		36
2016		460		429		428		429
Total C2R_S_OPP ELIDA SCHOOLS	\$	3,924	\$	486	\$	3,878	\$	486
C2R_S_TECH_DEBT ELIDA SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
2008	4	_	*	_	4	-	*	_
2009		_		_		_		_
2010		-		_		-		-
2011		-		-		-		-
2012		-		-		-		-
2013		-		-		-		-
2014		-		-		-		-
2015		-		-		-		-
2016		-		-				
Total C2R_S_TECH_DEBT ELIDA								
SCHOOLS	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
C39NR_M VILLAGE OF DORA								
2007	\$	323	\$	-	\$	323	\$	-
2008		376		-		376		-
2009		563		-		563		-
2010		2,492		-		2,492		-
2011		702		-		703		-
2012		655		-		655		-
2013		661		-		661		-
2014		725 704		6		725 775		6
2015 2016		784 681		13 611		775 611		13 611
2016		001		011		011		011
Total C39NR_M VILLAGE OF DORA	\$	7,962	\$	630	\$	7,884	\$	630

Distributed To Date		Current Amount collectible		To-Date Amount acollectible		County Receivable t Year End
\$ 281	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
335		-		-		-
304		2		2		-
392		-		-		-
400		-		-		-
410		-		-		-
435		-		-		2
436		-		-		3
448		-		-		8
418		-		-		31
\$ 3,859	\$	2	\$	2	\$	44
\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
-		-		-		-
-		-		-		-
-		-		-		-
-		-		-		-
-		-		-		-
-		-		-		-
-		-		-		-
-		-		-		-
						-
\$ -	\$	_	\$	-	\$	-
Ψ	Ψ		Ψ		Ψ	
\$ 323	\$	_	\$	_	\$	-
376	ψ	-	φ	_	ψ	-
563		-		_		
2,492		-		_		_
702		-		-		_
655		-		-		_
661		-		_		
725		-		_		
766		-		_		9
597		-		_		70
371	1 [70
\$ 7,860	\$	-	\$	-	\$	79

Roosevelt County County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

Agency		Property Taxes		Collected In Current		Collected To Date		Distributed In Current
		Levied		Year				Year
C39NR_S_CAP DORA SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	291	\$	<u>-</u>	\$	291	\$	_
2008	Ψ	338	Ψ	-	Ψ	338	Ψ	-
2009		507		_		506		_
2010		475		-		475		-
2011		675		-		675		-
2012		588		-		588		-
2013		594		-		594		-
2014		666		6		666		6
2015		709		11		701		11
2016		612		550		550		550
Total C39NR_S_CAP DORA								
SCHOOLS	\$	5,455	\$	567	\$	5,384	\$	567
C39NR_S_DEBT DORA SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	389	\$	-	\$	389	\$	-
2008		483		-		483		-
2009		628		-		628		-
2010		1,031		-		1,031		-
2011		1,220		-		1,220		-
2012		958		-		958		-
2013		586		-		586		-
2014		1,332		12		1,332		12
2015		1,729		28		1,709		28
2016		2,068		1,856	_	1,857		1,856
Total C39NR_S_DEBT DORA					١.		1.	
SCHOOLS	\$	10,424	\$	1,896	\$	10,193	\$	1,896
C39NR_S_OPP DORA SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	73	\$	-	\$	73	\$	-
2008		84		-		85		-
2009		127		-		127		-
2010		119		-		119		-
2011		169		-		169		-
2012		147		-		147		-
2013		149		-		148		-
2014		166		1		166		2
2015		177		3		175		3
2016		153		138		137		137
Total C39NR_S_OPP DORA	1							
SCHOOLS	\$	1,364	\$	142	\$	1,346	\$	142

Γ	Distributed To Date		Current Amount collectible		To-Date Amount collectible	R	County eceivable Year End
\$	291	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_
	338		_		-		-
	507		-		-		-
	475		-		-		-
	675		-		-		-
	588		-		-		-
	594		-		-		-
	666		-		-		-
	692		-		-		8
	537		-		-		63
\$	5,363	\$	-	\$	-	\$	71
\$	389	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
Ψ	483	Ψ	_	Ψ	_	Ψ	_
	628		_		_		_
	1,031		_		_		_
	1,220		_		_		_
	959		_		_		_
	586		_		_		_
	1,332		_		_		_
	1,689		_		_		20
	1,813		_		_		212
	1,013						212
\$	10,130	\$	-	\$	-	\$	232
\$	73	\$	_	\$	<u>-</u>	\$	_
*	85	4	_	*	_	*	_
	127		_		_		_
	119		-		_		_
	169		-		_		_
	147		-		-		_
	148		-		-		_
	166		-		-		_
	173		-		-		2
	134		_		_		16
\$	1,341	\$	-	\$	-	\$	18

Roosevelt County County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

Agency		Property Taxes Levied		Collected In Current Year	(Collected To Date	Distributed In Current Year	
C39NR_S_TEC_DEBT DORA SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_	\$	-
2008		-		-		_		-
2009		-		-		-		-
2010		-		-		-		-
2011		-		-		-		-
2012		-		-		-		-
2013		-		-		-		-
2014		-		-		-		-
2015		-		-		-		-
2016		633		568		568		568
Total C39NR_S_TEC_DEBT DORA								
SCHOOLS	\$	633	\$	568	\$	568	\$	568
C39R_M VILLAGE OF DORA								
2007	\$	778	\$	-	\$	778	\$	-
2008		821		-		821		-
2009		862		-		862		-
2010		4,136		-		4,136		-
2011		888		-		888		-
2012		918		-		918		-
2013		943		-		943		-
2014		952		75		951		75
2015		1,000		93		945		93
2016		1,011		867		866		867
Total C39R_M VILLAGE OF DORA	\$	12,309	\$	1,035	\$	12,108	\$	1,035
C39R_S_CAP DORA SCHOOLS						<u>.</u>		
2007	\$	958	\$	_	\$	958	\$	_
2008	7	972	4	_	*	971	~	-
2009		1,035		_		1,035		_
2010		762		-		762		-
2011		1,133		-		1,133		-
2012		1,159		-		1,159		-
2013		1,205		-		1,205		-
2014		1,178		93		1,177		93
2015		1,267		118		1,198		118
2016		1,354		1,160		1,160		1,160
Total C39R_S_CAP DORA SCHOOLS	\$	11,023	\$	1,371	\$	10,758	\$	1,371

	stributed To Date	A	Current mount collectible	A	o-Date mount ollectible	Re	County eceivable Year End
\$	_	\$		\$		\$	_
Ψ	-	Ψ	-	Ψ	-	Ψ	-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	- -		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	555						65
\$	555	\$	-	\$	-	\$	65
\$	778	\$	<u>-</u>	\$	<u>-</u>	\$	-
Ψ	821	Ψ	-	Ψ	-	Ψ	-
	862		-		-		-
	4,135		-		-		-
	888		-		-		-
	918 943		-		-		-
	950		- -		- -		1
	908		-		_		55
	788						145
\$	11 001	¢		\$		\$	201
Ф	11,991	\$		Ф		Ф	201
\$	958	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	972		-		-		-
	1,035 762		-		-		-
	1,133		-		- -		-
	1,159		-		-		-
	1,205		-		-		-
	1,176		-		-		1
	1,151		-		-		69
	1,054		-				194
\$	10,605	\$	-	\$	-	\$	264

Roosevelt County County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

Agency		Property Taxes Levied		Collected In Current Year		Collected To Date		istributed n Current Year
C39R_S_DEBT DORA SCHOOLS		Levieu		Tear				i eai
200	7 \$	1,284	\$	_	\$	1,284	\$	_
200		1,387	Ψ	_	Ψ	1,387	Ψ	-
200		1,284		-		1,284		-
201		1,653		_		1,653		_
201		2,047		-		2,047		-
201		1,888		-		1,888		-
201	3	1,211		-		1,211		-
201	4	2,433		193		2,431		193
201	5	3,146		292		2,973		292
201	6	4,747		4,067		4,067		4,067
Total C39R_S_DEBT DOR.								
SCHOOL	S \$	21,080	\$	4,552	\$	20,225	\$	4,552
C39R_S_OPP DORA SCHOOLS								
200	7 \$	208	\$	-	\$	208	\$	-
200		220		-		220		-
200		245		-		245		-
201	0	178		-		178		-
201	1	269		-		269		-
201	2	282		-		282		-
201	3	294		-		294		-
201	4	287		23		287		22
201	5	309		28		292		29
201	6	330		283		283		283
Total C39R_S_OPP DORA SCHOOL	\$ \$	2,622	\$	334	\$	2,558	\$	334
C39R_S_TEC_DEBT DORA SCHOOLS								
200		-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
200		-		-		-		-
200		-		-		-		-
201		-		-		-		-
201		-		-		-		-
201		-		-		-		-
201		-		-		-		-
201		-		-		-		-
201		-		-		-		-
201		1,452		1,244	_	1,244		1,244
Total C39R_S_TEC_DEBT DOR. SCHOOL		1,452	\$	1,244	\$	1,244	\$	1,244
SCHOOL	. \$	1,434	Ф	1,444	Ф	1,244	Ф	1,444

Distribu To Dat		An	rrent nount llectible	Α	o-Date mount collectible	Re	County eceivable Year End
\$	1,284	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	1,387		-		-		-
	1,284		-		-		-
	1,653		-		-		-
	2,047		-		-		-
	1,888		-		-		-
	1,211		-		-		-
	2,428		-		-		2
	2,857		-		-		173
	3,697		-	_	-		679
\$ 19	9,736	\$	-	\$	-	\$	854
\$	209	\$	_	\$	<u>-</u>	\$	-
*	220	*	-	*	_	*	-
	245		-		-		-
	178		-		-		-
	269		-		-		-
	282		-		-		-
	294		-		-		-
	286		-		-		-
	280		-		-		17
	257		-		-		47
\$:	2,520	\$	-	\$	-	\$	64
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	<u>-</u>		-		-		-
	- 1,131		-		-		208
\$	1,131	\$	-	\$	-	\$	208

Agency		Property Taxes		Collected In Current		Collected To Date	Distributed In Current	
		Levied		Year		10 Date	'	Year
C401NR_M VILLAGE OF CAUSEY								
2007	\$	886	\$	_	\$	886	\$	_
2008	Ψ	930	Ψ	_	Ψ	930	Ψ	_
2009		978		_		978		_
2010		649		_		649		_
2011		1,526		-		1,525		_
2012		1,846		-		1,846		-
2013		1,965		-		1,965		_
2014		1,764		-		1,764		_
2015		1,792		2		1,792		2
2016		1,803		1,801		1,801		1,801
Total C401NR_M VILLAGE OF								
CAUSEY	\$	14,139	\$	1,803	\$	14,136	\$	1,803
C401NR_S_CAP DORA SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	1,248	\$	-	\$	1,248	\$	-
2008		1,238		-		1,238		-
2009		1,001		-		1,001		-
2010		583		-		583		-
2011		1,371		-		1,371		-
2012		1,659		-		1,659		-
2013		1,766		-		1,766		-
2014		1,585		-		1,586		-
2015		1,591		2		1,591		2
2016		1,621		1,619		1,619		1,619
Total C401NR_S_CAP DORA			1.					
SCHOOLS	\$	13,663	\$	1,621	\$	13,662	\$	1,621
C401NR_S_DEPT DORA SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	1,672	\$	-	\$	1,672	\$	-
2008		1,766		-		1,766		-
2009		1,241		-		1,241		-
2010		1,265		-		1,265		-
2011		2,478		-		2,478		-
2012		2,703		-		2,703		-
2013		1,741		-		1,740		-
2014		3,173		-		3,173		-
2015		3,882		6		3,882		5
2016		5,475		5,469		5,470		5,470
Total C401NR_S_DEPT DORA						a		
SCHOOLS	\$	25,396	\$	5,475	\$	25,390	\$	5,475

D.	istributed		Current	Т.	o-Date	C	ounty
	To Date		mount		mount		eivable
	10 Date		ollectible		ollectible		eivable ear End
		UIIC	onectible	Ulice	diectible	at I	eai Eiiu
\$	886	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	930		_		_		-
	977		_		_		-
	649		-		-		-
	1,526		-		-		-
	1,846		-		-		-
	1,965		-		-		-
	1,764		-		-		-
	1,792		-		-		-
	1,800						2
\$	14,135	\$	-	\$	-	\$	2
φ	1 240	ф		d.		¢.	
\$	1,248	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	1,238		-		-		-
	1,001 583		-		-		-
	363 1,371		-		-		-
	1,371 1,659		-		-		-
	1,766		_		_		-
	1,586		_		_		-
	1,591		_		_		-
	1,618		_		_		2
	1,010						
\$	13,661	\$	-	\$	-	\$	2
		•					
\$	1,672	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	1,766		-		-		-
	1,241		-		-		-
	1,265		-		-		-
	2,478		-		-		-
	2,703		-		-		-
	1,740		-		-		-
	3,173		-		-		-
	3,882		-		-		-
	5,467		-				6
\$	25,387	\$		\$		\$	6
Ф	/ 20,50	Ф	-	Φ	-	Φ	υ

Roosevelt County County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

Taxes Levied To Date To Date To Date To Date Vear	Agency		Property		Collected	T	Collected		Distributed In Current	
C401NR_S_OPP DORA SCHOOLS							10 Date	1		
2007			Levica		1001	<u> </u>			1001	
2008 309 - 309 - 250										
2009 250 - 250 - 250 - 250 - 2010 146 - 146 - 146 - 343 - 344 - 345		\$		\$	-	\$		\$	-	
2010					-				-	
2011 343 - 343 - 415					-				-	
C401R_S_TEC_DBT DORA SCHOOLS S					-				-	
C401R_S_TEC_DBT DORA SCHOOLS S					-				-	
C401R_S_TEC_DBT DORA SCHOOLS S					-				-	
C401NR_S_OPP DORA SCHOOLS					-				-	
C401NR_S_OPP DORA SCHOOLS					-				-	
Total C401NR_S_OPP DORA SCHOOLS									-	
SCHOOLS \$ 3,416 \$ 405 \$ 3,416 \$ 405			405		404		405		405	
C401NR_S_TEC_DBT DORA SCHOOLS 2007 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$		φ.	2.416	ф.	405	φ.	2.416	φ.	405	
2007	SCHOOLS	\$	3,416	\$	405	\$	3,416	\$	405	
2007	C401NR S TEC DRT DORA SCHOOLS									
2008		\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	
2009 - - - - - - - - -		Ψ	_	4	-	Ψ	_	Ψ	-	
2010 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -			_		-		_		_	
2011 -			_		_		_		_	
2012 - - - - - - -			-		-		_		_	
2013 - - - - - - -			-		-		_		_	
2014			-		-		_		_	
2015 - - - - - - - -			-		-		_		_	
Total C401NR_S_TEC_DBT DORA SCHOOLS \$ 1,675 \$ 1,673 \$ 1,67			_		_		_		_	
Total C401NR_S_TEC_DBT DORA SCHOOLS \$ 1,675 \$ 1,673 \$			1,675		1,673		1,673		1,673	
C401R_M VILLAGE OF CAUSEY 2007 \$ 381 \$ - \$ 381 \$ - 2008			,							
2007 \$ 381 \$ - \$ 381 \$ - 2008 400 - 400 - 2009 420 - 420 - 2010 413 - 413 - 2011 421 - 421 - 2012 443 - 443 - 2013 454 - 454 - 2014 457 - 457 - 2015 462 4 463 4		\$	1,675	\$	1,673	\$	1,673	\$	1,673	
2007 \$ 381 \$ - \$ 381 \$ - 2008 400 - 400 - 2009 420 - 420 - 2010 413 - 413 - 2011 421 - 421 - 2012 443 - 443 - 2013 454 - 454 - 2014 457 - 457 - 2015 462 4 463 4										
2008 400 - 400 - 2009 420 - 420 - 2010 413 - 413 - 2011 421 - 421 - 2012 443 - 443 - 2013 454 - 454 - 2014 457 - 457 - 2015 462 4 463 4		ď	201	φ		φ	201	φ		
2009 420 - 420 - 2010 413 - 413 - 2011 421 - 421 - 2012 443 - 443 - 2013 454 - 454 - 2014 457 - 457 - 2015 462 4 463 4		Þ		Э	-	Ф		Ф	-	
2010 413 - 413 - 2011 421 - 421 - 2012 443 - 443 - 2013 454 - 454 - 2014 457 - 457 - 2015 462 4 463 4					-				-	
2011 421 - 421 - 2012 443 - 443 - 2013 454 - 454 - 2014 457 - 457 - 2015 462 4 463 4					-				-	
2012 443 - 443 - 2013 454 - 457 - 457 - 2015 462 4 463 4					-				-	
2013 454 - 454 - 2014 457 - 457 - 2015 462 4 463 4					-				-	
2014 457 - 457 - 2015 462 4 463 4					-				-	
2015 462 4 463 4					-				-	
					- 1				- 1	
1 40.10 70.0 70.0 70.0 70.0										
Total C401R_M VILLAGE OF			403		403		402		403	
CAUSEY \$ 4,314 \$ 467 \$ 4,314 \$ 467		¢	4 31 <i>1</i> .	¢	467	\$	4 31 <i>4</i> .	\$	467	

Г	Distributed To Date		Current Amount collectible	Α	o-Date Amount collectible	Rece	ounty eivable ear End
\$	312	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	309		-		_		-
	250		-		_		-
	146		-		_		-
	343		-		-		-
	415		-		_		-
	442		-		_		-
	396		-		_		-
	398		-		_		-
	404		-		_		-
\$	3,415	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
\$	_	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_
*	-	,	_	•	-	•	_
	_		_		_		_
	_		_		_		_
	_		_		_		_
	_		_		-		-
	_		_		_		-
	-		-		_		-
	-		-		-		-
	1,672		-		-		2
\$	1,672	\$	-	\$	-	\$	2
\$	381	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	400		-		-		-
	420		-		-		-
	413		-		-		-
	421		-		-		-
	443		-		-		-
	454		-		-		-
	456		-		-		-
	463		-		-		-
	463		-		-		-
\$	4,314	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-

Agency		Property		Collected		Collected		Distributed
		Taxes		In Current		To Date		In Current
		Levied		Year				Year
C401R_S_CAP DORA SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	393	\$	-	\$	393	\$	_
2008	,	389	,	-	,	389	•	_
2009		389		-		389		_
2010		416		-		416		_
2011		442		-		442		-
2012		450		-		450		-
2013		530		-		530		-
2014		610		-		610		_
2015		627		6		627		6
2016		590		590		590		590
Total C401R_S_CAP DORA								
SCHOOLS	\$	4,836	\$	596	\$	4,836	\$	596
C401R_S_DEBT DORA SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	526	\$	_	\$	526	\$	_
2008		555		-		555		-
2009		482		-		483		-
2010		913		-		913		-
2011		799		-		799		-
2012		734		-		734		-
2013		533		-		533		-
2014		1,261		-		1,260		-
2015		1,556		15		1,556		15
2016		2,068		2,068		2,068		2,068
Total C401R_S_DEBT DORA								
SCHOOLS	\$	9,427	\$	2,083	\$	9,427	\$	2,083
C401R_S_OPP DORA SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	85	\$	-	\$	85	\$	-
2008		88		-		88		-
2009		92		-		92		-
2010		99		-		98		-
2011		105		-		105		-
2012		109		-		110		-
2013		129		-		129		-
2014		149		-		149		-
2015		153		1		153		1
2016		144		144		144	_	144
Total C401R_S_OPP DORA								
SCHOOLS	\$	1,153	\$	145	\$	1,153	\$	145

D	istributed To Date	A	urrent mount ollectible	A	o-Date mount ollectible	Rec	ounty eivable ear End
\$	393	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	389		_		_		-
	389		_		_		-
	416		_		_		-
	442		_		_		-
	450		_		_		-
	530		-		_		-
	610		-		_		-
	627		-		_		-
	590		-		_		-
\$	4,836	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
\$	526	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	555		-		_		-
	482		-		_		-
	914		-		_		-
	799		-		_		-
	734		-		_		-
	533		-		_		-
	1,260		-		-		-
	1,556		-		_		-
	2,068		-		-		-
\$	9,427	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
\$	85	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	88		-		-		-
	92		-		-		-
	98		-		-		-
	105		-		-		-
	110		-		-		-
	129		-		-		-
	149		-		-		-
	153		-		-		-
	144		-		-		-
\$	1,153	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-

Agency	Property Taxes Levied		Collected In Current Year		Collected To Date		istributed n Current Year
C401R_S_TEC_DBT DORA SCHOOLS							
2007	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
2008	-		-		-		-
2009	-		-		-		-
2010	-		-		-		-
2011	-		-		-		-
2012	-		-		-		-
2013	-		-		-		-
2014	-		-		-		-
2015	-		-		-		-
2016	633	_	633		633		633
Total C401R_S_TEC_DBT DORA	600		600	4	600	4	600
SCHOOLS	\$ 633	\$	633	\$	633	\$	633
C5NR_M VILLAGE OF FLOYD							
2007	\$ 386	\$	-	\$	386	\$	_
2008	394	·	_		394	·	-
2009	395		_		395		-
2010	395		-		395		-
2011	403		-		403		-
2012	507		-		507		-
2013	529		-		529		-
2014	651		1		650		-
2015	668		1		667		1
2016	 675		675		675		675
Total C5NR_M VILLAGE OF FLOYD							
	\$ 5,003	\$	677	\$	5,001	\$	676
C5NR_S_CAP FLOYD SCHOOLS							
2007	\$ 345	\$	-	\$	345	\$	-
2008	354		-		354		-
2009	355		-		355		-
2010	409		-		409		-
2011	420		-		420		-
2012	508		-		507		-
2013	476		-		476		-
2014	774		-		773		-
2015	800		2		799		2
2016	648		648		648		648
Total C5NR_S_CAP FLOYD							
SCHOOLS	\$ 5,089	\$	650	\$	5,086	\$	650

Distribut To Date		Amo	rent ount ectible	A	o-Date mount ollectible	Rece	inty ivable ar End
		Ulicon	ectible	UIIC	niectible	atte	ai Eiiu
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-		
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	_		_		_		-
	-		_		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	633		<u>-</u>		-		-
\$	633	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
\$	386	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
Ψ	394	Ψ	_	Ψ	-	Ψ	_
	395		_		-		-
	395		-		-		-
	403		-		-		-
	507		-		-		-
	529		-		-		-
	650 667		-		-		1 1
	675		_		-		-
	073						
\$ 5	,001	\$	-	\$	-	\$	2
						L.	
\$	345	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	354		-		-		-
	355		-		-		-
	409		-		-		-
	420 507		-		-		-
	507 476		<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>		_
	773		_		- -		1
	773 799		<u>-</u>		- -		1
	648		_		_		-
\$ 5	,086	\$	-	\$	-	\$	2

Agency]	Property Taxes Levied	Collected n Current Year	ollected Fo Date	stributed Current Year
C5NR_S_DEBT FLOYD SCHOOLS					
2007	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
2008		-	-	-	-
2009		-	-	-	-
2010		-	-	-	-
2011		-	-	-	-
2012		-	-	-	-
2013		-	-	-	-
2014		-	-	-	-
2015		-	-	-	-
2016				-	 -
Total C5NR_S_DEBT FLOYD					
SCHOOLS	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
C5NR_S_OPP FLOYD SCHOOLS					
2007	\$	86	\$ -	\$ 86	\$ -
2008		89	-	89	-
2009		85	-	84	-
2010		102	-	102	-
2011		105	-	105	-
2012		127	-	127	-
2013		119	-	119	-
2014		193	-	193	-
2015		186	-	186	-
2016		151	 151	 151	151
Total C5NR_S_OPP FLOYD					
SCHOOLS	\$	1,243	\$ 151	\$ 1,242	\$ 151
C5NR_S_TECH_DEBT FLOYD SCHOOLS					
2007	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
2008		-	-	-	-
2009		-	-	-	-
2010		-	-	-	-
2011		-	-	-	-
2012		-	-	-	-
2013		-	-	-	-
2014		-	-	-	-
2015		-	-	-	-
2016			 _	 	
Total C5NR_S_TECH_DEBT FLOYD					
SCHOOLS	\$		\$ 	\$ 	\$ _

Distributed To Date	Curr Amo Uncolle	unt	To-Date Amount Uncollectible	County Receivable at Year End
\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -
-		-	-	-
-		-	-	-
-		-	-	-
-		-	-	-
-		-	-	-
-		-	-	-
	1		<u>-</u> _	-
\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -
\$ 86	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -
89 84		-	-	-
102		-	-	-
105		-	-	-
127 119		-	-	-
193		-	-	-
186		-	-	-
151	1		<u> </u>	-
\$ 1,242	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -
\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -
-		-	-	-
-		-	-	-
-		-	-	-
-		-	-	- -
-		-	- -	- -
-		-	-	-
_	1		<u>-</u>	-
\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -

Roosevelt County County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

Agency		Property Taxes Levied		Collected In Current Year		Collected To Date	Distributed In Current Year	
C5R_M VILLAGE OF FLOYD								
2007	\$	656	\$	-	\$	656	\$	-
2008		830		-		830		-
2009		830		-		830		-
2010		842		-		842		-
2011		847		-		847		-
2012		875		-		875		-
2013		956		-		956		-
2014		962		-		962		-
2015		974		-		974		_
2016		986		984		984		984
Total C5R_M VILLAGE OF FLOYD	\$	8,758	\$	984	\$	8,756	\$	984
C5R_S_CAP FLOYD SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	709	\$	_	\$	709	\$	_
2008	·	746	·	-	•	746	·	_
2009		746		-		746		-
2010		828		-		828		-
2011		793		-		793		-
2012		791		-		791		-
2013		998		-		998		-
2014		1,012		-		1,012		-
2015		1,088		-		1,088		-
2016		1,091		1,090		1,090		1,090
Total C5R_S_CAP FLOYD SCHOOLS	\$	8,802	\$	1,090	\$	8,801	\$	1,090
C5R_S_DEBT FLOYD SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
2008		-		-		-		-
2009		-		-		-		-
2010		-		-		-		-
2011		-		-		-		-
2012		-		-		-		-
2013		-		-		-		-
2014		-		-		-		-
2015		-		-		-		-
2016	_				_	-	_	-
Total C5R_S_DEBT FLOYD SCHOOLS	\$	_	\$	_	\$	-	\$	-

	tributed o Date	A	urrent mount ollectible	A	o-Date Amount collectible	Re	ounty ceivable /ear End
\$	656	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	830		-		-		-
	830		-		-		-
	842		-		-		-
	847		-		-		-
	875		-		-		-
	956		-		-		-
	962		-		-		-
	974		-		-		-
	984		-		-		1
\$	8,756	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1
\$	709	\$	-	\$	_	\$	-
·	746	·	_	·	_	·	_
	746		_		-		_
	828		-		_		_
	793		-		_		-
	791		-		_		-
	998		-		_		-
	1,012		-		-		-
	1,088		-		_		-
	1,090		-		-		2
\$	8,801	\$	-	\$	-	\$	2
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-	-	-
¢		•		4		4	
Ф	-	Ф	-	Ф	-	Ф	-

Roosevelt County County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

Agency		Property Taxes		Collected n Current		Collected To Date		Distributed In Current	
		Levied		Year				Year	
C5R_S_OPP FLOYD SCHOOLS									
2007	\$	151	\$	-	\$	151	\$	-	
2008		169		-		169		-	
2009		181		-		181		-	
2010		207		-		207		-	
2011		198		-		198		-	
2012		198		-		198		-	
2013		249		-		249		-	
2014		253		-		253		-	
2015		256		-		256		-	
2016		257		256		257		256	
Total C5R_S_OPP FLOYD SCHOOLS	\$	2,119	\$	256	\$	2,119	\$	256	
CED C TECH DEPT ELOVE CCHOOLC									
C5R_S_TECH_DEBT FLOYD SCHOOLS	ф		ф		ф		ф		
2007	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
2008 2009		-		-		-		-	
2009		-		-		-		-	
2010		-		-		-		-	
2011		-		-		-		-	
2012		_		_		_		_	
2013		_		_		_		_	
2015		_		_		_		_	
2016		_		_		_		_	
Total C5R_S_TECH_DEBT FLOYD									
SCHOOLS	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
CNTYNR_OPP COUNTY NON-RES OPER	RATIO	NAL							
2007	\$	1,514,206	\$	(7)	\$	1,513,586	\$	(7)	
2008		1,537,943		12		1,537,325		12	
2009		1,716,028		1,993		1,715,286		1,993	
2010		1,718,929		38		1,718,055		38	
2011		1,747,748		50		1,746,410		50	
2012		1,833,561		314		1,831,822		314	
2013		1,974,853		993		1,973,403		993	
2014		1,944,734		14,049		1,943,606		14,049	
2015		2,258,817		72,039		2,185,811		72,039	
2016		2,315,002		2,220,329		2,220,329		2,220,329	
Total CNTYNR_OPP COUNTY NON-	1								
RES OPERATIONAL	\$	18,561,821	\$	2,309,810	\$	18,385,633	\$	2,309,810	

Γ	Distributed To Date	Current Amount Uncollectible	Am	Date ount lectible		Re	County ceivable Year End
\$	151	\$ -	\$	_		\$	_
	169	· -	•	-			-
	181	-		-			-
	207	-		-			-
	198	-		-			-
	198	-		-			-
	249	-		-			-
	253	-		-			-
	256	-		-			-
	257	-					-
\$	2,119	\$ -	\$	-		\$	-
ф		ф	ф			ф	
\$	-	-	\$	-		\$	-
	-	-		-			-
	-	-		-			-
	-	-		-			-
	_	_		_			-
	_	_		_			-
	_	_		_			-
	-	_		_			-
	-	_		-			-
					Ī		
\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	ļ	\$	-
\$	1,513,586	\$ 4	\$	621		\$	_
+	1,537,325	4	*	610		7	9
	1,715,286	(68)		733			9
	1,718,055	4		864			10
	1,746,410	16		944			393
	1,831,822	438		1,232			507
	1,973,393	4		933			517
	1,946,603	4		21			1,107
	2,184,972	276		308			72,698
	2,198,865	 5		4	_		94,668
					ſ		
\$	18,366,317	\$ 687	\$	6,270		\$	169,918

Roosevelt County County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

Agency		Property Taxes Levied		Collected In Current Year		Collected To Date		Distributed In Current Year
CNTYR_OPP COUNTY RESIDENTIAL OF	PERA	TIONAL						
2007	\$	1,024,427	\$	6	\$	1,024,410	\$	6
2008	•	1,151,715	•	25	·	1,151,662		25
2009		1,243,530		39		1,243,279		39
2010		1,311,768		156		1,311,676		155
2011		1,372,480		287		1,372,384		287
2012		1,445,399		777		1,445,209		778
2013		1,498,812		5,767		1,498,075		5,767
2014		1,561,420		19,683		1,557,094		19,683
2015		1,761,153		60,183		1,731,024		60,183
2016		1,775,593		1,692,737		1,692,737		1,692,737
Total CNTYR_OPP COUNTY								
RESIDENTIAL OPERATIONAL	\$	14,146,297	\$	1,779,660	\$	14,027,550	\$	1,779,660
NM01 DFA/ADMINISTRATIVE SERVIC	ES							
2007	\$	298,127	\$	-	\$	298,055	\$	-
2008		314,763		4		314,685		4
2009		313,686		215		313,581		215
2010		435,761		27		435,620		27
2011		392,319		42		392,140		42
2012		413,229		137		412,985		137
2013		439,815		862		439,538		862
2014		448,956		4,348		448,246		4,348
2015		420,645		15,142		416,602		15,142
2016		509,381		487,269		487,269		487,269
Total NM01								
DFA/ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES	\$	3,986,682	\$	508,046	\$	3,958,721	\$	508,046
S1NR_S_CAP PORTALES SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	110,303	\$	-	\$	110,302	\$	-
2008		114,166		-		114,166		-
2009		128,899		349		128,864		349
2010		113,186		2		113,131		2
2011		116,607		3		116,544		3
2012		122,029		49		121,869		49
2013		131,443		43		131,356		42
2014		134,688		1,801		134,602		1,802
2015		145,433		8,430		145,051		8,430
2016		161,675		160,393		160,393		160,393
Total S1NR_S_CAP PORTALES SCHOOLS	\$	1 270 420	¢	171 070	\$	1 276 270	d	171.070
SCHOOLS	Ф	1,278,429	\$	171,070	ф	1,276,278	\$	5 171,070

D	Distributed To Date		Current Amount acollectible	U	To-Date Amount ncollectible		County Receivable at Year End
\$	1,024,410	\$	-	\$	-	\$	17
	1,151,634		-		-		53
	1,243,274		61		61		189
	1,311,673		-		4		88
	1,372,382		-		5		90
	1,445,163		-		21		170
	1,497,770		-		15		723
	1,555,667		-		-		4,326
	1,719,132		1		23		30,106
	1,669,948		3		3		82,853
\$	13,991,053	\$	65	\$	132	\$	118,615
\$	298,055	\$	-	\$	70	\$	2
	314,682		-		70		7
	313,580		(1)		84		21
	435,620		1		127		14
	392,139		2		119		61
	412,980		55		158		85
	439,498		1		119		157
	448,434		1		3		707
	415,091		1		7		4,036
	481,755		1		1		22,112
\$	3,951,834	\$	61	\$	758	\$	27,202
φ	110 202	ተ		ታ	4	ታ	
\$	110,302	\$	-	\$	1 1	\$	-
	114,166		1		35		-
	128,864		1				-
	113,131 116,545		1		56 62		-
	121,869		61		71		88
	131,356		1		13		74
	134,595		1		13		86
	144,970		1		6		375
	160,064		1		1		1,282
\$	1,275,862	\$	68	\$	247	\$	1,905

Agency		Property Taxes Levied		Collected n Current Year	•	Collected To Date		istributed n Current Year
S1NR_S_DEBT PORTALES SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	344,971	\$	-	\$	344,969	\$	-
2008		494,283		-		494,280		-
2009		560,932		1,520		560,779		1,520
2010		542,910		11		542,643		11
2011		548,751		13		548,459		13
2012		521,874		208		521,192		208
2013		475,135		154		474,821		154
2014		476,595		6,375		476,288		6,375
2015		534,135		30,960		532,733		30,960
2016		547,038		542,699		542,699		542,699
Total S1NR_S_DEBT PORTALES								
SCHOOLS	\$	5,046,624	\$	581,940	\$	5,038,863	\$	581,940
S1NR_S_OPP PORTALES SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	27,245	\$	-	\$	27,245	\$	-
2008		28,542		-		28,541		-
2009		32,225		87		32,216		87
2010		28,297		1		28,283		1
2011		29,151		1		29,136		1
2012		30,538		12		30,498		12
2013		32,844		11		32,822		11
2014		33,672		450		33,651		450
2015		36,339		2,106		36,244		2,106
2016		40,376		40,056		40,056		40,056
Total S1NR_S_OPP PORTALES								
SCHOOLS	\$	319,229	\$	42,724	\$	318,692	\$	42,724
 S1NR_S_TECH_DEBT PORTALES SCHOO	OLS							
2007	\$	87,029	\$	-	\$	87,028	\$	-
2008	•	78,261	•	-	•	78,260	•	-
2009		2,518		7		2,518		7
2010		-		-		-		-
2011		-		-		-		-
2012		-		-		-		-
2013		30,035		10		30,015		10
2014		64,583		864		64,542		864
2015		75,927		4,401		75,728		4,401
2016		97,448		96,675		96,675		96,675
Total S1NR_S_TECH_DEBT		407.000		401075		40:-::		1010==
PORTALES SCHOOLS	\$	435,801	\$	101,957	\$	434,766	\$	101,957

D	Distributed To Date	Uı	Current Amount ncollectible	U	To-Date Amount ncollectible		County Receivable at Year End
\$	344,969	\$	2	\$	2	\$	-
	494,280		3		3		-
	560,779		3		153		-
	542,643		3		267		-
	548,459		4		292		-
	521,192		261		305		378
	474,821		3		48		267
	476,265		3		3		304
	532,435		3		24		1,378
	541,587		2		2		4,336
ф.	E 027 420	ф.	207	ф.	1 000	d	((()
\$	5,037,430	\$	287	\$	1,099	\$	6,663
\$	27,245	\$	_	\$	-	\$	-
Ψ	28,541	Ψ	_	Ψ	_	Ψ	-
	32,216		_		9		-
	28,283		_		14		_
	29,136		_		16		-
	30,498		15		18		22
	32,822		1		3		18
	33,649		1		-		22
	36,224		_		2		94
	39,974		-		-		320
\$	318,588	\$	17	\$	62	\$	476
d.	07.000	4	A	ф.		ф.	
\$	87,028	\$	1	\$	1	\$	-
	78,260		1		1		-
	2,518		-		1		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	30,015		-		3		17
	64,538		-		-		41
	75,686		-		3		196
	96,477		-		- -		772
	70,477						112
\$	434,522	\$	2	\$	9	\$	1,026

Agency	Property		Collected	Collected	D	istributed
	Taxes	I	n Current	To Date	I	n Current
	Levied		Year			Year
S1R_S_CAP PORTALES SCHOOLS						
2007	\$ 57,434	\$	1	\$ 57,431	\$	1
2008	60,451		4	60,441		4
2009	62,882		3	62,848		3
2010	64,738		9	64,722		9
2011	66,659		24	66,642		24
2012	69,991		82	69,966		82
2013	73,275		343	73,218		343
2014	74,059		1,046	73,637		1,046
2015	75,782		2,678	74,239		2,678
2016	 75,791		71,926	 71,926		71,926
Total S1R_S_CAP PORTALES						
SCHOOLS	\$ 681,062	\$	76,116	\$ 675,070	\$	76,116
S1R_S_DEBT PORTALES SCHOOLS						
2007	\$ 179,626	\$	4	\$ 179,615	\$	4
2008	261,723		16	261,680		16
2009	273,094		11	272,947		11
2010	293,136		42	293,062		42
2011	313,696		113	313,618		113
2012	294,985		344	294,881		344
2013	264,738		1,241	264,532		1,241
2014	274,837		3,882	273,270		3,882
2015	283,632		10,024	277,854		10,024
2016	266,993		253,379	253,380		253,379
Total S1R_S_DEBT PORTALES						
SCHOOLS	\$ 2,706,460	\$	269,056	\$ 2,684,839	\$	269,056
S1R_S_OPP PORTALES SCHOOLS						
2007	\$ 11,860	\$	-	\$ 11,859	\$	-
2008	13,360		1	13,357		1
2009	15,123		1	15,115		1
2010	15,861		2	15,857		2
2011	16,265		6	16,261		6
2012	17,086		20	17,080		20
2013	17,890		84	17,876		84
2014	18,059		255	17,955		255
2015	18,494		654	18,118		654
2016	18,501		17,557	17,558		17,557
Total S1R_S_OPP PORTALES						
SCHOOLS	\$ 162,499	\$	18,580	\$ 161,036	\$	18,580

D	Distributed To Date	Α	Current amount		To-Date Amount		County Receivable
		Unc	collectible	U	ncollectible		at Year End
φ	E7 421	ď		φ		φ	2
\$	57,431	\$	-	\$	-	\$	3
	60,436		-		-		10
	62,847 64,722		-		-		34 16
	66,642		-		1		16
	69,964		-		1		24
	73,206		-		1		57
	73,559		-		-		422
	73,829		-		3		1,541
	70,708		-		3		3,864
	70,700						3,004
\$	673,344	\$	-	\$	5	\$	5,987
d.	170 (15	ф		d.		ф	11
\$	179,615	\$	-	\$	-	\$	11
	261,656		-		-		44
	272,947		-		-		147
	293,062		-		2		71
	313,618		-		3		74
	294,870		-		3		101
	264,487		-		-		206
	272,981		-		-		1,567
	276,322		-		12		5,766
	249,087				<u> </u>		13,613
\$	2,678,645	\$	-	\$	20	\$	21,600
¢	11.050	ď		φ		φ	1
\$	11,859	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1
	13,356		-		-		2 8
	15,115		-		-		
	15,857		-		-		4
	16,261		-		-		4
	17,080		-		-		6
	17,873		-		-		14
	17,936		-		-		103
	18,018		-		1		376
	17,260		-		-		943
\$	160,615	\$	-	\$	1	\$	1,461

Roosevelt County County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

Agency		Property Taxes Levied	Collected In Current Year	Collected To Date	Distributed In Current Year	
S1R_S_TECH_DEBT PORTALES SCHOO	LS		 			
2007	\$	45,316	\$ 1	\$ 45,313	\$ 1	
2008		41,439	3	41,432	3	
2009		1,226	-	1,226	-	
2010		-	-	-	-	
2011		-	-	-	-	
2012		-	-	-	-	
2013		16,735	78	16,722	78	
2014		37,243	526	37,031	526	
2015		40,318	1,425	39,497	1,425	
2016		47,562	45,136	 45,136	 45,136	
Total S1R_S_TECH_DEBT						
PORTALES SCHOOLS	\$	229,839	\$ 47,169	\$ 226,357	\$ 47,169	
S2NR_S_CAP ELIDA SCHOOLS						
2007	\$	16,978	\$ -	\$ 16,921	\$ -	
2008		16,803	4	16,746	4	
2009		19,106	4	19,048	4	
2010		19,156	4	19,106	4	
2011		19,972	5	19,915	6	
2012		21,162	7	21,024	7	
2013		23,952	32	23,791	31	
2014		23,248	14	23,224	14	
2015		27,124	854	27,096	854	
2016		27,098	26,722	26,722	26,722	
Total S2NR_S_CAP ELIDA SCHOOLS	\$	214,599	\$ 27,646	\$ 213,593	\$ 27,646	
S2NR_S_DEBT ELIDA SCHOOLS						
2007	\$	31,079	\$ -	\$ 30,975	\$ -	
2008		30,052	6	29,949	7	
2009		33,292	7	33,191	7	
2010		48,424	11	48,297	11	
2011		44,438	12	44,311	12	
2012		41,248	14	40,980	13	
2013		-	-	-	-	
2014		-	-	-	-	
2015		-	-	-	-	
2016		-	-		-	
Total S2NR_S_DEBT ELIDA						
SCHOOLS	\$	228,533	\$ 50	\$ 227,703	\$ 50	

D	Distributed To Date		Current mount		To-Date Amount		County Receivable
	10 Date		collectible	H	collectible		t Year End
		One	oncemble	01	iconcetible	a	t I Car Liiu
\$	45,313	\$	-	\$	-	\$	3
	41,429		-		-		7
	1,226		-		-		1
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	16,719		-		-		13
	36,991		-		-		212
	39,279		-		2		819
	44,372						2,425
\$	225,329	\$	-	\$	2	\$	3,480
ф	16.021	d.		d.	F 77	ф	
\$	16,921	\$	-	\$	57	\$	-
	16,746		-		56		2
	19,048		-		56		2 2
	19,106		-		48 55		3
	19,915 21,024		-		135		3
	23,791		-		156		5 5
	23,791		-		130		24
	23,224		-		-		27
	26,490		_		_		376
	20,470						370
\$	213,360	\$	-	\$	563	\$	444
	-,	<u> </u>					
\$	30,975	\$	-	\$	104	\$	-
	29,949		-		100		3
	33,191		-		98		3
	48,297		-		122		5
	44,311		-		121		6
	40,980		-		263		5
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
\$	227,703	\$	-	\$	808	\$	22

Roosevelt County County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

Agency		Property Taxes Levied		Collected In Current Year		Collected To Date	Distributed In Current Year	
S2NR_S_OPP ELIDA SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	4,245	\$	-	\$	4,230	\$	-
2008		4,201		1		4,186		1
2009		4,777		1		4,762		1
2010		4,794		1		4,782		1
2011		4,993		1		4,979		1
2012		5,290		2		5,256		2
2013		5,985		8		5,945		8
2014		5,818		4		5,812		4
2015		5,940		187		5,934		187
2016		5,931		5,849		5,849		5,849
Total S2NR_S_OPP ELIDA SCHOOLS	\$	51,974	\$	6,054	\$	51,735	\$	6,054
S2NR_S_TECH_DEBT ELIDA SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
2008	*	_	4	_	4	-	*	_
2009		_		_		_		_
2010		-		-		-		-
2011		-		-		-		-
2012		-		-		-		-
2013		-		-		-		-
2014		-		-		-		-
2015		-		-		-		-
2016				-		-		
Total S2NR_S_TECH_DEBT ELIDA	١.				١.			
SCHOOLS	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
S2R_S_CAP ELIDA SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	2,934	\$	-	\$	2,934	\$	-
2008		3,019		-		3,019		-
2009		3,069		-		3,069		-
2010		3,007		-		3,007		-
2011		3,010		-		3,010		-
2012		3,042		-		3,042		-
2013		3,138		3		3,138		4
2014		3,335		4		3,335		3
2015 2016		3,441 3,406		89 3,141		3,441 3,141		89 3 141
2016		3,400		3,141		3,141		3,141
Total S2R_S_CAP ELIDA SCHOOLS	\$	31,401	\$	3,237	\$	31,136	\$	3,237

D	istributed To Date		Current Amount collectible	U	To-Date Amount ncollectible		County Receivable at Year End
\$	4,230	\$	-	\$	14	\$	-
,	4,186	,	-	,	14	•	-
	4,762		_		14		-
	4,782		_		12		1
	4,979		-		14		1
	5,256		-		34		1
	5,945		-		39		1
	5,812		-		-		6
	5,934		-		-		6
	5,798				-		82
\$	51,684	\$	-	\$	141	\$	98
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		_		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-				-		-
\$		\$		\$		\$	
Ф		Ф		Ф	-	Ф	-
\$	2,934	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
Ψ	3,019	Ψ	_	Ψ	_	Ψ	_
	3,069		_		_		_
	3,007		-		_		_
	3,010		-		_		_
	3,042		-		_		_
	3,138		_		_		_
	3,335		_		_		_
	3,441		-		-		-
	3,032		-		-		265
	-,						
\$	31,027	\$	-	\$	-	\$	265

Roosevelt County County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

Agency			Property Taxes Levied		Collected In Current Year		Collected To Date	Distributed In Current Year	
S2R_S_DEBT ELIDA SCHOOLS									
	2007	\$	5,970	\$	-	\$	5,970	\$	-
	2008		5,399		-		5,399		-
	2009		5,347		-		5,347		-
	2010		7,366		-		7,366		-
	2011		6,698		-		6,698		-
	2012		5,834		-		5,834		-
	2013		-		-		-		-
	2014		-		-		-		-
	2015		-		-		-		-
	2016		-		-		-		-
Total S2R_S_DEBT ELIDA SCH	OOLS	\$	36,614	\$	-	\$	36,614	\$	-
S2R_S_OPP ELIDA SCHOOLS									
	2007	\$	533	\$	-	\$	533	\$	-
	2008		597		-		598		-
	2009		636		-		637		-
	2010		629		-		628		-
	2011		646		-		646		-
	2012		660		-		660		-
	2013		681		1		681		1
	2014		724		1		724		1
	2015		724		19		724		19
	2016		717		661		661		661
Total S2R_S_OPP ELIDA SCH	OOLS	\$	6,547	\$	682	\$	6,492	\$	682
S2R_S_TECH_DEBT ELIDA SCHO	OOLS								
	2007	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	2008		-		-		-		-
	2009		-		-		-		-
	2010		-		-		-		-
	2011		-		-		-		-
	2012		-		-		-		-
	2013		-		-		-		-
	2014		-		-		-		-
	2015		-		-		-		-
Total COD C TECH DEPT	2016		-		-		-		
Total S2R_S_TECH_DEBT I	OOLS	\$		¢		¢		¢	
SCH	OOLS	Ф	-	Ф	-	Ф	-	Ф	-

Γ	Distributed To Date		Current Amount collectible	Α	o-Date amount collectible	Rec	ounty ceivable /ear End
\$	5,970	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	5,399		-		-		-
	5,347		-		-		-
	7,366		-		-		-
	6,698		-		-		-
	5,834		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
\$	36,614	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
\$	532	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	598		-		-		-
	637		-		-		-
	629		-		-		-
	646		-		-		-
	660		-		-		-
	681		-		-		-
	724		-		-		-
	724		-		-		-
_	638		<u> </u>				56
4	6.460	4		4		φ.	E.c.
\$	6,469	\$		\$	-	\$	56
φ		\$		¢		φ	
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
							-
ď		¢		¢		•	
Ф	-	Ф	-	Ф	-	Ф	-

Roosevelt County County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

Agency		Property Taxes Levied				Collected To Date		istributed n Current Year
S39NR_S_CAP DORA SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	23,637	\$	-	\$	23,637	\$	-
2008		22,923		-		22,923		-
2009		24,432		-		24,432		-
2010		23,962		-		23,962		-
2011		23,619		-		23,547		-
2012		23,554		1		23,534		1
2013		26,200		4		26,199		4
2014		26,649		15		26,609		15
2015		28,615		415		28,508		415
2016		27,903		25,527		25,526		25,527
Total S39NR_S_CAP DORA								
SCHOOLS	\$	251,494	\$	25,962	\$	248,877	\$	25,962
S39NR_S_DEBT DORA SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	31,662	\$	-	\$	31,662	\$	-
2008		30,705		-		30,705		-
2009		30,307		_		30,307		-
2010		51,962		_		51,962		_
2011		42,679		-		42,549		-
2012		38,370		1		38,336		1
2013		25,821		4		25,820		4
2014		53,326		30		53,246		30
2015		69,820		1,013		69,558		1,013
2016		94,283		86,254		86,254		86,254
Total S39NR_S_DEBT DORA								
SCHOOLS	\$	468,935	\$	87,302	\$	460,399	\$	87,302
S39NR_S_OPP DORA SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	5,909	\$	-	\$	5,909	\$	-
2008		5,731		-		5,731		-
2009		6,108		-		6,108		-
2010		5,991		-		5,991		-
2011		5,905		-		5,887		-
2012		5,889		-		5,883		-
2013		6,550		1		6,550		1
2014		6,662		3		6,652		4
2015		7,157		104		7,130		104
2016		6,975		6,382		6,382		6,381
Total S39NR_S_OPP DORA SCHOOLS	\$	62 077	\$	6.400	ď	62 222	¢	6 400
2CHOOF2	Ф	62,877	Ф	6,490	\$	62,223	\$	6,490

Γ	Distributed To Date		Current Amount acollectible	U	To-Date Amount ncollectible		County Receivable t Year End
\$	23,637	\$	_	\$	_	\$	-
	22,923		-		_		-
	24,431		-		-		-
	23,962		-		-		-
	23,547		2		2		70
	23,534		20		20		1
	26,199		-		-		1
	26,779		-		-		40
	28,501		-		-		107
	23,475				-		2,376
\$	246,988	\$	22	\$	22	\$	2,595
\$	31,662	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	30,705		-		-		-
	30,308		-		-		-
	51,962		-		-		-
	42,549		4		4		126
	38,336		32		32		2
	25,820		-		-		1
	53,585		-		-		80
	69,542		-		-		262
	79,321		-				8,029
\$	453,790	\$	36	\$	36	\$	8,500
ф	F 000	ф		ф		ф	
\$	5,909	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	5,731		-		-		-
	6,108		-		-		-
	5,990 5,887		-		1		18
	5,883		5		5		
	6,550		-		-		_ [
	6,695		_ _		_		10
	7,129		- -		<u>-</u>		27
	5,869		-		-		594
	2,237						2,1
\$	61,751	\$	5	\$	6	\$	649

Roosevelt County County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

Agency		Property Taxes Levied		Collected In Current Year		Collected To Date		istributed n Current Year
S39NR_S_TCH_DEBT DORA SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	_	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
2008		-		-		-		-
2009		-		-		-		-
2010		-		-		-		-
2011		-		-		-		-
2012		-		-		-		-
2013		-		-		-		-
2014		-		-		-		-
2015		-		-		-		-
2016		28,837		26,382		26,382		26,382
Total S39NR_S_TCH_DEBT DORA SCHOOLS	\$	28,837	\$	26,382	\$	26,382	\$	26,382
COOD C CAD DODA CCHOOLC								
S39R_S_CAP DORA SCHOOLS 2007	\$	6,422	\$		\$	6 122	\$	
2007	Ф	6,422	Ф	-	Ф	6,422 6,468	Ф	-
2008		6,519		-		6,519		-
2003		6,534		_		6,534		_
2011		6,576		_		6,576		_
2012		6,905		2		6,904		2
2013		6,931		2		6,931		2
2014		7,028		61		7,026		61
2015		7,335		197		7,313		197
2016		7,299		7,165		7,165		7,165
Total S39R_S_CAP DORA SCHOOLS	\$	68,017	\$	7,427	\$	67,858	\$	7,427
S39R_S_DEBT DORA SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	8,602	\$	-	\$	8,602	\$	-
2008		9,231		-		9,231		-
2009		8,087		-		8,087		-
2010		14,342		-		14,342		-
2011		11,883		-		11,883		-
2012		11,247		3		11,247		4
2013		6,966		2		6,966		2
2014		14,520		127		14,516		127
2015		18,211		490		18,155		490
2016		25,597		25,127		25,126		25,126
Total S39R_S_DEBT DORA SCHOOLS	\$	128,686	\$	25,749	\$	128,155	\$	25,749

D	istributed To Date	An	irrent nount ollectible	Α	o-Date mount ollectible	R	County eceivable Year End
\$		\$		\$		\$	
Ф	-	Ф	-	Ф	-	Ф	-
	_		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	24,261		-				2,456
\$	24,261	\$	-	\$	-	\$	2,456
\$	6,422	\$		\$		\$	
Ф	6,422	Ф	-	Ф	_	Ф	-
	6,519		_		_		-
	6,535		_		_		_
	6,576		-		_		_
	6,904		-		_		-
	6,931		-		-		-
	7,025		-		-		2
	7,312		-		-		23
_	7,097		-			_	134
\$	67,790	\$	-	\$	-	\$	159
\$	8,602	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
•	9,231	•	-	-	-	•	-
	8,087		-		-		-
	14,342		-		-		-
	11,883		-		-		-
	11,247		-		-		-
	6,966		-		-		-
	14,514		-		-		4
	18,155		-		-		56
	24,890		-		-		471
\$	127,917	\$	-	\$	-	\$	531

Roosevelt County County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

Agency		Property Taxes Levied		Collected In Current Year		Collected To Date		istributed n Current Year
S39R_S_OPP DORA SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	1,397	\$	-	\$	1,397	\$	-
2008		1,462		-		1,462		-
2009		1,545		-		1,545		-
2010		1,548		-		1,548		-
2011		1,558		-		1,558		-
2012		1,681		1		1,681		1
2013		1,689		-		1,689		-
2014		1,713		15		1,712		15
2015		1,788		48		1,782		48
2016		1,780		1,748		1,748		1,748
Total S39R_S_OPP DORA SCHOOLS	\$	16,161	\$	1,812	\$	16,122	\$	1,812
S39R_S_TCH_DEBT DORA SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
2008	Ψ	_	Ψ	_	Ψ	_	Ψ	_
2009		_		<u>-</u>		_		_
2010		_		_		-		_
2011		_		_		_		_
2012		-		-		_		_
2013		-		-		_		-
2014		-		-		-		-
2015		-		-		-		-
2016		7,829		7,685		7,685		7,685
Total S39R_S_TCH_DEBT DORA								
SCHOOLS	\$	7,829	\$	7,685	\$	7,685	\$	7,685
S3NR_S_CAP TEXICO SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	33,205	\$	-	\$	33,205	\$	-
2008		34,900		-		34,900		-
2009		39,714		-		39,714		-
2010		40,130		-		40,130		-
2011		34,253		-		34,253		-
2012		35,574		-		35,574		-
2013		38,101		81		38,101		81
2014		36,855		187		36,855		187
2015		44,673		498		44,673		498
2016		41,749		41,743		41,743		41,743
Total S3NR_S_CAP TEXICO SCHOOLS	\$	379,154	\$	42,509	\$	379,148	\$	42,509

	stributed To Date	Uı	Current Amount ncollectible		To-Date Amount acollectible		County Receivable t Year End
\$	1,397	\$	-	\$	_	\$	-
·	1,462	·	-	·	-		-
	1,545		-		-		-
	1,548		-		-		-
	1,558		-		-		-
	1,681		-		-		-
	1,689		-		-		-
	1,712		-		-		-
	1,782		-		-		6
	1,731				-		33
\$	16,105	\$	-	\$	-	\$	39
ф		ф		ф		ф	
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		<u>-</u>		_		-
	_		-		_		-
	_		_		_		_
	_		_		_		_
	7,613		_		_		144
	7,010						
\$	7,613	\$	-	\$	-	\$	144
	·						
\$	33,205	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	34,900		-		-		-
	39,714		-		-		-
	40,130		-		-		-
	34,253		-		-		-
	35,574		-		-		-
	38,101		-		-		-
	36,855		-		-		-
	44,673		-		-		-
	41,743		<u>-</u>		-		6
_	0.70 1 10	_					_
\$	379,148	\$	-	\$	-	\$	6

Agency	Property Taxes Levied	Collected n Current Year	(Collected To Date	istributed n Current Year
S3NR_S_DEBT TEXICO SCHOOLS		 			
2007	\$ 45,292	\$ _	\$	45,292	\$ _
2008	101,629	-		101,629	-
2009	105,461	-		105,461	-
2010	105,019	-		105,019	-
2011	103,478	-		103,478	-
2012	97,473	-		97,473	-
2013	101,806	217		101,806	217
2014	94,036	478		94,036	478
2015	184,676	2,059		184,675	2,059
2016	173,204	173,181		173,181	173,181
Total S3NR_S_DEBT TEXICO					
SCHOOLS	\$ 1,112,074	\$ 175,935	\$	1,112,050	\$ 175,935
S3NR_S_OPP TEXICO SCHOOLS					
2007	\$ 7,637	\$ -	\$	7,637	\$ -
2008	8,114	-		8,114	-
2009	9,929	-		9,929	-
2010	10,032	-		10,032	-
2011	8,563	-		8,563	-
2012	8,885	-		8,884	-
2013	9,297	20		9,297	20
2014	9,214	47		9,214	47
2015	11,168	124		11,168	124
2016	10,431	10,430		10,430	10,430
Total S3NR_S_OPP TEXICO					
SCHOOLS	\$ 93,270	\$ 10,621	\$	93,268	\$ 10,621
S3NR_S_TECH_DEBT TEXICO SCHOOLS					
2007	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -
2008	-	-		-	-
2009	-	-		-	-
2010	-	-		-	-
2011	-	-		-	-
2012	-	-		-	-
2013	-	-		-	-
2014	-	-		-	-
2015	-	-		-	-
2016	-	-		-	-
Total S3NR_S_TECH_DEBT TEXICO					
SCHOOLS	\$ -	\$ 	\$	_	\$ -

\$ 45,292 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - 101,629	D	istributed To Date	A	urrent mount ollectible		To-Date Amount collectible	R	County eceivable Year End
101,629 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -								
101,629 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$	45.292	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
105,461 - - - 105,019 - - - 103,478 - - - 97,473 - - - 101,806 - - - - 94,036 - - - - 184,675 - - - - - 173,181 - - - 24 \$ 1,112,050 \$ - \$ - - - - \$ 1,112,050 \$ - \$ -	*		4	-	*	-	*	
105,019 - - - 103,478 - - - 97,473 - - - 101,806 - - - 94,036 - - - 184,675 - - - 173,181 - - 24 \$ 1,112,050 \$ - \$ - \$ 1,112,050 \$ - \$ - 24 \$ 1,112,050 \$ - \$ - <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td></td>				-		_		
103,478 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -				-		-		
97,473				-		_		-
101,806 - -<				-		-		-
94,036 - <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>-</td>				-		-		-
173,181 - - - 24 \$ 1,112,050 \$ - \$ 24 \$ 7,637 \$ - \$ - \$ 8,114 - - - - - 9,929 - - - - - 10,032 - - - - - 8,563 - - - - - 8,884 - - - - - - 9,297 - - - - - - 9,214 - - - - - - 11,168 - <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td>_</td><td></td><td>-</td></td<>				-		_		-
\$ 1,112,050 \$ - \$ - \$ 24 \$ 7,637 \$ - \$ - \$ -		184,675		-		-		-
\$ 1,112,050 \$ - \$ - \$ 24 \$ 7,637 \$ - \$ - \$ -				-		-		24
\$ 7,637 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - 9,929								
8,114 - <td>\$</td> <td>1,112,050</td> <td>\$</td> <td>-</td> <td>\$</td> <td>-</td> <td>\$</td> <td>24</td>	\$	1,112,050	\$	-	\$	-	\$	24
8,114 - <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>								
8,114 - <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>								
9,929 - <td>\$</td> <td></td> <td>\$</td> <td>-</td> <td>\$</td> <td>-</td> <td>\$</td> <td>-</td>	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
10,032 - - - 8,563 - - - 8,884 - - - 9,297 - - - 9,214 - - - 11,168 - - - 10,430 - - - \$ 93,268 \$ - \$ 1 \$ - \$ - \$ 1				-		-		-
8,563 - <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>-</td>				-		-		-
8,884 - <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>-</td>				-		-		-
9,297 - <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>-</td>				-		-		-
9,214 - <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>-</td>				-		-		-
11,168 - - - - 1 10,430 - - - 1 \$ 93,268 \$ - \$ - \$ 1 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -				-		-		-
10,430 - - 1 \$ 93,268 \$ - \$ - \$ 1 \$ - \$ - \$ - - - -				-		-		-
\$ - \$ - \$ 1 \$ - \$ - \$ -				-		-		
\$ - \$ - \$ -		10,430		-				1
\$ - \$ - \$ -	φ.	02.260	φ.		<u>_</u>		ф.	1
	\$	93,268	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1
	¢		\$	_	¢	_	\$	
	Ф	_	Ф	_	Ф	_	Ф	_
		_		_		_		_
		_		_		_		_
		- -		- -		-		
		- -		_		_		
				_		-		
		- -		_		_		_
		- -		_		_		_
\$ - \\$ - \\$ - \\$		_		_		-		_
s - s - s - s								
	\$	-	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_

Agency	Property Taxes Levied	Collected In Current Year	Collected To Date		Distributed In Current Year	
S3R_S_CAP TEXICO SCHOOLS						
2007	\$ 2,720	\$ _	\$ 2,720	\$	-	
2008	2,690	-	2,690		-	
2009	2,855	-	2,855		-	
2010	3,129	-	3,129		-	
2011	3,061	-	3,061		-	
2012	3,339	-	3,338		-	
2013	3,551	-	3,552		-	
2014	3,544	-	3,544		-	
2015	3,760	406	3,760		406	
2016	4,026	4,026	4,026		4,026	
Total S3R_S_CAP TEXICO SCHOOLS	\$ 32,675	\$ 4,432	\$ 32,675	\$	4,432	
S3R_S_DEBT TEXICO SCHOOLS						
2007	\$ 3,711	\$ _	\$ 3,711	\$	-	
2008	7,834	-	7,834		-	
2009	7,582	-	7,582		-	
2010	8,188	-	8,188		-	
2011	9,629	-	9,629		-	
2012	9,101	-	9,101		-	
2013	9,489	-	9,489		-	
2014	9,087	-	9,087		-	
2015	13,657	1,474	13,657		1,474	
2016	14,855	 14,855	 14,855		14,855	
Total S3R_S_DEBT TEXICO						
SCHOOLS	\$ 93,133	\$ 16,329	\$ 93,133	\$	16,329	
S3R_S_OPP TEXICO SCHOOLS						
2007	\$ 604	\$ -	\$ 604	\$	-	
2008	616	-	616		-	
2009	661	-	661		-	
2010	748	-	748		-	
2011	732	-	732		-	
2012	797	-	797		-	
2013	788	-	788		-	
2014	787	-	787		-	
2015	835	90	835		90	
2016	895	895	895		895	
Total S3R_S_OPP TEXICO SCHOOLS	\$ 7,463	\$ 985	\$ 7,463	\$	985	

Γ	Distributed To Date	An	rrent nount llectible	Aı	o-Date nount ollectible	Rece	ınty ivable ar End
\$	2,721	\$	-	\$	_	\$	_
	2,690		-		-		-
	2,855		-		-		-
	3,129		-		-		-
	3,061		-		-		-
	3,338		-		-		-
	3,551		-		-		-
	3,544		-		-		-
	3,760		-		-		-
	4,026		-		-		-
\$	32,675	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
\$	2 710	\$		φ		ď	
Ф	3,710	Ф	-	\$	-	\$	-
	7,834		-		-		-
	7,583		-		-		-
	8,188		-		-		-
	9,629		-		-		-
	9,101 9,489		-		-		-
	9,469		-		-		-
	13,657		-		-		-
	14,855		-		-		-
	14,033				-		-
\$	93,133	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
\$	604	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
Ψ	616	Ψ	_	Ψ	_	Ψ	_
	661		_		_		_ [
	748		_		_		_ [
	732		_		_		_
	732 797		_		-		_]
	788		-		- -		
	787		_		_		_
	835		_		_		_
	895		_		_		_
	0,3						
\$	7,463	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_

Agency		Property Taxes Levied	Collected n Current Year	(Collected To Date		istributed n Current Year
S3R_S_TECH_DEBT TEXICO SCHOOLS							
2007	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
2008		-	-		-		-
2009		-	-		-		-
2010		-	-		-		-
2011		-	-		-		-
2012		-	-		-		-
2013		-	-		-		-
2014		-	-		-		-
2015		-	-		-		-
2016			_				_
Total S3R_S_TECH_DEBT TEXICO				1.		1.	
SCHOOLS	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
S5NR_S_CAP FLOYD SCHOOLS							
2007	\$	22,503	\$ -	\$	22,446	\$	-
2008		22,647	-		22,591		-
2009		26,039	-		25,983		-
2010		24,926	-		24,870		-
2011		23,904	-		23,849		-
2012		24,263	-		24,262		-
2013		24,854	4		24,843		4
2014		24,236	42		24,232		42
2015		26,953	817		26,939		817
2016		27,222	26,952		26,952		26,952
Total S5NR_S_CAP FLOYD							
SCHOOLS	\$	247,547	\$ 27,815	\$	246,967	\$	27,815
S5NR_S_DEBT FLOYD SCHOOLS							
2007	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
2008		-	-		-		-
2009		-	-		-		-
2010		-	-		-		-
2011		-	-		-		-
2012		-	-		-		-
2013		-	-		-		-
2014		-	-		-		-
2015		-	-		-		-
2016		-					-
Total S5NR_S_DEBT FLOYD	Ĭ						
SCHOOLS	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-

I	Distributed To Date	Ar	urrent nount ollectible		To-Date Amount icollectible		County eceivable t Year End
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	-		-		-		-
	- -		- -		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-				-
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
\$	22,446 22,591	\$	-	\$	56 56	\$	-
	25,983		-		56 57		-
	24,870		-		56		-
	23,849		-		55		-
	24,262 24,843		-		-		- 11
	24,230		-		-		4
	26,933		-		-		14
	26,899						270
\$	246,906	\$	-	\$	280	\$	299
\$	-	\$	_	\$	-	\$	-
Ψ	-	₩	-	4	-	Ψ	-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		- -		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		- -		-		-
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-

Roosevelt County County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

Agency		Property Taxes Levied		Collected In Current Year		Collected To Date		Distributed In Current Year
S5NR_S_OPP FLOYD SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	5,623	\$	-	\$	5,609	\$	-
2008		5,662		-		5,648		-
2009		6,210		-		6,197		-
2010		6,219		-		6,205		-
2011		5,976		-		5,962		-
2012		6,066		-		6,066		-
2013		6,214		1		6,211		1
2014		6,059		10		6,058		11
2015		6,266		190		6,263		190
2016		6,330		6,268		6,267		6,267
Total S5NR_S_OPP FLOYD								
SCHOOLS	\$	60,625	\$	6,469	\$	60,486	\$	6,469
S5NR_S_TECH_DEBT FLOYD SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	-	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
2008	•	_	•	_	·	_	•	_
2009		-		-		-		_
2010		-		-		-		_
2011		-		-		-		-
2012		-		-		-		-
2013		-		-		-		-
2014		-		-		-		-
2015		-		-		-		-
2016		-		-				-
Total S5NR_S_TECH_DEBT FLOYD								
SCHOOLS	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
S5R_S_CAP FLOYD SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	5,028	\$	-	\$	5,027	\$	-
2008		5,149		-		5,149		-
2009		5,379		-		5,379		-
2010		5,496		-		5,496		-
2011		5,684		-		5,684		-
2012		5,791		-		5,791		-
2013		5,814		4		5,814		4
2014		5,836		103		5,836		103
2015		6,426		179		6,296		179
2016		6,491		6,204		6,204		6,204
Total S5R_S_CAP FLOYD SCHOOLS	\$	57,094	\$	6,490	\$	56,676	\$	6,490

	stributed Γο Date		Current Amount acollectible	U	To-Date Amount ncollectible		County Receivable at Year End
\$	5,609	\$	_	\$	14	\$	-
Ψ	5,648	Ψ	_	Ψ	14	Ψ	_
	6,197		_		13		_
	6,205		_		14		-
	5,962		_		14		-
	6,066		-		-		-
	6,211		-		-		3
	6,057		-		-		1
	6,262		-		-		3
	6,255						63
\$	60,472	\$	-	\$	69	\$	70
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	_		_		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
							-
\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	-
Ψ		Ψ		Ψ		Ψ	
\$	5,028	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	5,149	•	-	•	-	•	-
	5,379		-		-		-
	5,496		-		-		-
	5,684		-		-		-
	5,790		-		-		-
	5,814		-		-		-
	5,836		-		-		-
	6,270		-		-		130
	6,137						287
\$	56,583	\$	-	\$	-	\$	417

Roosevelt County County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

Agency		Property Taxes Levied	Collected n Current Year	ollected To Date		istributed 1 Current Year
S5R_S_DEBT FLOYD SCHOOLS						
200	7 \$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	_
2008	3	-	-	-		-
200)	-	-	-		-
201)	-	-	-		-
201	l	-	-	-		-
201:	2	-	-	-		-
201:	3	-	-	-		-
2014	Į.	-	-	-		-
201	5	-	-	-		-
2010	ó	-	-	-		-
Total S5R_S_DEBT FLOYI) [
SCHOOL		-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-
S5R_S_OPP FLOYD SCHOOLS						
200		1,071	\$ -	\$ 1,071	\$	-
2008	3	1,169	-	1,169		-
200)	1,302	-	1,302		-
201)	1,374	-	1,374		-
201	L	1,421	-	1,421		-
2013	2	1,448	-	1,448		-
2013	3	1,453	1	1,453		1
201	1	1,458	26	1,458		26
201	5	1,513	42	1,482		42
2010	<u> </u>	1,527	1,460	1,460	-	1,460
Total S5R_S_OPP FLOYD SCHOOL	\$ \$	13,736	\$ 1,529	\$ 13,638	\$	1,529
S5R_S_TECH_DEBT FLOYD SCHOOLS						
200		-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-
2008		-	-	-		-
200		-	-	-		-
2010		-	-	-		-
201		-	-	-		-
2013		-	-	-		-
2013		-	-	-		-
201		-	-	-		-
201		-	-	-		-
2010		<u>-</u>	 			<u>-</u>
Total S5R_S_TECH_DEBT FLOYI			\neg			
SCHOOL	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-

	ibuted Date	Amo	rent ount ectible	An	-Date nount llectible	Rec	ounty eivable ear End
\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	-
Ψ	<u>-</u>	Ψ	<u>-</u>	Ψ	-	Ψ	-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	_		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
							-
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
\$	1,071	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	1,169		-		-		-
	1,302		-		-		-
	1,374 1,421		-		-		-
	1,447		-		-		-
	1,453		-		-		-
	1,458		-		-		-
	1,477		-		-		30
	1,444						68
\$	13,616	\$	-	\$	-	\$	98
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		_		-		-
	-		-		-		-
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-

Roosevelt County County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

Agency		Property Taxes		Collected In Current		Collected To Date	Distributed In Current	
		Levied		Year		10 Date		Year
S74NR_S_CAP HOUSE SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	6,793	\$	_	\$	6,793	\$	_
2007	Ψ	6,959	Ψ	_	Ψ	6,959	Ψ	_
2009		8,020		_		8,020		_
2010		8,394		_		8,395		_
2011		8,601		-		8,601		_
2012		9,264		-		9,264		-
2013		10,003		_		10,003		_
2014		10,254		-		10,254		-
2015		11,002		34		10,995		35
2016		11,544		11,506		11,505		11,505
Total S74NR_S_CAP HOUSE								
SCHOOLS	\$	90,834	\$	11,540	\$	90,789	\$	11,540
S74NR_S_DEBT HOUSE SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	_	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_
2008	,	_	,	_	•	-	,	_
2009		25,933		-		25,933		-
2010		20,403		-		20,403		-
2011		17,576		-		17,576		-
2012		27,161		-		27,161		-
2013		22,248		-		22,248		-
2014		22,548		-		22,548		-
2015		24,545		77		24,531		77
2016		24,878		24,794		24,794		24,794
Total S74NR_S_DEBT HOUSE								
SCHOOLS	\$	185,292	\$	24,871	\$	185,194	\$	24,871
S74NR_S_OPP HOUSE SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	1,676	\$	-	\$	1,675	\$	-
2008		1,795		-		1,795		-
2009		2,005		-		2,005		-
2010		2,099		-		2,099		-
2011		2,146		-		2,146		-
2012		2,311		-		2,311		-
2013		2,495		-		2,495		-
2014		2,556		-		2,557		-
2015		2,744		9		2,743		9
2016		2,877		2,867	_	2,867		2,867
Total S74NR_S_OPP HOUSE		20.704	φ.	2.076	4	22.602	4	2.074
SCHOOLS	\$	22,704	\$	2,876	\$	22,693	\$	2,876

Γ	Distributed To Date	A	Current Amount Uncollectible		To-Date Amount collectible	Re	County eceivable Year End
\$	6,793	\$	-	\$	_	\$	-
	6,959		-		_		-
	8,020		-		_		-
	8,394		-		_		-
	8,601		-		_		-
	9,264		-		-		-
	10,003		-		-		-
	10,254		-		-		-
	10,995		-		-		6
	11,480		-		-		39
\$	90,763	\$	-	\$	-	\$	45
\$	_	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_
	-		-		-		-
	25,933		-		_		-
	20,403		-		_		-
	17,576		-		-		-
	27,161		-		-		-
	22,248		-		-		-
	22,548		-		-		-
	24,531		-		-		14
	24,739						84
<u>ا</u>	105 120	.		ф.		ф.	00
\$	185,139	\$	-	\$	-	\$	98
\$	1,675	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	1,795		-		-		-
	2,005		-		-		-
	2,099		-		-		-
	2,146		-		-		-
	2,311		-		-		-
	2,495		-		-		-
	2,557		-		-		-
	2,743		-		-		1
	2,861		-		-		10
 	22.607	_e		\$		<u>۴</u>	11
\$	22,687	\$	-	Э	-	\$	11

Roosevelt County County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

Agency		Property Taxes Levied		Collected n Current Year		Collected To Date		istributed n Current Year
S74NR_S_TCH_DEBT HOUSE SCHOOLS	5							
2007	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
2008		-		-		-		-
2009		-		-		-		-
2010		-		-		-		-
2011		-		-		-		-
2012		-		-		-		-
2013		-		-		-		-
2014		-		-		-		-
2015		-		-		-		-
2016	_	-	_	-		-		-
Total S74NR_S_TCH_DEBT HOUSE SCHOOLS	\$		\$		\$		\$	
SCHOOLS	Ф	-	Ф	-	Ф		Ф	-
S74R_S_CAP HOUSE SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	402	\$	-	\$	402	\$	_
2008		448		-		448		_
2009		437		-		437		_
2010		440		-		440		-
2011		460		-		460		-
2012		472		-		472		-
2013		476		-		476		-
2014		472		-		472		-
2015		475		62		475		62
2016		524		442		442		442
Total S74R_S_CAP HOUSE								
SCHOOLS	\$	4,606	\$	504	\$	4,524	\$	504
S74R_S_DEBT HOUSE SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
2008		-		-		-		-
2009		1,449		-		1,448		-
2010		1,072		-		1,072		-
2011		940		-		940		-
2012		1,312		-		1,312		-
2013		963		-		963		-
2014		944		-		945		-
2015		924		121		924		121
2016		932		787		787	_	787
Total S74R_S_DEBT HOUSE SCHOOLS	ф	0.527	ď	000	ď	0.201	ď	000
SCHOOLS	\$	8,536	\$	908	\$	8,391	\$	908

Distributed To Date	Am	rrent ount lectible	An	-Date nount llectible	Re	County ceivable Year End
	-			_		
\$ -	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
-	*	-	4	-	4	-
-		-		-		-
-		-		-		-
-		-		-		-
-		-		-		-
-		_		_		-
-		-		-		-
-	,	-		-		-
					_	
\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
\$ 402	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
448		-		-		-
437		-		-		-
440		-		-		-
460 472		-		-		-
476		-		-		-
472		-		-		-
475		-		-		-
379	 	-		-		81
\$ 4,461	\$	_	\$	_	\$	81
Ψ 1,101	Ψ		Ψ		Ψ	01
\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
-		-		-		-
1,449 1,072		-		-		-
940		-		-		-
1,312		-		-		-
963		-		-		-
945		-		-		-
924		-		-		-
673	1					145
\$ 8,278	\$	-	\$	-	\$	145

Agency		Property Taxes Levied		Collected In Current Year		Collected To Date		Distributed In Current Year
S74R_S_OPP HOUSE SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	80	\$	-	\$	80	\$	-
2008		90		-		90		-
2009		88		-		87		-
2010		88		-		88		-
2011		90		-		90		-
2012		93		-		93		-
2013		98		-		98		-
2014		97		-		97		-
2015		97		13		97		13
2016		107		91		91		91
Total S74R_S_OPP HOUSE								
SCHOOLS	\$	928	\$	104	\$	911	\$	104
CZAD C TECH DEPT HOUSE COHOOLS								
S74R_S_TECH_DEBT HOUSE SCHOOLS 2015	\$		φ		φ		\$	
2015	Ф	-	\$	-	\$	-	Ф	-
S74R_S_TECH_DEBT HOUSE								
SCHOOLS	\$		\$		\$		\$	
SCHOOLS	φ		ф		ф		Ф	
S9NR_S_CAP MELROSE SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	1,733	\$	-	\$	1,733	\$	-
2008		1,539		-		1,540		-
2009		1,699		-		1,699		-
2010		1,733		-		1,733		-
2011		1,680		-		1,680		-
2012		1,733		-		1,733		-
2013		1,885		-		1,885		-
2014		1,807		3		1,806		3
2015		2,201		5		2,200		6
2016		2,188		2,058		2,057		2,057
Total S9NR_S_CAP MELROSE								
SCHOOLS	\$	18,198	\$	2,066	\$	18,066	\$	2,066

	stributed Fo Date	A	Current Amount collectible	A	Co-Date Amount collectible	Re	County ceivable Year End
\$	80	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	90		-		-		-
	88		-		-		-
	88		-		-		-
	90		-		-		-
	93		-		-		-
	97		-		-		-
	97		-		-		-
	97		-		-		-
	78	_	-	_	-		17
\$	898	\$	-	\$	-	\$	17
,		ф.		ф		ф.	
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
						_	
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
\$	1,733	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	1,539		-		-		-
	1,699		-		-		-
	1,733		-		-		-
	1,680		-		-		-
	1,733		-		-		-
	1,885		-		-		-
	1,806		-		-		- 1
	2,200 1,928		-		-		1 131
	1,720						101
\$	17,936	\$	-	\$	-	\$	132

Roosevelt County County Treasurer's Property Tax Schedule For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

Agency		Property Taxes Levied		Collected In Current Year		Collected To Date		istributed n Current Year
S9NR_S_DEBT MELROSE SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
2008		-		-		-		-
2009		-		-		-		-
2010		-		-		-		-
2011		3,551		-		3,551		-
2012		3,713		-		3,713		-
2013		3,827		-		3,827		-
2014		3,538		6		3,537		6
2015		4,191		10		4,190		11
2016		4,061		3,818		3,817		3,817
Total S9NR_S_DEBT MELROSE								
SCHOOLS	\$	22,881	\$	3,834	\$	22,635	\$	3,834
S9NR_S_OPP MELROSE SCHOOLS								
2007	\$	433	\$	-	\$	433	\$	_
2008		385		-		385		-
2009		425		-		425		-
2010		433		-		433		-
2011		420		-		420		-
2012		433		-		433		-
2013		471		-		471		-
2014		452		1		452		1
2015		551		1		550		1
2016		547		514		514		514
Total S9NR_S_OPP MELROSE								
SCHOOLS	\$	4,550	\$	516	\$	4,516	\$	516
S9NR_S_TECH_DEBT MELROSE SCHOO	LS							
2007	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
2008	•	-	•	-	·	_	·	-
2009		-		-		_		-
2010		-		-		-		-
2011		-		-		-		-
2012		-		-		-		-
2013		-		-		-		-
2014		-		-		-		-
2015		-		-		-		-
2016		-		-		-		-
Total S9NR_S_TECH_DEBT	_		_		_		_	
MELROSE SCHOOLS	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-

tributed o Date	An	rrent nount llectible	A	o-Date mount ollectible	Re	County eceivable Year End
\$ -	\$	-	\$	_	\$	-
-		-		-		-
-		-		-		-
- 3,551		- -		-		-
3,713		-		-		-
3,827		-		-		-
3,537		-		-		1
4,190		-		-		2
3,577						243
\$ 22,395	\$	-	\$	-	\$	246
\$ 433	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
385 425		-		-		-
433		-		- -		-
420		-		-		-
433		-		-		-
471		-		-		-
452 550		-		-		-
482						33
\$ 4,484	\$	-	\$	-	\$	33
\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
-		-		-		-
-		-		-		-
-		-		-		-
-		-		-		-
-		-		-		-
-		-		-		-
-		-		-		-
<u>-</u>		-				-
\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-

Agency	Property Taxes Levied	Collected In Current Year		Collected To Date		Distributed In Current Year	
S9R_S_CAP MELROSE SCHOOLS							
2007	\$ 482	\$ -	\$	482	\$	-	
2008	459	-		459		-	
2009	494	-		494		-	
2010	477	-		477		-	
2011	592	-		592		-	
2012	590	-		589		-	
2013	583	-		583		-	
2014	578	-		579		-	
2015	628	-		628		-	
2016	616	607		607		607	
Total S9R_S_CAP MELROSE							
SCHOOLS	\$ 5,499	\$ 607	\$	5,490	\$	607	
S9R_S_DEBT MELROSE SCHOOLS							
2007	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	
2008	-	-		-		-	
2009	-	-		-		-	
2010	-	-		-		-	
2011	1,251	-		1,251		-	
2012	1,263	-		1,263		-	
2013	1,222	-		1,222		-	
2014	1,178	-		1,178		-	
2015	1,247	-		1,247		-	
2016	1,203	1,187		1,186		1,187	
Total S9R_S_DEBT MELROSE							
SCHOOLS	\$ 7,364	\$ 1,187	\$	7,347	\$	1,187	
S9R_S_OPP MELROSE SCHOOLS							
2007	\$ 120	\$ -	\$	120	\$	-	
2008	115	-		115		-	
2009	124	-		124		-	
2010	119	-		119		-	
2011	137	-		137		-	
2012	137	-		137		-	
2013	136	-		136		-	
2014	134	-		134		-	
2015	146	-		146		-	
2016	143	141	_	141		141	
Total S9R_S_OPP MELROSE							
SCHOOLS	\$ 1,311	\$ 141	\$	1,309	\$	141	

Distribute To Date		Curr Amo	unt	Α	o-Date mount	Re	County ceivable
		Uncolle	ectible	Unc	ollectible	at \	Year End
¢	482	\$		\$		\$	
	402 459	Ф	-	Ф	-	Ф	-
	494		-		-		-
	476		_		_		-
	592		_		_		-
	590		_		_		-
	584		_		_		-
	578		_		_		-
	628		_		_		_
	607		_		_		9
	007				1		,
\$ 5,	490	\$	-	\$	-	\$	9
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
1	- 251		-		-		-
	251		-		-		-
	263		-		-		-
	222		-		-		-
	178 247		-		-		-
			-		-		- 17
1,	186						17
\$ 7,3	347	\$	_	\$	_	\$	17
				<u> </u>			
	120	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	115		-		-		-
	124		-		-		-
	119		-		-		-
	137		-		-		-
	137		-		-		-
	136		-		-		-
	134		-		-		-
	146		-		-		-
	141		-				2
\$ 1,	309	\$	-	\$	-	\$	2

Agency		Property Taxes Levied		Collected n Current Year		Collected To Date		istributed n Current Year
S9R_S_TECH_DEBT MELROSE SCHOOLS	S							
2007	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
2008		-		-		-		-
2009		-		-		-		-
2010		-		-		-		-
2011		-		-		-		-
2012		-		-		-		-
2013		-		-		-		-
2014		-		-		-		-
2015		-		-		-		-
2016		-			_	-		
Total S9R_S_TECH_DEBT MELROSE	٠,		۱,		۱,		ф.	
SCHOOLS	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
GRAND TOTALS	\$	71,329,357	\$	8,638,756	\$	70,674,643	\$	8,638,756
2007	\$	5,471,518	\$	(3)	\$	5,469,979	\$	(3)
2008	•	6,195,501	•	74	·	6,193,888	•	74
2009		6,462,056		5,196		6,459,737		5,196
2010		6,879,431		415		6,877,039		415
2011		7,065,666		713		7,062,111		713
2012		7,208,132		2,457		7,203,329		2,457
2013		7,336,538		15,017		7,331,385		15,017
2014		7,593,033		77,831		7,580,675		77,831
2015		8,465,343		280,594		8,240,039		280,594
2016		8,652,139		8,256,462		8,256,461		8,256,462
	\$	71,329,357	\$	8,638,756	\$	70,674,643	\$	8,638,756

I	Distributed To Date	Current Amount Uncollectible		To-Date Amount Uncollectible		County Receivable at Year End	
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-
	-		- -		-		-
\$	-	\$	_	\$	-	\$	_
\$	70,549,201	\$	1,704	\$	15,825	\$	638,889
\$	5,469,978	\$	7	\$	1,503	\$	37
	6,193,821		8		1,479		136
	6,459,725		15		1,898		420
	6,877,032		9		2,177		214
	7,062,104		41		2,338		1,217
	7,203,223		984		3,135		1,670
	7,330,718		10		2,182		2,971
	7,583,859		10		380		11,978
	8,211,792		604		718		224,585
	8,156,949		16		15		395,661
\$	70,549,201	\$	1,704	\$	15,825	\$	638,889

(This page intentionally left blank.)

Roosevelt County

Schedule of Changes in Fiduciary Assets and Liabilities - Agency Funds For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

	Balance y 1, 2016	Additions		Deductions		Balance June 30, 2017	
Assets Cash Property taxes receivable	\$ 19,157 337,918	\$	4,570,493 4,561,713	\$	4,557,483 4,549,286	\$	32,167 350,345
Total assets	\$ 357,075	\$	9,132,206	\$	9,106,769	\$	382,512
Liabilities Deposits held in trust Due to other taxing entities	\$ 19,157 337,918	\$	4,570,493 4,561,713	\$	4,557,483 4,549,286	\$	32,167 350,345
Total liabilities	\$ 357,075	\$	9,132,206	\$	9,106,769	\$	382,512

(This page intentionally left blank.)

COMPLIANCE SECTION

Alamogordo | Albuquerque | Carlsbad | Clovis | El Paso | Hobbs | Lubbock | Roswell | Santa Fe

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Timothy Keller New Mexico State Auditor Roosevelt County Commissioners Roosevelt County Portales, New Mexico

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, the aggregate remaining fund information, and the budgetary comparisons of the General Fund and major special revenue fund of Roosevelt County (the County) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 30, 2017.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, of the financial statements, we considered the County's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the County's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the County's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests did not disclose any instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

We noted a matter that is required to be reported per section 12-6-5 NMSA 1978 that we have described in the accompanying schedule of Section 12-6-5 NMSA 1978 Findings as item NM 2017-001.

County's Responses to Finding

The County's responses to the finding identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses. The County's responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the County's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

RPC CPAs + Consultants, LLP Albuquerque, New Mexico

RPC CPAS + Consultants LLP

November 30, 2017

Roosevelt County Schedule of Findings and Responses June 30, 2017

Section I - Summary of Auditors' Results:

Financial Statements:

1.	Type of auditors' report issued					
2.	2. Internal control over financial reporting:					
	a.	Material weaknesses identified?	None Noted			
	b.	Significant deficiencies identified not considered to be material weaknesses?	None Noted			
	c.	Noncompliance material to the financial statements noted?	None Noted			

Roosevelt County Schedule of Findings and Responses June 30, 2017

Section II - Financial Statement Findings

None

Section III - Section 12-6-5 NMSA Findings

NM 2017-001 - Cash Appropriations in Excess of Available Cash Balances - Other noncompliance

Condition: The County maintained a deficit budget in excess of available cash balances in the following fund:

		Beginning Year	Cash Appropriation
	Designated	Cash & AR	in Excess of
Special Revenue Funds	Cash	Available	_ Available Cash
Milnesand Fire Protection (472)	\$322,916	\$265,764	\$57,152

Criteria: Section 2.2.2.10. (P) (1), NMAC, requires all County funds, with the exception of agency funds, to be budgeted by the local governing body and submitted to the Department of Finance and Administration for approval. Cash balances rebudgeted to make up for deficit budgeted revenues that do not cover the budgeted expenditures, cannot exceed the actual cash balance available at the end of the prior year.

Effect: The County will have to supplement the budget deficit with other funds, which may lead to financial difficulties and deplete the budget in other funds.

Cause: County management budgeted a deficit in excess of prior year available cash per the audited financial statements, and did not verify that budgeted cash equaled the cash per the audited financial statements.

Auditors' Recommendations: The County should adjust budget revenues to match actuals, ensure cash per the budget statements tie to the audited financial statements and avoid budgeting deficits in excess of available cash balances.

Agency's Response: The County Manager and Finance Specialist monitor comprehensive fund budget reports monthly at a minimum. After the creation of the initial fiscal year budget, monitoring focuses on actual expenses to ensure the budgeted revenue and expenditure parameters are sustained. In this instance, the budget was created to procure a firetruck in FY17 the County would take delivery of in FY18. The actual expenditures for this fund were only 16 percent of the budgeted amount, however, the budget exceeded the given parameters. The County Manager and Finance Specialist will review the FY2018 Budget and FY17 Balance Sheet to ensure this finding has not been replicated in FY18. These two individuals will also ensure necessary budget adjustments continue to be made to revenues and expenditures and will focus on this quarterly. The initial assessment of the FY18 Budget and FY17 Balance Sheet will be completed by the Financial Specialist and reviewed by the County Manager no later than Feb. 1, 2018, following any necessary FY17 entries required by the auditors.

<u>Section IV - Prior Year Audit Findings</u>

FS 2015-001- Deficiencies in Internal Control Structure Design, Operation, and Oversight – Resolved

FS 2015-002- Inadequate Documentation for Travel and Per Diem Expenditures - Resolved

FS 2015-012- Untimely Preparation and Submission of PERA Reports – Resolved

FS 2016-001- Accounts Receivable Cutoff - Resolved

(This page intentionally left blank.)

Roosevelt County Other Disclosures June 30, 2017

Exit Conference

An exit conference was held on November 30, 2017. In attendance were the following:

Representing Roosevelt County:

Amber Hamilton, County Manager
Paul Grider, County Commissioner Chair
Layle Sanchez, County Treasurer
DeAun Searl, County Clerk
Stevin Floyd, Chief Deputy Assessor
Debra Olds, Human Resources Administrator
Toni Williamson, Finance Specialist
Malin Parker, Sheriff

Representing RPC CPAs + Consultants, LLP:

Danny Martinez, CPA, Partner Jack Kirkland, CPA, Partner Zoë Vergas, CPA, Audit Senior

Auditor Prepared Financial Statements

RPC CPAs + Consultants, LLP prepared the GAAP-basis financial statements and footnotes of Roosevelt County from the original books and records provided to them by the management of the County. The responsibility for the financial statements remains with the County.